

**CORONAVIRUS ADVISORY
INFORMATION:**

[CLICK HERE](#) for City Updates

[CLICK HERE](#) for County Updates



CITY COUNCIL

Vincent Salimi, Mayor
Devin Murphy, Mayor Pro Tem
Anthony Tave, Council Member
Maureen Toms, Council Member
Norma Martínez-Rubin, Council Member

**PINOLE CITY COUNCIL
SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA**

**TUESDAY
December 6, 2022
5:00 P.M**

Please note: HYBRID MEETING FORMAT

**Attend in Person: PINOLE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 2131 PEAR STREET
OR**

Attend VIA ZOOM TELECONFERENCE – Details provided below

Please note: Updated COVID-19 safety protocols will be posted outside the City Council Chambers. Please review this information before entering the Council Chambers.

How to Submit Public Comments:

In Person: Attend meeting at the Pinole City Council Chambers, fill out a yellow public comment card and submit it to the City Clerk.

Via Zoom:

Members of the public may submit a live remote public comment via Zoom video conferencing. Download the Zoom mobile app from the Apple Appstore or Google Play. If you are using a desktop computer, you can test your connection to Zoom by clicking [here](#). Zoom also allows you to join the meeting by phone.

From a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone or Android:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89335000272>

Webinar ID: 893 3500 0272

By phone: +1 (669) 900-6833 or +1 (253) 215-8782 or +1 (346) 248-7799

- Speakers will be asked to provide their name and city of residence, although providing this is not required for participation.
- Each speaker will be afforded up to 3 minutes to speak (subject to modification by the Mayor)
- Speakers will be muted until their opportunity to provide public comment.

When the Mayor opens the comment period for the item you wish to speak on, please use the “raise hand” feature (or press *9 if connecting via telephone) which will alert staff that you have a comment to provide and press *6 to unmute. **To comment with your video enabled, please let the City Clerk know you would like to turn your camera on once you are called to speak.**

Written Comments: All comments received **before 3:00 pm the day of the meeting** will be posted on the City's website on the agenda page ([Agenda Page Link](#)) and provided to the City Council prior to the meeting. **Written comments will not be read aloud during the meeting.** Email comments to comment@ci.pinole.ca.us Please indicate which item on the agenda you are commenting on in the subject line of your email.

Please note: Updated COVID-19 safety protocols will be posted outside the City Council Chambers. Please review this information before entering the Council Chambers.

OTHER WAYS TO WATCH THE MEETING

LIVE ON CHANNEL 26. They are retelecast the following Thursday at 6:00 p.m. The Community TV Channel 26 schedule is published on the city's website at www.ci.pinole.ca.us.

VIDEO-STREAMED LIVE ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE, www.ci.pinole.ca.us. and remain archived on the site for five (5) years.

If none of these options are available to you, or you need assistance with public comment, please contact the City Clerk, Heather Bell at (510) 724-8928 or hbell@ci.pinole.ca.us .

Americans With Disabilities Act: In compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, if you need special assistance to participate in a City Meeting or you need a copy of the agenda, or the agenda packet in an appropriate alternative format, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (510) 724-8928. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist the City staff in assuring that reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

Note: Staff reports are available for inspection on the City Website at www.ci.pinole.ca.us. You may also contact the City Clerk via e-mail at hbell@ci.pinole.ca.us .

Ralph M. Brown Act. Gov. Code § 54950. *In enacting this chapter, the Legislature finds and declares that the public commissions, boards and councils and the other public agencies in this State exist to aid in the conduct of the people's business. It is the intent of the law that their actions be taken openly and that their deliberations be conducted openly. The people of this State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies, which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created.*

1. CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE IN HONOR OF THE US MILITARY TROOPS

2. LAND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Before we begin, we would like to acknowledge the Ohlone people, who are the traditional custodians of this land. We pay our respects to the Ohlone elders, past, present, and future, who call this place, Ohlone Land, the land that Pinole sits upon, their home. We are proud to continue their tradition of coming together and growing as a community. We thank the Ohlone community for their stewardship and support, and we look forward to strengthening our ties as we continue our relationship of mutual respect and understanding.

3. ROLL CALL, CITY CLERK'S REPORT & STATEMENT OF CONFLICT

An official who has a conflict must, prior to consideration of the decision: (1) publicly identify in detail the financial interest that causes the conflict; (2) recuse himself /herself from discussing and voting on the matter; and (3) leave the room until after the decision has been made, Cal. Gov't Code § 87105.

4. CONVENE TO A CLOSED SESSION

Citizens may address the Council regarding a Closed Session item prior to the Council adjourning into the Closed Session, by first providing a speaker card to the City Clerk.

A. CONFERENCE WITH PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS

Gov. Code § 54956.8

Property: 612 Tennent Avenue

5. RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION TO ANNOUNCE RESULTS OF CLOSED SESSION

6. CITIZENS TO BE HEARD (Public Comments)

*Citizens may speak under any item not listed on the Agenda. The time limit is 3 minutes and is subject to modification by the Mayor. Individuals may not share or offer time to another speaker. Pursuant to provisions of the Brown Act, no action may be taken on a matter unless it is listed on the agenda, or unless certain emergency or special circumstances exist. The City Council may direct staff to investigate and/or schedule certain matters for consideration at a future Council meeting. **PLEASE SEE THE COVERSHEET OF THE AGENDA FOR INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO SUBMIT PUBLIC COMMENTS***

7. REPORTS & COMMUNICATIONS

A. Mayor Report

1. Announcements

B. Mayoral & Council Appointments

C. City Council Committee Reports & Communications

D. Council Requests for Future Agenda Items

E. City Manager Report / Department Staff

F. City Attorney Report

8. RECOGNITIONS / PRESENTATIONS / COMMUNITY EVENTS

A. Proclamations

1. Pinole Valley High School Girls Softball
2. Pinole Valley High School Girls Tennis
3. Human Rights Week

B. Presentations

None

9. CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and noncontroversial. These items will be enacted by one motion and without discussion. If, however, any interested party or Council member(s) wishes to comment on an item, they may do so before action is taken on the Consent Calendar. Following comments, if a Council member wishes to discuss an item, it will be removed from the Consent Calendar and taken up in order after adoption of the Consent Calendar.

- A. Approve the Minutes of the November 15, 2022 meeting.
- B. Receive the November 12, 2022 – December 2, 2022 – List of Warrants in the Amount of \$967,306.52 and the November 25, 2022 Payroll in the Amount of \$571,214.97.
- C. Approval of Findings to Support Local Modifications to the 2022 California Building Code; Second Reading of an Ordinance to Adopt the 2022 California Building Standards Code and Update the City's Building and Fire Code **[Action: Adopt Ordinance on Second Reading per Staff Recommendation (Casher)]**

10. COUNCIL REORGANIZATION & ELECTION MATTERS

- A. Accepting and Declaring the Results of Canvass of the November 8, 2022 Municipal Election **[Action: Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Bell)]**
- B. Presentations to Mayor Salimi
- C. Remarks from Mayor Salimi

BRIEF RECESS

- D. Administer Oaths to Newly Elected Council Members **[Action: Administer Oaths]**
- E. Selection of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem **[Action: Approve Rotation Schedule by Minute Order and Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Bell)]**
- F. Administer Oaths to Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem **[Action: Administer Oaths]**
- G. Comments by Newly Appointed Mayor

FIFTEEN MINUTE RECESS – RECEPTION IN CITY HALL FOYER

11. PUBLIC HEARINGS

*Citizens wishing to speak regarding a Public Hearing item should fill out a speaker card prior to the completion of the presentation, by first providing a speaker card to the City Clerk. **An official who engaged in an ex parte communication that is the subject of a Public Hearing must disclose the communication on the record prior to the start of the Public Hearing.***

NONE

12. OLD BUSINESS

NONE

13. NEW BUSINESS

- A. [Nominate Council Members to Serve on Boards and Subcommittees](#)
[\[Action: Discuss and Provide Direction \(Bell\)\]](#)

14. CITIZENS TO BE HEARD (Continued from Item 6) (Public Comments)

Open only to members of the public who did not speak under the first Citizens to Be Heard, Agenda Item 6

Citizens may speak under any item not listed on the Agenda. *The time limit is 3 minutes for City Council items and is subject to modification by the Mayor. Individuals may not share or offer time to another speaker. Pursuant to provisions of the Brown Act, no action may be taken on a matter unless it is listed on the agenda, or unless certain emergency or special circumstances exist. The City Council may direct staff to investigate and/or schedule certain matters for consideration at a future meeting.*

15. ADJOURNMENT to the Regular City Council Meeting of December 20, 2022 in Remembrance of Amber Swartz.

I hereby certify under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing Agenda was posted on the bulletin board at the main entrance of Pinole City Hall, 2131 Pear Street Pinole, CA, and on the City's website, not less than 24 hours prior to the meeting date set forth on this agenda.

Heather Bell, CMC
City Clerk

POSTED: December 1, 2022

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES
November 15, 2022**

1. CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE IN HONOR OF THE US MILITARY TROOPS

The City Council Meeting was held in a hybrid format (in-person and via Zoom videoconference and broadcast) from the Pinole Council Chambers, 2131 Pear Street, Pinole, California. Mayor Salimi called the Regular Meeting of the City Council to order at 5:06 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Before we begin, we would like to acknowledge the Ohlone people, who are the traditional custodians of this land. We pay our respects to the Ohlone elders, past, present and future, who call this place, Ohlone Land, the land that Pinole sits upon, their home. We are proud to continue their tradition of coming together and growing as a community. We thank the Ohlone community for their stewardship and support, and we look forward to strengthening our ties as we continue our relationship of mutual respect and understanding.

3. ROLL CALL, CITY CLERK'S REPORT & STATEMENT OF CONFLICT

An official who has a conflict must, prior to consideration of the decision; (1) publicly identify in detail the financial interest that causes the conflict; (2) recuse himself/herself from discussing and voting on the matter; and (3) leave the room until after the decision has been made, Cal. Gov. Code § 87105.

A. COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT

Vincent Salimi, Mayor
Devin Murphy, Mayor Pro Tem
Norma Martinez-Rubin, Council Member
Anthony Tave, Council Member*
Maureen Toms, Council Member

*Arrived after Roll Call

B. STAFF PRESENT

Andrew Murray, City Manager
Heather Bell, City Clerk
Eric Casher, City Attorney
Jeremy Rogers, Community Services Director
Lilly Whalen, Community Development Director
Sanjay Mishra, Public Works Director
Chris Wynkoop, Fire Chief
Bernie Zipay, City of Pinole Contract Building Official
Roxane Stone, Deputy City Clerk

City Clerk Heather Bell announced the agenda had been posted on Thursday, November 10, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. with all legally required written notices.

One written comment had been received in advance of the meeting, posted to the City website and distributed to the staff and the City Council.

Following an inquiry, the Council reported there were no conflicts with any items on the agenda.

4. CONVENE TO A CLOSED SESSION:

A. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS

Gov. Code § 54957.6

Agency designated representatives: Andrew Murray, City Manager, Stacy Shell, Human Resources Director

Represented employees: Local 1, IAFF, PPEA

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Deputy City Clerk Roxane Stone reported there were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

Citizens may address the Council regarding a Closed Session item prior to the Council adjourning into the Closed Session, by first providing a speaker card to the City Clerk.

5. RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION TO ANNOUNCE RESULTS OF CLOSED SESSION

At 5:44 p.m., Mayor Salimi reconvened the meeting into open session and announced there was no reportable action from the Closed Session.

6. CITIZENS TO BE HEARD (Public Comments)

Citizens may speak under any item not listed on the Agenda. The time limit is 3 minutes and is subject to modification by the Mayor. Individuals may not share or offer time to another speaker. Pursuant to provisions of the Brown Act, no action may be taken on a matter unless it is listed on the agenda, or unless certain emergency or special circumstances exist. The City Council may direct staff to investigate and/or schedule certain matters for consideration at a future Council meeting.

Rafael Menis, Pinole, updated the City Council on the COVID-19 case rate for the City of Pinole and encouraged everyone to wear masks indoors and outdoors, particularly in crowded spaces. He also commented on the results of the recent election and while the results had yet to be finalized he congratulated the apparent winners and apologized to those who voted for him given his likely defeat. He apparently had not done a good enough job on persuasion, personal transparency or outreach, but would continue to participate in City Council meetings and as a member of the Planning Commission work to make the community better, build up community engagement and inform people about community events through emails he would send to the community. Interested persons may sign up at menisforpinole.org and use the contact link identified on the site. He thanked everyone who had supported him, who had volunteered and donated to his campaign and he appreciated the effort and willingness to support him and protect the future of Pinole.

[There appeared to be technical difficulties with Zoom and some people could not call into the meeting. City Clerk Bell identified the instructions to call into the meeting, as shown on the meeting agenda, and the Mayor paused the meeting to allow staff to address the technical issues. After a brief pause, staff reported there appeared to be no issues with the broadcast connection but some callers still remained unable to call into the meeting.]

Mayor Salimi stated there would be another opportunity for public comment at the end of the meeting and he again identified the call-in instructions to participate in the webinar meeting.

7. REPORTS & COMMUNICATIONS

A. Mayor Report

1. Announcements

Mayor Salimi reported he had spoken with the General Consul for Ukraine to discuss Sister City opportunities. He asked for a Moment of Silence in recognition of Stanley Casher, the father of City Attorney Eric Casher at this time, offered his condolences and asked that flowers be sent to the Casher family.

Mayor Salimi also reported the City had held a wonderful Veterans Day event and he thanked the Pinole Historical Society and everyone who had participated.

B. Mayoral & Council Appointments: None

C. City Council Committee Reports & Communications

Council member Martinez-Rubin reported she had attended a WestCAT Board meeting and briefed the Council on the discussions, and reported on the increase in ridership for the month of October 2022 as compared to October 2021 due to the free ridership WestCAT had offered for the month. She also reported the Annual WestCAT Stuff a Bus event, which collected non-perishable food items and toys on December 14, 2022, would be held at the Target parking lot located at 1400 Fitzgerald Drive between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., with the public invited to participate and with the donations to benefit the Contra Costa and Solano County Food Bank. Toys for all ages would be accepted to benefit children affiliated with the California Highway Patrol (CHP) Chips for Kids Drive. She wished everyone a happy, safe and healthy Thanksgiving.

Mayor Salimi also wished City staff and the community a Happy Thanksgiving.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy reported the Marin Clean Energy (MCE) Board of Directors would meet on Thursday, November 17, 2022 at 7:00 p.m. with additional information on the MCE website; and invited Council members and any other interested party to attend Elected Officials to Protect America as part of the California Climate Emergency and Energy Security Summit, to be hosted at the California Energy Commission in Sacramento on December 5 and 6, 2022, which would offer networking opportunities to engage both local and state officials to share best practices and understand ordinances and policies around renewable energy and possibly housing. As a member of the Steering Committee hosting the event, he encouraged the Council to join the event either virtually or in-person. The event was open to the public and registration free of charge. Additional information was available at protectingamerica.net.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy also reported that local firefighters had recently been sworn-in and he thanked them for their service to the community.

Council member Toms reported she had signed up to participate in the California Climate Emergency and Energy Security Summit; attended a WestCAT Board meeting; Ruby Bridges Walk to School Day at Ellerhorst Elementary School; and participated in a sea level rise and ground water discussion at the East Bay Leadership Offices.

D. Council Requests for Future Agenda Items

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy requested a future agenda item to discuss what other cities had done related to discretionary budget training for individual City Council member expenditures. He asked that a staff report be prepared and that a discussion be considered as part of the mid-year budget report/adjustments. Consensus given.

E. City Manager Report / Department Staff

City Manager Andrew Murray reported this week was United Against Hate Week (UAHW), with a proclamation to be issued later on the agenda and with a number of events planned to culminate with events at Fernandez Park on the afternoon and evening of Friday, November 18, 2022, with more information on the City website. The Annual Senior Craft Fair would be held on Saturday, November 19, 2022 at the Senior Center; and the Community Services Department would be offering some Thanksgiving break camps for school children, with more information on the City website. The Annual Holiday Tree Lighting would take place on Saturday, December 3, 2022.

The next City Council meeting had been scheduled for December 6, 2022 and it was hoped the election results would be certified by that date to allow the seating of newly-elected members of the City Council.

City Manager Murray provided an overview of the scheduled agenda items for the December 6 City Council meeting. He also provided an overview of the agenda items tentatively scheduled for the Town Council meeting of December 20, 2022. There would be no City Council meeting scheduled for the first Tuesday of the month of January 2023 due to the holiday period, with the first City Council meeting in 2023 scheduled for January 17, 2023.

F. City Attorney Report

City Attorney Eric Casher thanked the City Council for the Moment of Silence in recognition of his father. He appreciated the acknowledgement and the flowers sent from the City to his family.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Cordell Hindler, Richmond, requested a future agenda item for the City Council to have a presentation on the status of the Port of Oakland's recovery after the pandemic; and consider a future agenda item to hire CPS Consulting to provide diversity, equity and inclusion. He also extended his condolences to City Attorney Casher and his family.

City Manager Murray reported the City Council had appropriated funding in the current fiscal year budget to hire a consultant firm to work on a diversity, equity and inclusion initiative.

City staff was in the process of developing the scope of work with the vendor who had not yet been procured, which would follow the City's normal procurement policy practices.

Mayor Salimi reported a presentation on the Port of Oakland had recently been provided at the Mayors' Conference.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy asked whether schools would participate in the Annual Tree Lighting Ceremony as they had in the past and was informed by City Manager Murray that local schools had been invited to participate to install and decorate trees in the Community Corner.

Community Services Director Jeremy Rogers confirmed that staff was working with several schools in Pinole and with the Parent Teacher Association (PTA) related to the tree lighting as had been done in 2021. More information was available on the City website or interested parties may contact the Community Services Department. Further responding to the Mayor Pro Tem, he clarified the latest Community Services Commission meeting had been canceled due to the lack of a quorum. The next meeting had been scheduled for the third Wednesday of January 2023.

Irma Ruport, Pinole, reported the month of November was Native American Heritage Month. She asked the City Council to recognize the contributions of Native Americans during the month of November and read into the record a statement in recognition of Native American Heritage Month.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

Given the earlier technical difficulties, Mayor Salimi returned to the Citizens to be Heard portion of the agenda.

Irma Ruport, Pinole, commented that the Pinole Food Bank/Senior Center had lost its distribution of food boxes and she asked the City Council to consider a discussion between the City Council and the Food Bank to see how the City could help given the holidays and the fact people were suffering during these hard times. She wished everyone a happy holiday.

City Manager Murray stated, as reported during a prior meeting, the food distribution the City helped to facilitate at the Senior Center had goods provided by the Contra Costa and Solano Food Banks, which were undergoing a transition in their programs and would be transitioning to a new model. Twice-monthly there would be dry and canned goods distributed and twice monthly there would be a produce distribution although that would not be in place until January 2023. The City had been unable to engage with the Food Bank during this transition period and had no ideas for interim resources.

Community Services Director Rogers confirmed the County had terminated its normal distribution. In January 2023, an entirely new program would be offered as described by the City Manager. For the months of November and December, produce distribution would be offered and the City was trying to find other avenues and other food banks and would provide Pinole citizens with that information.

8. RECOGNITIONS / PRESENTATIONS / COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Proclamations

1. United Against Hate Week

The City Council read into the record a proclamation recognizing United Against Hate Week (UAHW); with the City Council united against hate; recognized the Pinole City Council was one of the most diverse Councils the City of Pinole ever had; this was the second year for UAHW activities; and City staff and supporters of UAHW were thanked for their participation. The proclamation was presented to Council member Martinez-Rubin who thanked the City Council for the proclamation and stated she was pleased this was the second year for UAHW activities and that the City was building on those efforts.

PUBLIC COMMENT OPENED

Cordell Hindler, Richmond, supported diversity and all people and would try to participate in the scheduled UAHW activities in Pinole. He appreciated the proclamation and the need to respect one another.

Rafael Menis, Pinole, thanked the City Council for the proclamation and the fact the entire City Council backed UAHW given increased provocations nationwide. He detailed the activities the City planned as part of UAHW in Pinole on Friday, November 18, 2022 from 4:30 to 8:30 p.m. at 595 Tennent Avenue. He hoped the community would join in the UAHW activities and unite for a more unified and just community for all.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

B. Presentations: None

9. CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and noncontroversial. These items will be enacted by one motion and without discussion. If, however, any interested party or Council member(s) wishes to comment on an item, they may do so before action is taken on the Consent Calendar. Following comments, if a Council member wishes to discuss an item, it will be removed from the Consent Calendar and taken up in order after adoption of the Consent Calendar.

- A. Approve the Regular Meeting Minutes of November 1, 2022
- B. Receive the October 29, 2022 – November 11, 2022 List of Warrants in the Amount of \$524,664.82 and the November 11, 2022 Payroll in the Amount of \$540,208.93
- C. Resolution Continuing Authorized Remote Teleconference Meetings Pursuant to AB 361 **[Action: Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Casher)]**
- D. Resolution Approving an Agreement with RSG for Affordable Housing Consultation Assistance Including Monitoring, Compliance and Reporting, and Appropriating Funding from the Housing Successor Fund for this Purpose **[Action: Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Whalen)]**
- E. Authorize the City Manager to Execute Amendments to Extend Existing On-Call Service Contracts for Engineering and Environmental Services and Execute New

On-Call Service Contracts Related to Wastewater and Stormwater **[Action: Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Mishra)]**

- F. Receive the Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 First Quarter Financial Report **[Action: Receive Report (Guillory)]**
- G. Receive the Quarterly Investment Report for the First Quarter (Ending September 30, 2022) **[Action: Receive Report (Guillory)]**
- H. Receive the Quarterly Report on Implementation of the Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Climate Action and Adaptation Plan for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 First Quarter **[Action: Receive Report (Whalen)]**
- I. Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 First Quarter Report on Implementation of Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Projects **[Action: Receive Report (Mishra)]**
- J. Receive the Quarterly Report on Implementation of the Strategic Plan for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 First Quarter **[Action: Receive Report (Murray)]**
- K. Award a Construction Contract for Installation of an Electric Vehicle Charging Station (CIP Project #FA2002) **[Action: Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Kaur)]**
- L. Approve an Amendment to Extend the Term of the Employment Agreement for the City Manager **[Action: Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Shell)]**
- M. Placement of Liens on Delinquent Unpaid Waste Collection Charges Falling Delinquent between May and August 2022. Considered at an Administrative Hearing on October 6, 2022 **[Action: Adopt Resolution per Staff Recommendation (Stone)]**

Mayor Salimi read into the record a statement related to Consent Item 9L. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(c)(3), this item was a recommendation to extend the City Manager's Employment Agreement to June 30, 2025. On November 1, 2022, the City Council had met in Closed Session to present Andrew Murray with an evaluation report reviewing his past year as City Manager. Mr. Murray had received a positive evaluation from the City Council and was appreciated for the many achievements the City had made on his goals over the past year. In accordance with this, the City Council believed it appropriate to offer a contract extension to the City Manager which would continue his service with the City of Pinole through June 30, 2025. The contract amendment would not change Mr. Murray's compensation or benefit and his current annual salary was \$258,156.74, and he received the same health and welfare benefits as the City's Department heads, plus other miscellaneous benefits.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Rafael Menis, Pinole, referenced the second paragraph of his comments as shown on Page 2 of the November 1, 2022 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes as part of Item 9A, and stated he had specifically endorsed Cameron Sasai and Anthony Tave for the City Council, had described their qualifications in particular and urged voters to vote for them and for himself.

Cordell Hindler, Richmond, spoke to Item 9J and stated he had no problem with the Quarterly Report on Implementation of the Strategic Plan for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 First Quarter. As to Item 9L, he had submitted comments two weeks ago and he found the City Manager had done a good job, particularly given the pandemic. He urged the City Council to approve Item 9L.

Irma Ruport, Pinole, referenced Item 9I and asked that the item be continued given the recent election since new Council members would be seated and should be given the chance to revisit unfunded projects that had been taken off the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), particularly since the CIP had not been prioritized and should be given the possibility of a recession.

Responding to the comments related to Item 9I, City Manager Murray reported the Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 First Quarter Report on Implementation of Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Projects was an annual status report on the implementation of CIP projects. There would be a future item related to the CIP combined with a second quarter status report and mid-year check in, at which time staff would be making some potential recommendations for modification, which was the more appropriate time to consider the item.

Mayor Salimi requested that Item 9I be removed from the Consent Calendar.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy thanked staff for the quarterly reports, which had shown what staff was doing to serve the community and he encouraged the public to read the reports. He suggested City staff was doing incredible work and adding new staff members and that transition was a piece of the work not included in the report. While there had been challenges, he was pleased to see all of the information.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

ACTION: Motion by Council members Martinez-Rubin/Toms to approve Consent Calendar Items 9A through 9M, with the exception of item 9I.

Vote:	Passed	5-0
	Ayes:	Salimi, Murphy, Martinez-Rubin, Tave, Toms
	Noes:	None
	Abstain:	None
	Absent:	None

- I. Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 First Quarter Report on Implementation of Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Projects **[Action: Receive Report (Murray)]**

Mayor Salimi explained that he had removed the item from the Consent Calendar since a resident on Greenfield Circle had addressed the City Council during a prior meeting, mentioned he had lived in his residence since 1984, and wanted the City Council to include Greenfield Circle as a priority in the CIP. Since he would not be a member of the City Council when the CIP was next discussed at a future meeting, he asked the City Council to remember Greenfield Circle when considering the CIP and prioritizing projects.

ACTION: Motion by Council members Toms/Martinez-Rubin to approve Consent Calendar Item 9I, as shown.

Vote: **Passed** **5-0**
 Ayes: **Salimi, Murphy, Martinez-Rubin, Tave, Toms**
 Noes: **None**
 Abstain: **None**
 Absent: **None**

10. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Citizens wishing to speak regarding a Public Hearing item should fill out a speaker card prior to the completion of the presentation, by first providing a speaker card to the City Clerk. An official who engaged in an ex parte communication that is the subject of a Public Hearing must disclose the communication on the record prior to the start of the Public Hearing.

- A.** Introduction and First Reading of an Ordinance to Adopt the 2022 California Building Standards Code and Update the City's Building and Fire Code **[Action: Introduce and waive the first reading of an Ordinance adopting the 2022 Building Standards Code with updates to the City's Building and Fire Code (Casher)]**

City Attorney Casher provided a PowerPoint presentation on the California Building Standards Code, also known as the California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, and stated the Pinole Municipal Code (PMC) had last been updated in 2019. The 2022 Building Standards Code would go into effect on January 1, 2023, and the City must adopt an ordinance to incorporate any local amendments into the Building Code. He thanked the numerous staff members who had worked collaboratively to review the amendments to state law to ensure consistency with the PMC.

City Attorney Casher explained the ordinance would replace the 2019 codes with the updated 2022 California Building Standards; the amendment would ensure current state requirements and trends in building safety were in place to better safeguard the life and property in the community, particularly in the event of a major earthquake or other destructive event; and the proposed ordinance would include general findings of local conditions necessary to justify local amendments. The amendments had been presented to the Municipal Code Update Subcommittee which had provided feedback on the changes to be made, but due to timing it had not been brought back to the Subcommittee. He thanked the Subcommittee for its input and advised that many of its recommended changes had been incorporated into the ordinance.

City Attorney Casher highlighted the code amendment specifics and the adoption of numerous Building Code sections by reference. He recommended the City Council introduce and waive the first reading of the ordinance adopting the 2022 California Building Code Standards with local amendments and updates to the City's Building and Fire Code. The second reading of the ordinance had been scheduled for the December 6, 2022 City Council meeting.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

Cordell Hindler, Richmond, suggested the City Attorney had done a great job with the presentation. He asked the City Council to follow the staff recommendation.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Council member Martinez-Rubin asked how the public could best understand what an applicant would need to know in-house when the ordinance went into effect, to which City Attorney Casher advised if the ordinance was approved it would go into effect 30-days after the second reading and then be codified into the PMC.

City Manager Murray explained that any time there were updates to state codes, there would be training opportunities from different parties and ongoing education for the Building Official and other staff members to be able to inform the public when applications were submitted.

Bernie Zipay, City of Pinole Contract Building Official, spoke to his experience helping with this update and explained how the public would be informed about the changes to the code, with most contractors familiar with code changes that occurred every three years. Information would be provided on the City website, in particular the Building Department section of the website, where that information could be provided and it could also be reflected in handouts that would be updated, as needed, in an ongoing procedure/process. The state also had information that contractors and homeowners may access and the City would rely on applicants doing their due diligence.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy referenced Exhibit A, Chapter 15.20 of the Fire Code, Page 310 of the November 15, 2022 agenda packet and asked with respect to the reopening of Fire Station 74 whether the City Council would remain as the Board of Fire Commissioners as shown in Section 202, Board of Fire Commissioners.

Fire Chief Chris Wynkoop explained that once the ordinance had been adopted, the City would adopt the same code as the Contra Costa Consolidated Fire Protection District (CCCFPD) or Con Fire, and the Board of Fire Commissioners would be through Con Fire as well.

City Attorney Casher clarified that he would have to consult with the agreement the City had in place since he was uncertain how regularly the Board of Fire Commissioners would convene, although the governing body would be Con Fire and that section of the Fire Code would likely have to be changed, which would have to be confirmed and clarified in the staff report for the second reading of the ordinance.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy was pleased the City would comply with the Green Building Code but asked whether the City could codify all electric construction or zero emissions for new buildings, and Mr. Zipay stated that the new Fire Code read that all new construction of new homes were required to be Electronic Vehicle (EV) charger ready and solar ready but the code did not yet specify that 100 percent of the home's power would be all electric. He noted the industry was not yet ready to support all of that change at this time but it was heading in that direction and a lot of conversations still needed to occur prior to requiring a new home to be 100 percent electric.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy hoped the next edition of an update included those requirements as other cities had done. He wanted to see the City of Pinole take the next step for all zero emissions or all electric for new construction and an ordinance that focused on allowing the electric system to utilize thermal solar space and solar water heating, if possible, with the data to back it up.

Mr. Zipay reiterated that was where the City was heading for new construction; however, electrifying older buildings entered into different variables given that older electric panels could not support all new electrical appliances and that area was where there would be push back.

City Manager Murray suggested if the City Council wanted to discuss instituting Reach Codes and applying standards beyond the minimal code requirements would be a future agenda item.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy clarified he was speaking of all new construction as part of a future discussion but would also like a discussion on retrofitting areas that had already been constructed in Pinole.

Mayor Salimi understood the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) required all new homes to include all-electric appliances by 2026, but Mayor Pro Tem Murphy understood that was not yet law.

Community Development Director Lilly Whalen clarified the State of California was considering electric mandates by 2026. She added that some of the recent larger housing projects in Pinole had voluntarily proposed all-electric appliances.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy understood the former Kmart property had not proposed all-electric appliances, which staff confirmed. He recognized when the state-imposed mandates, the City had a hard time adjusting and setting the tone for said mandates creating deadlines and goals to meet those mandates and he wanted to explore that conversation earlier.

Community Development Director Whalen stated the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan would be presented to the City Council in 2023, and she anticipated that plan would include some recommendations with respect to electrification.

Council member Martinez-Rubin was pleased there would be future discussions on some of those topics. She found that the comments from the City Council reflected a different level of the understanding of the process as well as the activities underway by staff and suggested at some point the City Council should review the process and procedures since it was not always clear to her that the requests were from the entire City Council and not just an individual request given the different levels of involvement staff must undertake.

Mayor Salimi understood as the City Manager had suggested that Reach Codes could be considered as a future agenda item, which would require full approval from the City Council which was confirmed by the City Manager.

Council member Toms explained that the Municipal Code Update Subcommittee had discussed whether it was ready to dive into the discussion about all-electric and had made the conscious decision on this round it would not, although the conversation was still out there for the future. She suggested the City would likely be ahead of the 2026 mandate since the City had adopted a Climate Emergency Plan, and Reach Codes would be an implementation of that plan and it would also be an implementation of the Climate Action Plan (CAP). She reported the Fire Code had been discussed countywide by all municipalities to ensure a consistent code across the board.

Council member Tave appreciated the discussion and commented that when the state passed something the City Attorney did a lot of work with the knowledge that some things may change as a result of other plans under development. He pointed out that if the City's codes were more restrictive than the state the City could pull back, but based on the discussion there was recognition that the State of California was heading to all-electric for new homes and commercial buildings and the City had to strike the balance and put this forward rather than defer.

Council member Tave did not want to see the City Manager and City Attorney put a lot of work into meeting the bar when the bar was going to be raised at some point. He questioned what bearing this would have on the CAP and how much more work would be needed.

City Attorney Casher reiterated the Building Standards Codes were triannual updates to the state Building Standards Code, and if the City were to develop its own baseline it would have to have this discussion every three years since the baseline would change and had to be incorporated into state law by reference; however, the City did not have to wait to incorporate state law to consider ordinances and tighten standards around electrification and new building and that direction could be provided to staff from the City Council at any point. Some of that direction may be in the form of policy adoption or bringing back an ordinance to change sections of the Building Code, which conversations would be ongoing.

City Manager Murray clarified the comments from the Community Development Director that the CAP would play a role in that the recommendations from the CAP would likely address additional building electrification and a possible policy recommendation for the City to meet its goals. At that time, the City Council could provide direction to staff whether to propose changes to the PMC to exceed current state requirements. The City Council could proceed with the subject item and at some point in the future the City Council could provide additional direction to staff to look at Reach Codes.

City Attorney Casher reiterated in response to Council member Martinez-Rubin that staff would like to move the item forward to have it on the books prior to January 1, 2023, otherwise state law would supersede local rules.

ACTION: Motion by Council member Martinez-Rubin/Mayor Pro Tem Murphy to Introduce and Waive the First Reading of an Ordinance Adopting the 2022 Building Standards Code with Local Amendments and Updates to the City's Building and Fire Code.

Vote:	Passed	5-0
	Ayes:	Salimi, Murphy, Martinez-Rubin, Tave, Toms
	Noes:	None
	Abstain:	None
	Absent:	None

11. OLD BUSINESS

- A. Review and Feedback on Draft 2023–2031 Housing Element Update and Authorize Submittal to State HCD. [Action: Receive Report and Provide Direction (Whalen)]**

Community Development Director Whalen presented the staff report and introduced the Housing Element Consultants Michael Baker International (MBI) to provide a PowerPoint presentation.

Dan Wery, Michael Baker International (MBI), provided the PowerPoint presentation, which included an overview of the Land Use Planning for Pinole. He introduced the MBI Team, the purpose of the Housing Element to ensure the availability and fair distribution of housing throughout the City, and plans to accommodate the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) assessment.

The 2023-2031 Housing Element contained six main chapters including a review of accomplishments, needs assessment, housing resources, constraints, Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) and an implementation plan, which were all highlighted. The City's obligation was to provide sufficient land zoned appropriately to accommodate the RHNA. The City was not obligated to construct housing but must demonstrate how it would meet the 500-unit RHNA assessment within the eight-year period of the Housing Element with RHNA site designations to add value and options but which would not change existing owner rights or requirements.

The City's public outreach efforts included the City website, community surveys, stakeholder focus groups, community workshops, study sessions with the City Council and Planning Commission, banner and social media posts, Pinole Community Television (PCTV) advertisements, articles in the City's biweekly administration report and an email list to update interested persons on future Housing Element Updates.

The Planning Commission had held a workshop on October 24, 2022 and offered the following recommendations: to adjust the sites inventory to include the recently approved redevelopment of the Kmart site for 223 units (including 27 lower income units); allow and encourage single and small unit room rentals; promote and incentivize affordable housing on religious facility sites; promote and incentivize affordable housing for teachers; and consider more protections for renters to prevent and minimize displacement and encourage additional efforts to obtain more input from Pinole's diverse population.

Mr. Wery detailed the Housing Element Update recommendations/opportunities in response to each of the Planning Commission recommendations as outlined in the PowerPoint presentation and which included: updated site maps; an inventory and sites chapter to reflect the addition of the Kmart site; encouraging Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) and Junior ADUs promotion programs with a tenant-owner matching program; implementing recently adopted state laws such as Assembly Bill (AB) 352, Efficiency Units; allowing Single Occupancy Room (SRO) units in five zones; implementing recently adopted state laws such as AB 1851 and AB 2244 related to modifying and reducing parking requirements; and consider allowing multifamily housing on religious institution sites where not currently allowed.

Also, considering the addition of teachers to funding priority policy with Extremely Low and Disabled; adding teachers to the owner-tenant matching program for seniors; allowing employee and faculty housing on school sites; adding first right of refusal/option to purchase; advancing notification and relocation assistance; considering a just cause eviction ordinance; recognizing the City had recently adopted its new Communication & Engagement Plan; and considering that the City should specifically include community-based organizations with all Housing Element program education and engagement efforts, along with goals for increased participation among diverse communities, monitoring and adjustment.

Tables depicting the RHNA allocations for the specific income categories including Extremely Low, Very Low, Low, Moderate and Above Moderate Incomes; the Draft RHNA Strategy; Draft RHNA Strategy Revisions (based on the recent approval of the redevelopment of the former Kmart site); and Draft RHNA Strategy – Net Buffer and Draft Housing Sites Inventory Revisions were also highlighted.

Mr. Wery also provided an overview of the Housing Element Plan: Goals, Policies and Actions. The Draft Housing Element Goals included housing production and adequate sites to meet the RHNA; housing to meet the needs of all income levels and special needs groups; removal of governmental constraints; conserve, preserve and improve the existing housing stock; Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH); and housing education and community outreach. Housing Production Programs included a provision of adequate sites and site inventory monitoring; publicizing and promoting residential sites inventory; outreach and technical assistance to applicants and facilitating ADU production along with incentives for Mixed-Use development; development of Housing Successor's Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund Policy; affordable housing incentives; housing for Extremely Low Income households and persons with disabilities; senior housing incentives and home sharing and tenant matching.

Mr. Wery also highlighted and provided details at length for Programs 4: Facilitate ADU Production; Program 8: Housing for Extremely Low-Income Households and Persons with Disabilities; Program 9: Senior Housing Incentives; Program 11: Zoning Amendments; Program 19: Fair Housing Resources and Services and Program 21: Housing Resources and Education.

The Constraint Removal Programs included zoning amendments, objective design standards and Senate Bill (SB) 35 streamlining, fee evaluation and publicization and permit streamlining with examples provided. Conserve, Preserve and Improve the Housing Stock included programs on rehabilitation assistance, acquisition and rehabilitation properties and Below Market rate regulations and conversions. AFFH programs included place-based improvements, fair housing resources and services and displacement prevention/housing mobility. Housing Education and Community Outreach included programs on housing resources and education, ADUs and Junior ADUs (JADUs) and SB 9 education and promotion. Examples were provided for all of the programs detailed in the Draft Housing Element.

Mr. Wery also provided an update on the Safety Element which included new topics such as climate resiliency, evacuation, drought, dam inundation, impact of tsunamis, and emergency preparedness; Vulnerability Assessment Study conducted pursuant to Senate Bill (SB) 379; Evacuation Routes Study conducted pursuant to AB 747, and with the anticipated timeline for public review in February and City Council adoption in May 2023. The Health and Environmental Justice Element would address equity in the areas of access, environment, civic engagement and generations with the draft currently under internal staff review and with public review in February and City Council adoption in May 2023.

In terms of the next steps, Mr. Wery advised that comments from the public would continue to be accepted through November 17, 2022 pursuant to the 30-day public comment period. All comments would be assessed and any appropriate changes to the Draft Housing Element would be made prior to submittal to HCD, which would have 90-days to review the document. During HCD review, the consultants would continue to review, define and refine the ideas.

Mr. Wery also highlighted the project schedule with a review of any comments from HCD around February-March 2023; Planning Commission review and recommendation to the City Council for its review and adoption in April 2023; and submittal of an adopted Housing Element to HCD in May 2023. He welcomed comments and questions from the City Council and the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Rafael Menis, Pinole, reported as a member of the Planning Commission he had the opportunity to ask questions and provide feedback, some of which had been incorporated into the Draft Housing Element, although a key issue remained that had not been resolved. He asked how likely it would be for the City to reach the Very Low Income category requirements based on prior projects. He referred to the former Kmart property, which had around 17 Low Income units and another project that was a 100 percent affordable senior project that also included Low Income units. He referred to the fact the City may project its site availability for Very Low Income households based on theoretical capacity where the practical implementation was not there unless the City modified its Inclusionary Housing Ordinance to require Very Low Income housing or a split between Low and Moderate Income households. He was uncertain the number of Very Low RHNA sites was actually a valid number and asked how it would be justified to the state or how it would work in practice. If it did not work out in this Housing Element cycle, it meant that more zoning would be required to provide more sites. He was not worried the City would not be able to meet its Moderate RHNA but again he remained concerned the City would not reach the Very Low Income requirement and more clarity was needed prior to submittal to the state.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

Council member Toms clarified with Mr. Wery the timeline for HCD review and the dates for City Council and Planning Commission review to adopt and certify the Housing Element. She noted it was possible HCD could provide comments on the Housing Element faster than the 90-day period but generally HCD used the entire 90-day review period.

Mr. Wery clarified the statutory deadline for submitting the Housing Element was January 31, 2023. The idea was to have the document adopted before that date to allow the City some protection. He suggested the Housing Element be adopted prior to the statutory deadline and if revisions were needed adoption could occur afterward. He added there was a 120-day grace period that would take the City to May 2023 but that would only help if the City needed to rezone. If the Housing Element was certified in that 120-day grace period, the City would have a full three years to consider rezoning to meet the required RHNA. If not, and if the City had to rezone, the City would have only one year from the statutory deadline of January 31, 2023. While the approach was conservative, it had been documented that the City was compliant with all state housing laws and once starting the adoption process, the clock would start on the implementation of the programs which was another positive approach for HCD.

Council member Toms referenced Page 5 of the Draft Housing Element, which included housing production numbers for 2021, and asked whether any of the projects in the pipeline had building permits issued between December 2021 and the end of 2022 that could count towards the 5th Cycle Housing Element.

Mr. Wery clarified that anything that had not received a Certificate of Occupancy as of June 30, 2022 counted towards the RHNA for the 6th Cycle Housing Element even if approved years ago and had already been counted as part of the 5th Cycle Housing Element since it had been approved then with the state acknowledging that overlap period.

City Manager Murray explained that in past rounds of Housing Element Updates there had been a grace period the state had provided but staff was uncertain that grace period would be observed this round. The schedule for the Housing Element Update process had assumed the City would have that grace period.

The Planning Commission had already provided input. The Draft Housing Element would be submitted to HCD as soon as staff could incorporate input from the City Council from this meeting, which would then trigger the 90-day review period for HCD. Staff wanted to meet the statutory requirement of submitting an adopted Housing Element by January 31, 2023, and intended to do that if the City heard back from HCD in time to incorporate its comments and submit the Draft Housing Element in time for approval to meet the January 31 deadline. Staff felt strongly the Housing Element would meet HCD standards but if significant changes were requested to be made the document it could be reviewed and resubmitted to HCD.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy thanked all staff for preparing the draft document and spoke to the community engagement process the City staff had provided thus far. He encouraged staff to reach out and obtain feedback from Housing and Urban Development (HUD) recognized tenant associations, tenant rights groups and support services such as Pinole Grove Tenant Association, Central Legal de Raza, Bay Area Legal Aid, Contra Costa Senior Legal Services and the Northern California Land Trust. He also asked for a broader conversation on science-based planning and mitigation strategies, particularly related to sea level rise, and asked how the current Housing Element had reflected that topic, and Mr. Wery advised that would be added to the Health and Safety Element as opposed to the Housing Element and would work together with the CAP.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy asked about cross departmental collaboration and whether any actions had been identified when building out the Housing Element to reach the RHNA, to which Mr. Wery explained that few constraints had been found in that the City had been doing a great job overall and he had no recommendations of interdepartmental collaborations at this time, although some cities had coordinated departments up front during the early review of the processes and provided good information up front to ensure applicants had a good and broad perspective of all things that would need to be addressed as they moved forward with a development application. He found the City's approval process to be very efficient compared to most jurisdictions.

City Manager Murray explained that based on how the City programs were structured, many of the City staff functions that would be required to implement the Housing Element would be in the Community Development Department, but there were opportunities to work across departments with cross departmental coordination to carry out the entire Housing Element.

Community Development Director Whalen stated the implementation section of the Housing Element had identified the implementing and supporting agencies.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy asked for clarification of fair and inclusive zoning policies and the origination of single-family zoning and his understanding that much of Pinole had been zoned single family. He asked how the Housing Element would be fair and inclusive to everyone, in particular to Black, indigenous and other people of color.

Mr. Wery explained that the AFFH Chapter in the Housing Element included a variety of tables, charts and analyses to address that issue and was one of the key focuses for HCD which wanted to see the legacy patterns of racial segregation through zoning be addressed and overcome, which would be done through a distribution of sites for Low Income households that would not be concentrated in areas that had traditionally been segregated and had high concentrations of poverty or race and ethnicity. The sites had been well distributed throughout the Town. He added the City had built a lot of Low Income households in good areas that offered new opportunities.

If there were areas that were underserved or historically had not had the same benefits, amenities and services as other areas of the City, HCD wanted to see that corrected. The Housing Element had identified recent and planned improvements throughout the City, particularly in older and underserved areas of the City. Also, ADUs could go anywhere in the single-family areas which also helped since ADUs were relatively affordable based on their size and could be accessed in higher opportunity areas.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy understood that Pinole's approach was to consider fee waivers around ADUs. He asked whether the suggestion was that the ADU program was a way to incentivize or subsidize housing for the targeted communities that had been discussed.

Mr. Wery commented that single-family zoning was relatively recent and was where growth had occurred in the 1950s and 1990s. New development with all of the amenities was more expensive, which was where the place-based improvements would come into play. The areas that were segregated or disadvantaged were getting improvements to raise the quality of life for those residents and ADUs were a choice option. There were a variety of different ways to promote and facilitate ADUs as a way to increase accessibility to other areas of the City.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy looked forward to the Health and Safety Element in terms of risk reduction to existing housing and addressing the issues of sea level rise. He also looked forward to that conversation and conducting an assessment of housing units in neighborhoods in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones and how to create retrofit programs to address that as well as thinking of ways to work with public and private land owners to decrease the possibility of flooding. He asked for a follow up on research on car ownership for Moderate and Low Income households, which would be helpful around the conversations related to parking and assumptions that Low and Moderate Income households did not own cars. He sought more data and future conversations about that issue.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy also commented that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) had identified a particular piece of Pinole as a disadvantaged community, which impacted around 300 households and having additional statistics on that would be helpful.

Mr. Wery also provided an overview of the Vulnerability Assessment MBI was preparing as part of the Safety Element, which contained two requirements by law and which would look at a variety of different constraints such as fire, sea level rise, earthquake, flooding, dam inundation and an Evacuation Route Capacity Analysis to identify risk factors and how to exit the City in the event of a needed evacuation. A separate presentation had been planned in the future with more detail on the various components of the risk factors and vulnerabilities.

Council member Martinez-Rubin thanked the Planning Commission for its diligent work and the consultants and staff for the comprehensive update. She asked how updates would occur to what was currently being updated in the Housing Element and was informed by Mr. Wery there had been an incredible amount of legislative updates on housing over the past few years, more was expected, some would not take effect until next summer, but there would always be laws that would require amendments to the City's Zoning Ordinance or the PMC to reflect the new requirements. The Housing Element was trying to catch what was current, so it would never be perfect given the ever-changing legislation. The intent was to set the City up for success for the next eight years, but the City had the option to update the General Plan at any time if something substantial was required.

Council member Martinez-Rubin commented on the number of programs proposed in the next eight years of the Housing Element and asked whether the City Council was being asked to support those programs with staff to prepare a timeline to address those program ideas.

Mr. Wery commented that each of the 22 programs included a variety of components, as described in the Draft Housing Element, and it would be a good idea to prepare a calendar, sort them and identify priorities since some tasks would be easier to accomplish than others given the required resources. Each program included timelines with the intent to be very specific, which HCD wanted to see. He reiterated the City had a strong Housing Element, some of the programs were specific, some had an incentive program, and some were a bit more aspirational and flexible. The Housing Element would set a menu to pursue tenant displacement programs with goals and funding, with flexibility to adopt the program after the Housing Element had been adopted.

Community Development Director Whalen added the Community Development Department would prepare its annual work plan and plan out the activities for the upcoming fiscal year and recommend budget allocations as needed.

Council member Martinez-Rubin asked about the home match program and asked if that was an example where staff involvement would be minimal as compared to establishing a new program, and Mr. Wery explained that some of the programs may dovetail and build off of other programs and the City could coordinate resources within Contra Costa County.

Council member Martinez-Rubin clarified with Mr. Wery that ADUs would be counted towards the RHNA and the projections for the Housing Element had been based on a study done by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), which had evaluated who had actually been living and renting ADUs, and had found that ADUs were being occupied by Very Low, Low and Moderate Income households, with some Above Moderate households. HCD acknowledged that study and accepted that distribution for the Bay Area. The City could build its own data by tracking ADUs locally. Absent that everyone was relying on the ABAG study.

Council member Martinez-Rubin spoke to the residential sites inventory and asked if that was public, or public and private properties in Pinole, and Mr. Wery stated the properties were primarily private with most of the land privately owned with the exception of rights-of-way (ROWS) and parks with under-utilized and vacant properties most likely to be developed in the future.

Council member Martinez-Rubin asked about the communication between City staff and the property owners on the possibility of building future housing, to which Mr. Wery explained that the sites inventory being recommended had been mapped, included the address, Assessor's Parcel Map number, and had been included in all drafts and was public information. Staff had not contacted any of the property owners who were not obligated to develop. If a property owner did not want to develop that was the reason for the buffer so that the City maintained enough adequate sites to meet the RHNA for the eight-year period of the Housing Element. If some sites underperformed, the buffer provided a backup. He emphasized the City was in a good position, was ahead of the curve and was in good shape. He suggested the residential sites inventory was healthy with the buffer and more sites could be added, if needed.

Council member Tave commented that the City of Pinole had low numbers in terms of homelessness as compared to the County and he asked whether that had been considered in the calculations for Very Low Income units in terms of how the RHNA had been assessed.

Mr. Wery explained that there was an entire report on how the RHNA had been developed, which report offered great detail, was formulaic and looked at transit, employment and land. Most jurisdictions' RHNA had increased with the intent for the housing to be placed where it would be affordable in terms of employment, services and transit.

Council member Tave asked that the report referenced be provided to the City Council to better explain how the RHNA had been calculated and offer a better understanding of the distribution of the different income categories for the different jurisdictions, and Mr. Wery clarified that the entire region used the same formula so that everyone had a fair and rational basis for the RHNA.

Mayor Salimi commented that as new development was being built in the community, the City would be using infrastructure that was years old such as the water supply. When adding new units, he asked whether there would be sufficient resources to provide to new citizens.

Mr. Wery reported that staff had discussed whether there were real known constraints when identifying the potential sites, and while the infrastructure may be old, it was available, and if there was a deficiency it would fall under the City's infrastructure planning such as the CIP, which would be reviewed by City Departments independent of the Housing Element. He explained that the perspective of the state in terms of the Housing Element was if the City identified a water supply issue, as an example, that was not a valid reason not to meet the RHNA but for the City to invest in its water supply. Staff had not identified an eminent restriction in the Housing Element related to the water supply. He reiterated if there was a limitation, the obligation would be on the City to provide that adequate supply and it was a requirement of state law for the City to have a commitment from the water service providers to provide priority to affordable housing projects.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy clarified with Mr. Wery that some of the Planning Commission recommendations had been incorporated into the Draft Housing Element and some had not. As indicated in the PowerPoint presentation, those Planning Commission recommendations that had been shown as "considered" should be discussed by the City Council with direction provided. The recommendations could be incorporated into the Draft Housing Element or staff could defer until comments had been received from HCD to see if the recommendations were actually needed.

Mr. Wery again walked through the Planning Commission recommendations, as earlier described. For those items where staff had identified the recommendations as "consider" he clarified as an example, allowing multifamily housing on religious institution sites was allowed with multifamily and religious institutions permitted in the same zones, with the exception of two zones where they were not allowed in the same area, which included the OP-Mixed-Use and OI-Mixed Use Zones and which the City Council could work on independently of this effort.

The recommendations to consider incentivizing housing for teachers and adding teachers to funding priority policy for Extremely Low and Disabled; adding teachers to the owner-tenant matching program for seniors; allowing employee, faculty housing on school sites; could easily be worked into the existing programs by adding in teachers to the list of priority targets.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy suggested the Planning Commission recommendations would benefit the community and advance housing overall. He asked why the City would not include those recommendations, and Mr. Wery deferred to staff and stated they were doable and useful programs to consider. A lot of cities were considering similar programs and those programs could be incorporated into the Draft Housing Element if recommended by the City Council.

Community Development Director Whalen noted the Planning Commission recommendations were general recommendations. MBI had considered ways the City Council could consider fulfilling some of them and while some of the recommendations could be incorporated into the Housing Element, as appropriate, others could be deferred to allow staff the opportunity to determine how they could be incorporated into the City's overall strategy.

City Manager Murray suggested the Planning Commission recommendations were high-level concepts that had not received the same level of vetting as other program elements of the Draft Housing Element and it made sense for staff to vet them and make recommendations. He agreed that the programs identified to incentivize housing for teachers would be easy to implement whereas he was uncertain whether teachers were on the same tier as other communities that had struggled to obtain fair housing. He recommended MBI and staff be allowed to return with the pros and cons for the Planning Commission recommendations, which could come back to the City Council when considering the adoption of the Housing Element in January.

Community Development Director Whalen agreed and suggested the recommendations could also be vetted with the Planning Commission in December when the Draft Housing Element returned to the Commission to recommend approval to the City Council in January.

In response to Council member Toms, City Manager Murray explained that if the Planning Commission recommendations were included in the Draft Housing Element, the City could not easily walk back the recommendations. A better strategy would be to pursue what staff had determined to be a compelling and thorough Housing Element, and if upon further analysis more items were added HCD could not object since it would only strengthen the program.

In terms of how a commitment was considered by HCD, City Manager Murray clarified the Housing Element was essentially an agreement between the City and HCD about things the City would do to advance housing opportunities, and if the programs under discussion were included at this time, the expectation was the City would provide those programs. If there were any reservations as to any of the programs, they should not be included in the Draft Housing Element at this time. Rather, staff should be allowed the opportunity to have more time to vet the Planning Commission recommendations as staff had suggested.

ACTION: Motion by Council members Toms/Martinez-Rubin to authorize Staff to Submit the Draft Housing Element to the State Department of Housing and Community Development for the initial 90-day review.

Vote:	Passed	5-0
	Ayes:	Salimi, Murphy, Martinez-Rubin, Tave, Toms
	Noes:	None
	Abstain:	None
	Absent:	None

Mayor Salimi referenced a recent article he had read about average teacher salaries and the average housing prices in the San Francisco Bay Area that included statistics from the 1950s as compared to the present, and where the purchasing power of a teacher had decreased significantly within that 50-year period.

12. NEW BUSINESS

A. Receive Information Regarding Potential Sister City Arrangements and Provide Direction **[Action: Receive Report and Provide Direction (Rogers)]**

Community Services Director Rogers provided a PowerPoint presentation on the City Council request for a future agenda item to discuss the development of a Sister City Program, with the City Council having been approached about partnering with a city in Ukraine and a city in France. He provided an introduction and overview of a Sister City arrangement in which two cities usually located in different countries established a formalized relationship to exchange ideas, collaborate for mutual culture, possible economic advancement and to promote peace through people-to-people relationships. He also provided an overview of the initiation of Sister City International and highlighted the Sister City Program; how Sister City relationships may develop and with Sister Cities built around cultural exchanges, youth and education, community development and business and trade. Sister City service opportunities were also highlighted.

Community Services Director Rogers asked the City Council to provide feedback and guidance on potential Sister City arrangements.

Mayor Salimi reported he had recently met with government officials from Kiev, Ukraine who wanted to thank the City of Pinole for its recent proclamation and the City had also received correspondence from the General Consul of Ukraine in San Francisco. He had also been in contact with the General Consul of France in San Francisco who was working on potential Sister City partnerships. He suggested a Sister City relationship would be a great opportunity for the City of Pinole.

Council member Tave was open to exploring a Sister City relationship and wanted more information on what a Sister City expected from the City of Pinole.

Council member Martinez-Rubin suggested given Ukraine was in flux it should be allowed to settle a bit and then the City Council could hear from Ukraine about its expectations. She also clarified with the Mayor that the Mayor and the City Manager had met with the General Consul of Ukraine in San Francisco, the Governor of Kiev, and the Mayor of the City of Borodianka, Ukraine.

Council member Martinez-Rubin highlighted her experience as the Assistant Director of a California-Mexico Health Initiative that had been under the auspices of the Office of the President of the University of California system, at which time she had learned as an administrator there were expectations from people from other counties that were not at the same level of authority or power. She detailed some of the challenges faced and while not minimizing or under-appreciating the City of Pinole, recognized the City had limitations on what it could provide. Before anything was done, she wanted to know what was expected from the City.

Mayor Salimi understood that Borodianka, Ukraine was of a similar size to the City of Pinole and the expectation from the community would be different during wartime than after the country had been liberated and which would involve building relationships. He reported that certain items had been identified as needed and he recognized the City of Pinole could not provide those items. The list of needed items had been forwarded to the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors and he had also contacted Supervisor John Gioia.

Council member Martinez-Rubin pointed out that if food items were being sought, as an example, the Contra Costa and Solano Food Bank was already challenged to provide adequate amounts to feed people in Contra Costa County, and it had to be considered what Pinole could realistically offer to Ukraine. In the interest of creating friendship and offering that support, the City of Borodianka had to understand what was expected of Pinole and whether it could continue to provide that support. She recognized the General Consul of Ukraine was pleased with Pinole's level of involvement and the Mayor's interest in providing assistance, and she had personally expressed an interest in creating that kind of friendship as a form of moral support. But when it came to formalizing that support, the City Council's limitations needed to be explored to determine how that support would actually occur.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy thanked staff for the presentation and the Mayor for driving the conversation. He found it was a great idea but had not yet been flushed out, with community engagement needed. He was intrigued with how a Sister City could be selected and wanted to know how the City could develop a community planning process to support the establishment of a Sister City relationship and adopt policies to support the initiative. He was uncertain whether a Sister City was in the General Plan and if not whether it should be incorporated. He was also interested in how to support cities in Ukraine given the urgency of the matter and a community planning process could prioritize which Sister City could be engaged.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy suggested as part of land acknowledgement, it was important to build that relationship locally with a Native American tribe or one across the globe, and he looked forward to elevating that conversation as well. He added the Balancing Act Tool, which had been used to engage the public on the use of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds, could be considered to allow the public to have the opportunity to select a city or cities or consider a public survey where the public could vote on a potential Sister City. In addition, he recommended this item should be considered by the Community Services Commission as a way to develop timelines, a planning process, and a policy for the initiative and it would be a great opportunity to discuss the cultural diversity of the City and what community service meant globally.

Mayor Salimi advised the consular services for each country had suggested to the City of Pinole which city to consider based on size and requirements but he was uncertain of the tool used to make that selection. He understood the City needed to take time but he wanted to help people in need during wartime.

Council member Toms suggested a cultural relationship as a Sister City was a nice idea for Pinole, but she questioned who would be responsible for cultivating that relationship and keeping it alive. In 1993 when Pinole had a Sister City relationship with the Hoopa Tribe, the Mayor at that time had been responsible and while that Sister City relationship had been strong for a few years it had declined. Since that Sister City had previously been established, perhaps the City could see if that relationship could be revived. She also wanted to recognize the work the Mayor had done in contacting people in Ukraine and liked the idea of forwarding the recommendation to the Community Services Commission to get feedback on what a Sister City relationship meant. She suggested that fundraising efforts for Ukraine during wartime was a possibility for some local organizations but may be limited and she was uncertain what kind of assistance the City could provide at this time. She also suggested the purview for the program needed to be clarified whether under the Mayor's Office or the Community Services Commission.

Council member Martinez-Rubin suggested working with an existing body, such as the Community Services Commission, would be fitting but to what level of interest could be pursued remained to be determined. The West Contra Costa Unified School District (WCCUSD) had an active group of parents who hosted international students and that could be an option to enhance what was already in Pinole, with the added element of reaching out internationally.

Mayor Salimi reported he had reached out to the Superintendent of the WCCUSD and hoped to discuss the Sister City Program.

Council member Tave wanted to know what cities had successful Sister City relationships and what steps had been taken to carry on the program. He liked the idea and while the City had a Sister City arrangement in the past, if proceeding community involvement would be important and more research would be needed.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy offered a motion, seconded by Council member Tave that staff through the consultation of, and with the recommendations from, the Community Services Commission develop a community-wide planning process to support the establishment of a Sister City Relationship Policy and adopt policies or actions to support that initiative and prioritize the relationship.

On the motion, Council member Toms commented the City Council was assuming the Community Services Commission wanted to move forward although it had yet to be provided input.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy recognized the Community Services Commission may not support this initiative but regardless he wanted feedback from that Commission.

Mayor Salimi hoped the process could start with the Ukrainian city of Borodianka now given the wartime conditions prior to it going to the Community Services Commission and given that time was of the essence.

Council member Toms suggested the motion be amended to reflect a Sister City had already been selected for Ukraine.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy recognized that Ukraine was in the middle of a war but many countries had been at war for decades and he did not want to lose the opportunity to educate the community around the Sister City initiatives, relationships or buy-in from the public.

Council member Toms commented that a lot of the work had already been done by the Mayor. After his term ended, another City leader would have to pick up and do that work and it should not be left up to staff to do that work. She was uncertain whether the next Mayor would take on that responsibility with another foreign government when that work had already been done by the current Mayor.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Rafael Menis, Pinole, referenced the November 15, 2022 staff report which detailed the items the City of Borodianka, Ukraine could use including temporary shelters and personal protection equipment. He suggested not all options to provide direct aid were out of range for the City of Pinole given its resources as a city.

Mr. Menis suggested that setting aside all potential sources of humanitarian aid was not out of the budgetary range to start the discussion and he suggested the City should be able to calibrate an appropriate level of expenditure and work from there. It was also worthwhile to recognize the work the Mayor had done to speak to his Ukrainian counterparts and other high level officials in Ukraine, to identify cities that were relatively speaking a match for the City of Pinole. He stated it would be beneficial to have a broader policy to identify what the City was looking for in a Sister City relationship, stated the community had indicated its support for Ukraine with the recent proclamation, and Ukraine was willing to work with Pinole to select a city and to pursue the necessary ties and communication links to establish a relationship.

Mr. Menis suggested the motion on the floor should be amended to adopt the Sister City of Borodianka, Ukraine before the Community Services Commission started the process and identify the level of resources the City of Pinole could feasibly contribute towards humanitarian aid, if any.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy retracted his motion.

Council member Martinez-Rubin suggested less formal direction and recommended the Community Services Commission consider an item on its next meeting agenda to discuss Potential Sister City Arrangements and come back to the City Council with feedback.

Mayor Salimi again had hoped the City Council would make a motion to enter into a Sister City agreement with Borodianka, Ukraine, and requested that staff return to the City Council on the next step. Once the next step was known the City could determine who would do what. He offered a motion to that effect.

Council member Toms asked for clarification whether the motion was to direct staff to enter into an agreement with Borodianka, Ukraine or move forward to explore the possibility, and Mayor Salimi explained he would like to authorize staff to move forward and explore what it would take to enter into a Sister City agreement and return to the City Council with that information.

With that clarification, Council member Toms seconded the motion.

Council member Martinez-Rubin requested a report back to the City Council about what staff had discovered. She did not want staff to spend a tremendous amount of time on something that required more dedicated time and she did not want staff time taken away from current projects.

City Manager Murray commented that a Sister City arrangement could take on many dimensions, it could be quite complex or simple and he sought direction from the City Council on the scope of the Sister City relationship. Based on the motion made, it could be done but how he approached the motion would be to approach the Ukrainian counterparts and ask what they thought it would look like and staff would return with options. He pointed out staff would not be able to get far before needing specific direction from the City Council. He interpreted the motion as direction to staff to explore specifics of a Sister City arrangement with Borodianka, Ukraine.

Council member Toms suggested the exploration would be for cultural purposes not for financial purposes, which could narrow the scope and City Manager Murray confirmed that would narrow the scope and staff could find out the interest and variety of a cultural exchange.

Mayor Salimi recommended instead that the Ukrainian counterparts simply be asked what they wanted and the City of Pinole could narrow down what it could do. He restated his motion for the City Council to direct staff to explore a Sister City agreement with Borodianka, Ukraine and direct staff to return with a list of items required and how the City of Pinole could move forward.

Council member Toms had seconded the initial motion but suggested it should be narrowed to cultural and not financial interests given the big ticket items identified in the staff report, although Mayor Salimi stated those items were not expected to be provided by the City of Pinole and the Board of Supervisors or other entities could be contacted to request assistance.

Mayor Pro Tem Murphy read into the record specific sections of the PMC and the duties of the Community Services Commission. He wanted the Community Services Commission to be part of this initiative and emphasized the number of unanswered questions around a great idea. In terms of energy storage, he suggested there could be opportunities with MCE and building a global program. As much as he liked the idea and understood the sense of urgency, without a plan there were challenges. As the incoming Mayor, he would be more than happy to continue the partnership the Mayor had started and again suggested this was a forum for the Community Services Commission, which could be part of other future opportunities.

City Manager Murray suggested it was within the City Council's authority to send an item or task to a relevant City Commission, and in this case to task the Community Services Commission to flesh out the idea and obtain community input.

Mayor Salimi suggested it could go to the Community Services Commission once there was a better understanding of what the Ukrainian counterparts wanted. He again restated his motion to provide staff direction to reach out to the Ukrainian government to find out what was required to enter into a Sister City agreement with Borodianka, Ukraine and return to the City Council. Once the City Council had direction, it could direct the Community Services Commission to move forward or do something else.

Council member Tave asked whether City staff could work with the Ukrainian government and reach out to the Community Services Commission and come back to the City Council with more content. He did not want to place unrealistic expectations and he asked that to be part of the motion.

Mayor Salimi further restated his motion to provide staff direction to reach out to the Ukrainian government to find out what was required to enter into a Sister City agreement with Borodianka, Ukraine and get back to both the City Council and the Community Services Commission.

City Manager Murray understood the Community Services Commission aspect was to engage the Community Services Commission in the development of a policy regarding Sister City arrangements that had a substantial community engagement component.

Council member Tave seconded the motion.

On the motion, Council member Martinez-Rubin suggested the City get a pulse as to the extent of the involvement of the Community Services Commission and interest in doing something the City Council had given them as a task to consider and how they would dedicate their time to that new task. A subcommittee of that Commission may be necessary but was currently unknown.

Council member Martinez-Rubin added that the discussions and interactions between government officials could stir expectations and she did not want Ukrainian officials to offer a list of things that the City of Pinole could not provide.

ACTION: Motion by Mayor Salimi/Council member Tave to provide staff direction to reach out to the Ukrainian government to find out what was required to enter into a Sister City agreement with Borodianka, Ukraine, and return to the City Council and to the Community Services Commission at the same time.

Vote:	Passed	4-1
	Ayes:	Salimi, Murphy, Tave, Toms
	Noes:	Martinez-Rubin
	Abstain:	None
	Absent:	None

13. CITIZENS TO BE HEARD (Continued from Item 6) (Public Comments)

Only open to members of the public who did not speak under the first Citizens to be Heard, Agenda Item 6.

Citizens may speak under any item not listed on the Agenda. *The time limit is 3 minutes and is subject to modification by the Mayor. Individuals may not share or offer time to another speaker. Pursuant to provisions of the Brown Act, no action may be taken on a matter unless it is listed on the agenda, or unless certain emergency or special circumstances exist. The City Council may direct staff to investigate and/or schedule certain matters for consideration at a future Council meeting.*

Deputy City Clerk, Stone reported there were no comments from the public.

14. ADJOURNMENT to the Regular City Council Meeting of December 6, 2022 in Remembrance of Amber Swartz and Stanley Casher.

At 10:22 p.m., Mayor Salimi adjourned the meeting to the Regular City Council Meeting of December 6, 2022 in Remembrance of Amber Swartz and Stanley Casher.

Submitted by:

Heather Bell, CMC
City Clerk

Approved by City Council:



City of Pinole, CA

9B WARRANT LISTING By Vendor Name

Payment Dates 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
Vendor: 4LE00 - 4LEAF, INC.					
J3681A22	100565	11/18/2022	100-231-42101	FIRE INSPECTION SERVICE 10/1-10/31/22 LAURICELLA	15,120.00
Vendor 4LE00 - 4LEAF, INC. Total:					15,120.00
Vendor: ADA03 - ADAMSON POLICE PRODUCTS					
INV386641	100566	11/18/2022	100-221-42514	MISC SAFETY EQUIPMENT PD	970.86
INV386982	100566	11/18/2022	100-221-42514	BASIC PATROL BAG PD	54.82
Vendor ADA03 - ADAMSON POLICE PRODUCTS Total:					1,025.68
Vendor: PRO18 - ADT COMMERCIAL					
10312022	100621	11/25/2022	209-553-42108	ALARM SERVICE NOV.24-DEC.23, 2022 TINY TOTS	93.20
Vendor PRO18 - ADT COMMERCIAL Total:					93.20
Vendor: AIR10 - AIRGAS USA, LLC					
9992675774	100622	11/25/2022	100-231-42107	CYL MED LARGE AIR FIRE	108.45
Vendor AIR10 - AIRGAS USA, LLC Total:					108.45
Vendor: ALH01 - ALHAMBRA & SIERRA SPRINGS					
5025519 110622	100641	12/02/2022	500-641-42201	WATER FOR WPCP	125.87
5025531 110622	100623	11/25/2022	100-343-44306	WATER FOR CY	148.36
Vendor ALH01 - ALHAMBRA & SIERRA SPRINGS Total:					274.23
Vendor: 2073 - ALLIED FLUID PRODUCTS CORP					
INV47076	100642	12/02/2022	500-641-44306	6" TEE DUCTILE IRON WPCP	539.25
Vendor 2073 - ALLIED FLUID PRODUCTS CORP Total:					539.25
Vendor: ALL14 - ALLSTAR FIRE EQUIPMENT, INC.					
243742	100624	11/25/2022	100-231-42107	HELMET FIRE	5,505.06
Vendor ALL14 - ALLSTAR FIRE EQUIPMENT, INC. Total:					5,505.06
Vendor: 2463 - AMANDA VASQUEZ					
11212022	100643	12/02/2022	100-221-42302	MILEAGE TO POLICE ACADEMY	30.88
Vendor 2463 - AMANDA VASQUEZ Total:					30.88
Vendor: 2454 - AMY EUBANKS					
10242022	100567	11/18/2022	100-221-42303	LUNCH ALLOTMENT TRAINING PD	18.00
Vendor 2454 - AMY EUBANKS Total:					18.00
Vendor: ATT01 - AT&T					
000019033058	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	MIS PHONE	882.63
000019040696	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	FIRE DPT PHONE	813.05
000019040702	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	PUBLIC WORKS PHONE	1,029.16
000019040704	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	RECREATION DPT PHONE	891.60
000019040705	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	CDD PHONE	239.23
000019040707	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	EOC PHONE	174.98
000019041230	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE PHONE	211.81
00019040703	100644	12/02/2022	525-118-43101	ADMINISTRATION PHONE	3,819.92
Vendor ATT01 - AT&T Total:					8,062.38
Vendor: 2419 - BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP					
47203	100568	11/18/2022	207-344-42102	MONSANTO LITIGATION MATTER	5,665.00
Vendor 2419 - BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP Total:					5,665.00
Vendor: BAR40 - BARRY EVANS STUDIO					
112222	100645	12/02/2022	100-111-42514	PHOTO SESSION LEADERSHIP TEAM	792.06
Vendor BAR40 - BARRY EVANS STUDIO Total:					792.06

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
Vendor: BAY01 - BAY AREA AIR QUALITY					
T145969	100646	12/02/2022	500-641-44304	ANNUAL PERMIT RENEWAL WPCP	271.00
Vendor BAY01 - BAY AREA AIR QUALITY Total:					271.00
Vendor: BAY04 - BAY AREA BARRICADE SVC.					
0036600	100625	11/25/2022	100-343-44410	SAND BAGS, BOOTS AND CONE SIGS CY	1,241.55
Vendor BAY04 - BAY AREA BARRICADE SVC. Total:					1,241.55
Vendor: BAY34 - BAY AREA NEWS GROUP- EAST BAY					
0001357013	100569	11/18/2022	100-112-42514	CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING LEGALS ADS	72.00
0001357013	100569	11/18/2022	100-112-42514	CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING LEGALS ADS	149.40
0001357013	100569	11/18/2022	100-115-42514	CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING LEGALS ADS	281.70
0001357013	100569	11/18/2022	212-461-42514	CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING LEGALS ADS	141.30
Vendor BAY34 - BAY AREA NEWS GROUP- EAST BAY Total:					644.40
Vendor: ESP01 - BELINDA ESPINOSA					
DECEMBER 2022	100647	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICARE REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	494.00
Vendor ESP01 - BELINDA ESPINOSA Total:					494.00
Vendor: BIR05 - BIRITE FOODSERVICE DISTRIBUTORS					
6411776	100626	11/25/2022	209-552-43804	DAILY LUNCH PROGRAM PSC	2,387.66
6413192	100626	11/25/2022	209-552-43804	CREDIT FOOD PROGRAM PSC	-6.68
6417198	100626	11/25/2022	209-552-43804	DAILY LUNCH PROGRAM PSC	1,063.50
Vendor BIR05 - BIRITE FOODSERVICE DISTRIBUTORS Total:					3,444.48
Vendor: BOR02 - BORGES & MAHONEY CO.					
144028	100648	12/02/2022	500-641-44303	BUFFER SOLUTION AND IODATE WPCP	507.05
Vendor BOR02 - BORGES & MAHONEY CO. Total:					507.05
Vendor: BOU01 - BOUND TREE MEDICAL, LLC					
84746714	100570	11/18/2022	100-231-42101	MEDICAL SUPPLIES FIRE	1,842.51
Vendor BOU01 - BOUND TREE MEDICAL, LLC Total:					1,842.51
Vendor: PER03 - CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTM					
10000001681677	100571	11/18/2022	100-117-41004	ANNUAL UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIAB NOV. 2022	146,841.25
100000016981669	100572	11/18/2022	100-117-41004	ANNUAL UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIABILITY NOV. 2022	122,253.58
100000016981687	100571	11/18/2022	100-117-41004	ANNUAL UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIAB NOV. 2022	137.08
100000016981696	100571	11/18/2022	100-117-41004	ANNUAL UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIABILITY NOV. 2022	859.08
100000016981705	100571	11/18/2022	100-117-41004	ANNUAL UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIAB NOV. 2022	486.25
Vendor PER03 - CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTM Total:					270,577.24
Vendor: CAL01 - CALTEST ANALYTICAL LAB					
702008	100649	12/02/2022	500-641-44305	LAB SUPPLIES WPCP	209.95
Vendor CAL01 - CALTEST ANALYTICAL LAB Total:					209.95
Vendor: CAR30 - CAROLLO ENGINEERS INC					
FB28827-19	100573	11/18/2022	500-642-47201	SANITARY SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM MASTER PLAN	1,994.00
Vendor CAR30 - CAROLLO ENGINEERS INC Total:					1,994.00
Vendor: CCP03 - CCP INDUSTRIES					
IN03147435	100627	11/25/2022	100-343-44410	NITRILE POWDER FREE EXAM GLOVES PW	399.56
Vendor CCP03 - CCP INDUSTRIES Total:					399.56

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
Vendor: 2060 - CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPPLY CO., INC.					
2210-101405	100574	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	3/4 DRAIN ROCK CRUSHED CY	30.78
Vendor 2060 - CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPPLY CO., INC. Total:					30.78
Vendor: 2229 - CHAPLIN AND HILL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES LLC					
C&HIS 22-17	100575	11/18/2022	100-222-42101	INVESTIGATION PD	5,635.13
C&HIS 22-17_1	100575	11/18/2022	100-222-42101	INVESTIGATION PD	3,815.00
Vendor 2229 - CHAPLIN AND HILL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES LLC Total:					9,450.13
Vendor: CIT08 - CITY MECHANICAL, INC					
87601	100576	11/18/2022	209-552-42108	HVAC PSC	872.20
87620	100576	11/18/2022	100-343-42108	HVAC CH	1,212.51
87775	100628	11/25/2022	100-343-42107	HVAC SERVICE CALL CH	1,680.92
Vendor CIT08 - CITY MECHANICAL, INC Total:					3,765.63
Vendor: FAI04 - CITY OF FAIRFIELD					
230242	100650	12/02/2022	100-221-42511	RANGE USAGE FEE PD	729.00
Vendor FAI04 - CITY OF FAIRFIELD Total:					729.00
Vendor: RIC03 - CITY OF RICHMOND C/O FINANCE DEPARTMENT					
11292022	100651	12/02/2022	100-110-42303	CCC MAYOR'S CONFERENCE 3 COUNCIL MEMBERS	165.00
Vendor RIC03 - CITY OF RICHMOND C/O FINANCE DEPARTMENT Total:					165.00
Vendor: 2405 - CLIENTFIRST CONSULTING GROUP, LLC.					
14238	100577	11/18/2022	525-118-42101	SERVICES UPGRADE OF PERMITTING LIC	8,783.75
14263	100577	11/18/2022	525-118-42101	SERVICES UPGRADE OF PERMITTING LICEN	7,395.00
Vendor 2405 - CLIENTFIRST CONSULTING GROUP, LLC. Total:					16,178.75
Vendor: 2242 - COLE PRO MEDIA, LLC					
3244	100652	12/02/2022	225-221-42514	TRANSPARENCY ENGAGEMENT PD	2,000.00
Vendor 2242 - COLE PRO MEDIA, LLC Total:					2,000.00
Vendor: COL02 - COLE-PARMER INSTRUMENT CO					
3289516	100578	11/18/2022	500-641-44305	WEIGH DISH LAB SUPPLIES WPCP	74.88
Vendor COL02 - COLE-PARMER INSTRUMENT CO Total:					74.88
Vendor: COM20 - COMCAST					
0050875-11142022	100653	12/02/2022	100-117-43105	CABLE CH	29.86
0253131-11092022	100629	11/25/2022	100-231-43105	CABLE FIRE	10.67
0409972-11012022	100579	11/18/2022	100-231-43105	INTERNET FIRE	113.80
0419492-11022022	100579	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	INTERNET SWIM CENTER	141.89
158979455	100580	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	INTENET PD	888.41
Vendor COM20 - COMCAST Total:					1,184.63
Vendor: CON93 - CONCORD UNIFORMS LLC					
20042	100654	12/02/2022	100-222-44410	BATON AND GROMMET PD	38.30
Vendor CON93 - CONCORD UNIFORMS LLC Total:					38.30
Vendor: 1727 - CONTRA COSTA COUNTY LIBRARY					
FY21-22 Q4 MAINT	100655	12/02/2022	100-551-42101	FACILITIES MAINTENANCE FY21-22 Q4	32,493.48
Vendor 1727 - CONTRA COSTA COUNTY LIBRARY Total:					32,493.48
Vendor: CON10 - CONTRA COSTA COUNTY POLICE CHIEFS' ASSOCIATION					
23-W16	100581	11/18/2022	100-221-42301	2023 COUNTY CHIEFS WORKSHOP	1,250.00
Vendor CON10 - CONTRA COSTA COUNTY POLICE CHIEFS' ASSOCIATION Total:					1,250.00
Vendor: CCC13 - CONTRA COSTA COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT					
705482	100656	12/02/2022	200-342-42101	TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAINTENANCE PW	71,659.95
705482	100656	12/02/2022	310-347-42101	TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAINTENANCE PW	1,012.32

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
705482	100656	12/02/2022	310-348-42101	TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAINTENANCE PW	168.72
Vendor CCC13 - CONTRA COSTA COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT Total:					72,840.99
Vendor: COR12 - CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS LLC					
82152799	100582	11/18/2022	525-118-42510	REALQUEST SOFTWARE CITYWIDE USAGE	698.41
Vendor COR12 - CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS LLC Total:					698.41
Vendor: 2456 - COVANTA ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, LLC					
CI214080	100583	11/18/2022	100-222-42101	EVIDENCE DISPOSAL PD	401.10
Vendor 2456 - COVANTA ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, LLC Total:					401.10
Vendor: 2220 - CRESCO EQUIPMENT RENTALS					
5540972-0010	100584	11/18/2022	100-343-42511	INSULATED BUCKET TRUCK RENTAL CY	71.40
5540972-0011	100584	11/18/2022	100-343-42511	INSULATED BUCKET TRUCK RENTAL CY	4,387.00
5540972-0012	100584	11/18/2022	100-343-42511	INSULATED BUCKET TRUCK RENTAL CY	4,387.00
Vendor 2220 - CRESCO EQUIPMENT RENTALS Total:					8,845.40
Vendor: 2424 - CROCKETT AWARD & TROPHY SERVICES					
950041	100657	12/02/2022	100-110-42201	NAME PLATES CITY CLERK	59.49
Vendor 2424 - CROCKETT AWARD & TROPHY SERVICES Total:					59.49
Vendor: 2080 - CSW-STUBER-STROEH ENGINEERING GROUP INC					
2209122	100585	11/18/2022	200-342-42101	TENNENT AVE. ROAD SAFETY RECOMMENDATIONS	3,224.00
2209123	100585	11/18/2022	200-342-42101	ROAD SAFETY RECOMMENDATIONS - PVR & PINOLE MIDDLE	2,484.00
2210052	100585	11/18/2022	377-342-47205	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING AND DESIGN SERVICES	666.00
2210101	100585	11/18/2022	325-342-47205	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING AND DESIGN SERVICES	1,008.00
2211003	100585	11/18/2022	200-342-42101	EMERGENCY TRAFFIC CONTROL AT APPIAN & CANYON	3,000.00
2211003	100585	11/18/2022	200-342-42101	EMERGENCY TRAFFIC CONTROL AT APPIAN & CANYON	9,982.40
Vendor 2080 - CSW-STUBER-STROEH ENGINEERING GROUP INC Total:					20,364.40
Vendor: COO13 - DANA COOK					
DECEMBER 2022	100658	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICAL REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	243.37
Vendor COO13 - DANA COOK Total:					243.37
Vendor: 1443 - DIESEL DIRECT WEST, INC.					
84826762	100586	11/18/2022	500-10601	USLD CLEAR CY	4,702.98
84830296	100586	11/18/2022	500-10601	USLD CLEAR CY	8,872.75
84832141	100630	11/25/2022	100-10601	GASOLINE UNL CY	1,842.40
84835561	100630	11/25/2022	100-10601	GASOLINE UNL CY	3,418.66
84838994	100630	11/25/2022	100-10602	ULSD CLEAR FIRE STATION	3,323.66
Vendor 1443 - DIESEL DIRECT WEST, INC. Total:					22,160.45
Vendor: EBM01 - EBMUD					
231772-11022022	100587	11/18/2022	100-343-43102	2785 SIMAS AVE--IRRIGATION USE ONLY	140.03
539199-11012022	100587	11/18/2022	500-642-43102	05005 HYDRAND PERMIT- CONSTRUCTION	862.97
Vendor EBM01 - EBMUD Total:					1,003.00
Vendor: 1612 - FIRST VANGUARD RENTALS & SALES					
1-505670-2	100588	11/18/2022	100-345-42511	RENTAL SOLAR LIGHT TOWER CY	618.83
Vendor 1612 - FIRST VANGUARD RENTALS & SALES Total:					618.83

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
Vendor: FIS01 - FISHER SCIENTIFIC					
7807393	100589	11/18/2022	500-641-44305	IODINE, CLENNG SOLN SAMPLE KIT WPCP	451.97
Vendor FIS01 - FISHER SCIENTIFIC Total:					451.97
Vendor: FOL02 - FOLSOM LAKE FORD					
FL2002	100659	12/02/2022	106-221-47104	VEHICLE AND REGISTRATION PD	37,268.65
Vendor FOL02 - FOLSOM LAKE FORD Total:					37,268.65
Vendor: 2443 - GALINDO TREE CARE INC.					
11042022	100590	11/18/2022	100-231-42512	REMOVE LOGS FIRE	9,900.00
11122022	100631	11/25/2022	100-231-42512	TREES REMOVAL FOR FIRE PREVENTION	9,900.00
Vendor 2443 - GALINDO TREE CARE INC. Total:					19,800.00
Vendor: GAT07 - GATEWAY PINOLE VISTA, LLC					
DECEMBER 2022	100660	12/02/2022	201-343-42513	BASE RENT 1340 FITZGERALD DRIVE DECEMBER 2022	100.00
Vendor GAT07 - GATEWAY PINOLE VISTA, LLC Total:					100.00
Vendor: 2457 - GEOLINKS					
BD0076693	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25 IT	112.68
BD0076724	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25	499.00
BD0087213	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25	499.00
BD0092387	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25	499.00
BD0098113	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25	499.00
BD0103485	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25	499.00
BD0108631	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25	499.00
BD0114474	100591	11/18/2022	525-118-43101	CLEAR FIBER DIA 25	499.00
Vendor 2457 - GEOLINKS Total:					3,605.68
Vendor: 1191 - GERALDINE A. PORTER					
11042022	100592	11/18/2022	209-20015	GIFT SHOP SALES PSC	10.00
Vendor 1191 - GERALDINE A. PORTER Total:					10.00
Vendor: GRA03 - GRAINGER					
9499305929	100661	12/02/2022	500-641-44306	PUMP WPCP	656.45
9504227282	100661	12/02/2022	500-641-44306	FLOOR COATING WHITE WPCP	278.65
9507210632	100661	12/02/2022	500-641-44306	PACKING SEAL WPCP	50.24
Vendor GRA03 - GRAINGER Total:					985.34
Vendor: 1112 - GRAY-BOWEN-SCOTT					
21599	100593	11/18/2022	325-342-47205	PM SERVICES: DESIGN PHASE OF SPA BRIDGE REPLACMNT	3,775.00
21654	100593	11/18/2022	325-342-47205	PM SERVICES: DESIGN PHASE OF SPA BRIDGE REPLACMNT	2,527.50
Vendor 1112 - GRAY-BOWEN-SCOTT Total:					6,302.50
Vendor: 2371 - GREEN HALO SYSTEMS INC					
3913	100594	11/18/2022	212-462-42106	HOST & ACCESS	175.00
Vendor 2371 - GREEN HALO SYSTEMS INC Total:					175.00
Vendor: H&R02 - H & R PLUMBING AND DRAIN CLEANING, INC.					
2483	100662	12/02/2022	500-641-42108	CONCRETE STRUCTURE REPAIR WPCP	7,200.00
Vendor H&R02 - H & R PLUMBING AND DRAIN CLEANING, INC. Total:					7,200.00
Vendor: HAC01 - HACH COMPANY					
13335216	100663	12/02/2022	500-641-44305	SAMPLER EXTRA CUP LAB SUPPLIES WPCP	19.03
Vendor HAC01 - HACH COMPANY Total:					19.03
Vendor: HOM01 - HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICE					
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-222-42514	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	36.69
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	159.77
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	302.49
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	152.86
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	122.81

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	103.50
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	82.96
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	75.85
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	59.13
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	39.28
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	26.09
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	19.60
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	5.22
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-343-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	17.39
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-345-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	17.28
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-345-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	23.47
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	100-345-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	60.18
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	209-552-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	10.83
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	500-641-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	35.46
OCTOBER 2022	100595	11/18/2022	500-641-44306	CITYWIDE PURCHASESS	82.49
Vendor HOM01 - HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICE Total:					1,433.35
Vendor: 2462 - HONEYVINE BOARDS AND BOXES, LLC					
0007	100664	12/02/2022	100-221-42304	GRAZING TABLE FOR END O F THE YEAR HOLIDAY DINNER	480.00
Vendor 2462 - HONEYVINE BOARDS AND BOXES, LLC Total:					480.00
Vendor: HYA01 - HYATT REGENCY					
11212022	100665	12/02/2022	100-221-42302	LODGING FOR ICI CORE PD	1,892.00
Vendor HYA01 - HYATT REGENCY Total:					1,892.00
Vendor: 1683 - HYDROSCIENCE ENGINEERS, INC.					
459002003	100596	11/18/2022	503-643-42101	REDLINE EDITS TO AS-BUILTS	7,687.50
459002004	100666	12/02/2022	503-643-42101	PREPARATION OF AS-BUILT DRAWINGS WPCP	1,000.00
Vendor 1683 - HYDROSCIENCE ENGINEERS, INC. Total:					8,687.50
Vendor: MEL05 - JAMES MELVIN					
DECEMBER 2022	100667	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICARE REIMB DECEMBER 2022	154.10
Vendor MEL05 - JAMES MELVIN Total:					154.10
Vendor: 2150 - JEREMY CRONE					
11222022	100668	12/02/2022	100-221-42302	AIRANB FOR 2 MONTH OF SCHOOL PD	2,400.41
Vendor 2150 - JEREMY CRONE Total:					2,400.41
Vendor: HAR29 - JOHN HARDESTER					
DECEMBER 2022	100669	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICARE REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	250.50
Vendor HAR29 - JOHN HARDESTER Total:					250.50
Vendor: MIS01 - JULIAN MISRA					
DECEMBER 2022	100670	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICARE REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	221.80
Vendor MIS01 - JULIAN MISRA Total:					221.80
Vendor: 2461 - KAREN VERGARA CORREA					
11212022	100671	12/02/2022	100-221-42304	EMPLOYEE HOLIDAY DINNER EVENT PD	6,455.00
Vendor 2461 - KAREN VERGARA CORREA Total:					6,455.00
Vendor: KEL09 - KELLER CANYON LANDFILL					
4212-000031566	100597	11/18/2022	500-641-44302	SLUDGE REMOVAL WPCP	8,218.07
4212-000031614	100672	12/02/2022	500-641-44302	SLUDGE REMOVAL WPCP	6,503.63
Vendor KEL09 - KELLER CANYON LANDFILL Total:					14,721.70
Vendor: COP02 - KENETH COPPO					
DECEMBER 2022	100673	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICAL REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	1,148.64
Vendor COP02 - KENETH COPPO Total:					1,148.64

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
Vendor: KNO03 - KNORR SYSTEMS, INC.					
SI243457	100674	12/02/2022	209-557-42108	MINI BULK SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE CY	1,770.34
Vendor KNO03 - KNORR SYSTEMS, INC. Total:					1,770.34
Vendor: 1424 - KRISTINA SANTOYO					
10312022	100632	11/25/2022	209-552-43804	PETTY CASH REIMB FOR PSC	14.80
10312022	100632	11/25/2022	209-552-43809	PETTY CASH REIMB FOR PSC	30.00
10312022	100632	11/25/2022	209-552-43809	PETTY CASH REIMB FOR PSC	140.00
10312022	100632	11/25/2022	209-552-43809	PETTY CASH REIMB FOR PSC	180.00
Vendor 1424 - KRISTINA SANTOYO Total:					364.80
Vendor: LAN01 - LANER ELECTRIC SUPPLY, INC					
933585	100633	11/25/2022	100-343-44306	ETRON BALANCES ELECTRICAL CY	299.35
Vendor LAN01 - LANER ELECTRIC SUPPLY, INC Total:					299.35
Vendor: LAR04 - LARRY WALKER ASSOCIATES					
00243.11-18	100675	12/02/2022	500-641-42101	NPDES PERMIT ASSISTANCE WPCP	268.00
Vendor LAR04 - LARRY WALKER ASSOCIATES Total:					268.00
Vendor: LEA01 - LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES					
4988	100676	12/02/2022	100-110-42301	EAST BAY DIVISION MEETING CITY COUNCIL	100.00
Vendor LEA01 - LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES Total:					100.00
Vendor: CUR03 - LN CURTIS & SONS					
CM34224	100598	11/18/2022	100-231-42107	HUSQVARNA CUSTOM	-214.34
INV646646	100598	11/18/2022	100-231-44410	GOLD COBRA BARRIAIRE UNIFORM FIRE	2,122.09
Vendor CUR03 - LN CURTIS & SONS Total:					1,907.75
Vendor: 2464 - MARIA HUERTA					
11212022	100677	12/02/2022	100-221-42302	MILEAGE TO POLICE ACADEMY PD	267.46
Vendor 2464 - MARIA HUERTA Total:					267.46
Vendor: DRA01 - MARY DRAZBA					
DECEMBER 2022	100678	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICAL REIMBUR DECEMBER 2002	404.34
Vendor DRA01 - MARY DRAZBA Total:					404.34
Vendor: MCM05 - MCMASTER-CARR SUPPLY CO.					
87812928	100679	12/02/2022	500-641-44306	MISCELLANEOUS SUPPLIES WPCP	145.72
Vendor MCM05 - MCMASTER-CARR SUPPLY CO. Total:					145.72
Vendor: MEY01 - MEYERS NAVE, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION					
197132	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	CITY ATTORNEY SERVICES	11,331.78
197134	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS	1,944.00
197135	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUESTS	242.00
197136	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	RISK MANAGEMENT	248.00
197137	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	PINOLE SQUARE SHOPPING CENTER CR	1,476.00
197138	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	PINOLE SHORES II CR	1,089.00
197139	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	CHARTER CITY MEASURE	6,546.00
197140	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	COVID-19	460.00
197141	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	1500 FITZGERALD CR	11,044.00
197142	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES FINANCE	2,170.00
197143	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES PUBLIC WORKS	3,127.00
197144	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	PUBLIC WORKS NON-ROUTINE PROJECTS	2,329.50
197145	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	CLAIM AGAINST PG&E FOR DELAYS TO PROJECT	3,423.00

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
197146	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	MVP CONSTRUCTION LLC DISPUTE	102.00
197147	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CITY CLERK	217.00
197148	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CITY ATTORNEY	1,008.00
197149	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES POLICE	168.00
197150	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT	1,435.00
197151	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CODE ENFORCEMENT	756.00
197152	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	2,460.00
197153	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES FIRE	1,796.00
197154	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	HOUSING SUCCESSOR RDA AFFORDABLE	66.00
197155	100599	11/18/2022	100-20011	SUCCESSOR AGENCY RDA SURPLUS	925.00
197756	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	CITY ATTORNEY SERVICES	8,333.69
197757	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	TRAVEL	330.00
197758	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS	945.00
197759	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUESTS	5,821.00
197760	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	PINOLE SHORES II CR	2,181.00
197761	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	COVID 19	348.00
197762	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	1500 FITZGERALD CR	637.00
197763	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES FINANCE	461.00
197764	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES PUBLIC WORKS	3,001.00
197765	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	CLAIM AGAINST PG&E FOR DELAYS TO PROJECT	756.00
197766	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CITY CLERK	93.00
197767	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES POLICE	60.00
197768	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT	5,144.50
197769	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CODE ENFORCEMENT	1,075.00
197770	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	4,444.00
197771	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES FIRE	6,846.00
197772	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES RECREATION	1,001.00
197773	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	SUCCESSOR AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE	966.00
197774	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	HOUSING SUCCESSOR RDA AFFORDABLE	1,311.00
198847	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	CITY ATTORNEY SERVICES	14,084.88
198849	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS	2,268.00
198850	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST	1,367.86
198851	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	RISK MANAGEMENT	93.00
198852	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	PINOLE SHORES II CR	6,776.50
198853	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	COVID 19	155.00
198854	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	2151 APPIAN WAY CR	1,540.00
198855	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES FINANCE	1,147.00
198856	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	FINANCE ANNUAL AUDIT LETTERS	341.00
198857	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES PUBLIC WORKS	4,582.00
198858	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	MVP CONSTRUCTION LLC DISPUTE	3,882.00
198859	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CITY CLERK	186.00
198860	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CITY ATTORNEY	336.00
198861	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES POLICE	1,624.00

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
198862	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT	2,495.40
198863	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES CODE ENFORCEMENT	1,303.00
198864	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	1,705.00
198865	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES FIRE	9,974.00
198866	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	GENERAL SERVICES RECREATION	140.00
198867	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	SUCCESSOR AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE	1,000.50
198868	100634	11/25/2022	100-20011	HOUSING SUCCESSOR RDA AFFORDABLE	1,380.00
Vendor MEY01 - MEYERS NAVE, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION Total:					154,498.61
Vendor: 1115 - MICHELLE FITZER					
DECEMBER 2022	100680	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICARE REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	372.30
Vendor 1115 - MICHELLE FITZER Total:					372.30
Vendor: 2458 - MIKSIS SERVICES, INC.					
427088	100601	11/18/2022	500-641-42107	REMOVE GRAVLE AND GRIT WPCP	7,590.00
Vendor 2458 - MIKSIS SERVICES, INC. Total:					7,590.00
Vendor: 2441 - NELSON CONNECTS					
6406933	100602	11/18/2022	100-341-42103	ADMIN ASSISTANT PW	1,663.20
6408190	100602	11/18/2022	100-341-42103	ADMIN ASSISTANT PW	1,663.20
6409321	100681	12/02/2022	100-341-42103	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT FOR PW	1,591.93
Vendor 2441 - NELSON CONNECTS Total:					4,918.33
Vendor: OLI01 - OLIVERS TOWING INC					
21-10765-9	100682	12/02/2022	100-221-42107	STORAGE IMPOUND PD	310.00
Vendor OLI01 - OLIVERS TOWING INC Total:					310.00
Vendor: CBA00 - PACIFIC CREDIT SERVICES					
862800000121	100603	11/18/2022	100-000-31510	COLLECTION SERVICES BUSINESS LICENSES	458.72
Vendor CBA00 - PACIFIC CREDIT SERVICES Total:					458.72
Vendor: PAC41 - PACIFIC ECORISK					
18565	100604	11/18/2022	500-641-44305	TOXICITY TESTING WPCP	3,410.00
Vendor PAC41 - PACIFIC ECORISK Total:					3,410.00
Vendor: PAC55 - PACIFIC SITE MANAGEMENT					
62160	100605	11/18/2022	100-222-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	125.93
62160	100605	11/18/2022	100-231-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	337.43
62160	100605	11/18/2022	100-343-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	180.02
62160	100605	11/18/2022	100-345-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	5,787.95
62160	100605	11/18/2022	200-342-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	335.81
62160	100605	11/18/2022	201-343-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	548.93
62160	100605	11/18/2022	209-552-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	204.23
62160	100605	11/18/2022	209-553-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	212.31
62160	100605	11/18/2022	209-557-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	212.31
62160	100605	11/18/2022	310-347-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	62.16

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
62160	100605	11/18/2022	310-348-42108	MONTHLY LANDSCAPE SERVICES	65.37
Vendor PAC55 - PACIFIC SITE MANAGEMENT Total:					8,072.45
Vendor: ATH02 - PATRICIA ATHENOUR					
DECEMBER 2022	100683	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICARE REIMBU DECEMBER 2022	170.10
Vendor ATH02 - PATRICIA ATHENOUR Total:					170.10
Vendor: CLA17 - PAUL CLANCY					
DECEMBER 2022	100684	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICAL REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	313.66
Vendor CLA17 - PAUL CLANCY Total:					313.66
Vendor: PGE01 - PG&E					
0887-11012022	100606	11/18/2022	200-342-43103	PINON AVE & SAN PABLO AVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	73.46
1801-11082022	100637	11/25/2022	209-553-43103	2454 SIMAS AVE REC CTR & POOL	71.68
2182-11012022	100606	11/18/2022	200-342-43103	OAKRIDGE/SAN PABLO AVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	68.73
3834-11042022	100637	11/25/2022	100-231-43103	3790 PINOLE VALLEY RD FIRESTATION	60.55
Vendor PGE01 - PG&E Total:					274.42
Vendor: PIN16 - PINOLE SEALS SWIM CLUB					
11152022	100638	11/25/2022	209-557-42101	2022 SWIM SEASON EXPENSE REIMB 09-06-11-06-22	3,143.29
Vendor PIN16 - PINOLE SEALS SWIM CLUB Total:					3,143.29
Vendor: PIT06 - PITNEY BOWES					
11182022	100685	12/02/2022	100-117-42203	POSTAGE REFILL AND MAINT SERVICE	1,694.85
Vendor PIT06 - PITNEY BOWES Total:					1,694.85
Vendor: 2388 - PRESTIGE PRINTING AND GRAPHICS					
81157	100607	11/18/2022	100-222-42201	BUSINESS CARDS PD	339.81
81330	100607	11/18/2022	100-115-42201	#10 ENVELOPES FOR FINANCE	387.98
Vendor 2388 - PRESTIGE PRINTING AND GRAPHICS Total:					727.79
Vendor: QUI11 - QUINCY ENGINEERING, INC.					
20-2830.00-28	100608	11/18/2022	325-342-47205	RO1710 PRELIMINARY DESIGN SERVICES FOR SPA BRIDGE	34,380.37
N202830CA.00-29	100608	11/18/2022	325-342-47205	RO1710 PRELIMINARY DESIGN SERVICES FOR SPA BRIDGE	38,376.95
Vendor QUI11 - QUINCY ENGINEERING, INC. Total:					72,757.32
Vendor: 1432 - R3 CONSULTING GROUP					
122198	100609	11/18/2022	214-342-42101	SOLID WASTE- 2023 RATE REVIEW	3,002.50
122287	100686	12/02/2022	214-342-42101	SOLID WASTE- 2023 RATE REVIEW	3,635.00
Vendor 1432 - R3 CONSULTING GROUP Total:					6,637.50
Vendor: 2025 - RAY MORGAN COMPANY, LLC.					
3918912	100687	12/02/2022	525-118-42107	COPIER SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE CITYWIDE	2,064.33
Vendor 2025 - RAY MORGAN COMPANY, LLC. Total:					2,064.33
Vendor: 2453 - RAY'S ELECTRIC					
1	100564	11/14/2022	215-342-47205	RO1714 SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	3,847.50
Vendor 2453 - RAY'S ELECTRIC Total:					3,847.50
Vendor: 2173 - REGIONAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AUTHORITY					
14200	100688	12/02/2022	100-115-42101	CONTRACT SERVICES OCTOBER 2022	144.00
Vendor 2173 - REGIONAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AUTHORITY Total:					144.00

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
Vendor: 1450 - RUBENSTEIN SUPPLY COMPANY					
52426856.001	100639	11/25/2022	100-343-44306	PLUMBING SUPPLIES	243.31
Vendor 1450 - RUBENSTEIN SUPPLY COMPANY Total:					243.31
Vendor: 1714 - SHERRI D. LEWIS					
CC011PINOLE-FY2022/23	100610	11/18/2022	100-112-42101	PREPARE MINUTES FOR CC MTG 11-1-22	1,162.50
Vendor 1714 - SHERRI D. LEWIS Total:					1,162.50
Vendor: 1413 - SHIELDS, HARPER & CO.					
3275783	100689	12/02/2022	100-343-42107	OPW FUEL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM-PW	329.25
Vendor 1413 - SHIELDS, HARPER & CO. Total:					329.25
Vendor: SQU00 - SQUARE DEAL GARAGE					
41385	100690	12/02/2022	100-221-42107	OIL FILTER AND BATTERY PATROL CAR PD	383.30
Vendor SQU00 - SQUARE DEAL GARAGE Total:					383.30
Vendor: STA57 - STANCIL CORPORATION					
11549	100691	12/02/2022	100-223-47102	MULTI CHANNEL VOICE LOGGING RECORDER SYSTEM	20,701.05
Vendor STA57 - STANCIL CORPORATION Total:					20,701.05
Vendor: 2455 - STRONG PHOTOS, INC.					
11072022	100611	11/18/2022	100-221-42304	END OF THE YEAR CEREMONY FOR PD	762.76
Vendor 2455 - STRONG PHOTOS, INC. Total:					762.76
Vendor: 2296 - SWENSONS MOBILE FLEET REPAIR					
I005635	100692	12/02/2022	500-641-42107	PERFORMED DOT INSPECTION WPCP	125.00
I005644	100692	12/02/2022	500-641-42107	FLEET REPAIR WPCP	125.00
Vendor 2296 - SWENSONS MOBILE FLEET REPAIR Total:					250.00
Vendor: 1709 - T J K M					
0053307	100612	11/18/2022	325-342-47205	LOCAL ROAD SAFETY PLAN	1,478.84
Vendor 1709 - T J K M Total:					1,478.84
Vendor: 2270 - TBG PRODUCTIONS, LLC.					
0402	100640	11/25/2022	209-551-42515	ENTERTEINMENT FOR TREE LIGHTING EVENT RECREATION	650.00
Vendor 2270 - TBG PRODUCTIONS, LLC. Total:					650.00
Vendor: KRI01 - TERRI KRIEGER					
DECEMBER 2022	100693	12/02/2022	100-117-41101	2022 RETIREE MEDICARE REIMBUR DECEMBER 2022	250.50
Vendor KRI01 - TERRI KRIEGER Total:					250.50
Vendor: 2351 - THE NATELSON DALE GROUP, INC.					
03796	100613	11/18/2022	100-111-42101	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC PLAN	12,250.00
03797	100613	11/18/2022	100-461-42101	FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR 1500 FITZGERALD DR	2,125.00
Vendor 2351 - THE NATELSON DALE GROUP, INC. Total:					14,375.00
Vendor: TRA20 - TRANSUNION RISK AND ALTERNATIVE DATA SOLUTIONS, INC.					
263397-202210-1	100614	11/18/2022	525-118-42510	DATA SEARCH	97.60
Vendor TRA20 - TRANSUNION RISK AND ALTERNATIVE DATA SOLUTIONS, INC. Total:					97.60
Vendor: 1028 - TRI-CITY POWER, INC.					
3608	100694	12/02/2022	100-223-42107	BATTERY SERVICES AND LABOR PD	1,397.03
Vendor 1028 - TRI-CITY POWER, INC. Total:					1,397.03
Vendor: 2365 - TRIPEPI, SMITH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.					
8988	100615	11/18/2022	100-111-42101	WEBSITE SERVICE	118.75
Vendor 2365 - TRIPEPI, SMITH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. Total:					118.75

WARRANT LISTING

Payment Dates: 11/12/2022 - 12/2/2022

Payable Number	Payment Number	Payment Date	Account Number	Description (Payable)	Amount
Vendor: UNI38 - UNIVAR USA INC					
50701094	100616	11/18/2022	500-641-44303	SOD HYPO WPCP	6,862.93
Vendor UNI38 - UNIVAR USA INC Total:					6,862.93
Vendor: UNI07 - UNIVERSAL BUILDING SVCS.					
507814	100617	11/18/2022	100-343-42108	JANITORIAL SERVICE OCTOBER 2022 CH	1,234.00
507815	100617	11/18/2022	100-222-42108	JANITORIAL SERVICES OCTOBER 2022 PD	2,523.00
507816	100617	11/18/2022	209-557-42108	JANITORIAL SERVICES OCTOBER 2022 SWIM CENTER	1,333.00
507817	100617	11/18/2022	100-231-42108	JANITRIAL SERVICES OCTOBER 2022 FIRE	157.00
507818	100617	11/18/2022	500-641-42108	JANITORIAL SERVICES OCTOBER 2022 WPCP	508.00
Vendor UNI07 - UNIVERSAL BUILDING SVCS. Total:					5,755.00
Vendor: USB06 - US BANK					
485795835	100618	11/18/2022	525-118-42107	COPIER LEASES	2,501.86
Vendor USB06 - US BANK Total:					2,501.86
Vendor: VIS01 - VISION SERVICE PLAN					
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-110-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	74.44
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-111-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	54.83
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-112-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	56.83
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-113-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	18.61
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-115-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	55.83
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-116-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	37.22
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-221-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	389.81
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-222-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	112.66
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-223-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	223.32
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-231-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	260.54
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-231-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	18.61
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-341-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	55.83
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	100-343-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	167.49
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	105-221-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	93.05
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	105-231-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	18.61
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	106-222-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	18.61
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	106-231-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	18.61
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	209-551-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	37.22
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	209-552-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	37.22
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	209-554-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	18.61
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	212-461-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	37.22
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	212-462-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	37.22
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	500-641-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	186.10
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	500-642-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	55.83
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	505-119-41003	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	37.22
816570722	100695	12/02/2022	998-20106	VISION CARE DECEMBER 2022	55.83
Vendor VIS01 - VISION SERVICE PLAN Total:					2,177.37
Vendor: XYL00 - XYLEM WATER SOLUTIONS U.S.A., INC.					
3556C47990	100619	11/18/2022	500-641-44306	O RING RETAINING RING WPCP	193.62
Vendor XYL00 - XYLEM WATER SOLUTIONS U.S.A., INC. Total:					193.62
Vendor: ZAS01 - ZASIO ENTERPRISES, INC.					
20098833	100620	11/18/2022	525-118-42106	VERSATILE ANNUAL SUPPORT FEE	3,463.80
Vendor ZAS01 - ZASIO ENTERPRISES, INC. Total:					3,463.80
Grand Total:					967,306.52

Report Summary

Fund Summary

Fund	Payment Amount
100 - General Fund	616,253.47
105 - Measure S -2006	111.66
106 - MEASURE S-2014	37,305.87
200 - Gas Tax Fund	90,828.35
201 - Restricted Real Estate Maintenance Fund	648.93
207 - NPDES Storm Water Fund	5,665.00
209 - Recreation Fund	12,485.72
212 - Building & Planning	390.74
214 - Solid Waste Fund	6,637.50
215 - Measure C and J Fund	3,847.50
225 - Asset Seizure-Adjudicated Fund	2,000.00
310 - Lighting & Landscape Districts	1,308.57
325 - City Street Improvements	81,546.66
377 - Arterial Streets Rehabilitation Fund	666.00
500 - Sewer Enterprise Fund	61,126.89
503 - Plant Expansion Fund	8,687.50
505 - Cable Access TV	37.22
525 - Information Systems	37,703.11
998 - Payroll Clearing	55.83
Grand Total:	967,306.52

Account Summary

Account Number	Account Name	Payment Amount
100-000-31510	Other Tax/Business License	458.72
100-10601	Gas Tanks/Corp Yard	5,261.06
100-10602	Gas Tanks/Fire Station	3,323.66
100-110-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	74.44
100-110-42201	Office Expense	59.49
100-110-42301	Travel & Training/Conf-Re...	100.00
100-110-42303	Travel & Training/Meal Al...	165.00
100-111-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	54.83
100-111-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	12,368.75
100-111-42514	Admin Exp/Special Depart	792.06
100-112-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	56.83
100-112-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	1,162.50
100-112-42514	Admin Exp/Special Depart	221.40
100-113-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	18.61
100-115-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	55.83
100-115-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	144.00
100-115-42201	Office Expense	387.98
100-115-42514	Admin Exp/Special Depart	281.70
100-116-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	37.22
100-117-41004	Emp Benefits/PERS Retir...	270,577.24
100-117-41101	Retiree Benefits/Medical...	4,023.31
100-117-42203	Office Exp/Shipping & Mai...	1,694.85
100-117-43105	Utilities/Cable	29.86
100-20011	Accounts Payable/Miscell...	154,498.61
100-221-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	389.81
100-221-42107	Prof Svcs/Equipment Mai...	693.30
100-221-42301	Travel & Training/Conf-Re...	1,250.00
100-221-42302	Travel & Training/Mileage...	4,590.75
100-221-42303	Travel & Training/Meal Al...	18.00
100-221-42304	Travel & Training/Officer...	7,697.76
100-221-42511	Admin Exp/Equipment Re...	729.00
100-221-42514	Admin Exp/Special Depart	1,025.68
100-222-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	112.66
100-222-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	9,851.23

Account Summary

Account Number	Account Name	Payment Amount
100-222-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	2,648.93
100-222-42201	Office Expense	339.81
100-222-42514	Admin Exp/Special Depart	36.69
100-222-44410	Safety Clothing	38.30
100-223-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	223.32
100-223-42107	Prof Svcs/Equipment Mai...	1,397.03
100-223-47102	FF&E/Computer Equipme...	20,701.05
100-231-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	279.15
100-231-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	16,962.51
100-231-42107	Prof Svcs/Equipment Mai...	5,399.17
100-231-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	494.43
100-231-42512	Admin Exp/Abatement	19,800.00
100-231-43103	Utilities/Electricity & Pow...	60.55
100-231-43105	Utilities/Cable	124.47
100-231-44410	Safety Clothing	2,122.09
100-341-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	55.83
100-341-42103	Prof Svcs/Temporary Serv...	4,918.33
100-343-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	167.49
100-343-42107	Prof Svcs/Equipment Mai...	2,010.17
100-343-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	2,626.53
100-343-42511	Admin Exp/Equipment Re...	8,845.40
100-343-43102	Utilities/Water	140.03
100-343-44306	Other Materials Supp/Ma...	1,888.75
100-343-44410	Safety Clothing	1,641.11
100-345-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	5,787.95
100-345-42511	Admin Exp/Equipment Re...	618.83
100-345-44306	Other Materials Supp/Ma...	100.93
100-461-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	2,125.00
100-551-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	32,493.48
105-221-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	93.05
105-231-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	18.61
106-221-47104	FF&E/Vehicles	37,268.65
106-222-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	18.61
106-231-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	18.61
200-342-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	90,350.35
200-342-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	335.81
200-342-43103	Utilities/Electricity & Pow...	142.19
201-343-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	548.93
201-343-42513	Admin Exp/Rent	100.00
207-344-42102	Prof Svcs/Attorney Servic...	5,665.00
209-20015	Accounts Payable/Vendor...	10.00
209-551-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	37.22
209-551-42515	Admin Exp/Special Events	650.00
209-552-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	37.22
209-552-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	1,076.43
209-552-43804	Program Cost/Food Progr...	3,459.28
209-552-43809	Program Cost/Newsletter	350.00
209-552-44306	Other Materials Supp/Ma...	10.83
209-553-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	305.51
209-553-43103	Utilities/Electricity & Pow...	71.68
209-554-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	18.61
209-557-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	3,143.29
209-557-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	3,315.65
212-461-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	37.22
212-461-42514	Admin Exp/Special Depart	141.30
212-462-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	37.22
212-462-42106	Prof Svcs/ Software Maint...	175.00
214-342-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	6,637.50

Account Summary

Account Number	Account Name	Payment Amount
215-342-47205	Improvements/Streets	3,847.50
225-221-42514	Admin Exp/Special Depart	2,000.00
310-347-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	1,012.32
310-347-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	62.16
310-348-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	168.72
310-348-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	65.37
325-342-47205	Improvements/Streets	81,546.66
377-342-47205	Improvements/Streets	666.00
500-10601	Gas Tanks/Corp Yard	13,575.73
500-641-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	186.10
500-641-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	268.00
500-641-42107	Prof Svcs/Equipment Mai...	7,840.00
500-641-42108	Prof Svcs/Building-Structu...	7,708.00
500-641-42201	Office Expense	125.87
500-641-44302	Other Materials Supp/Slu...	14,721.70
500-641-44303	Other Materials Supp/Ch...	7,369.98
500-641-44304	Other Materials Supp/Pe...	271.00
500-641-44305	Other Materials Supp/Lab...	4,165.83
500-641-44306	Other Materials Supp/Ma...	1,981.88
500-642-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	55.83
500-642-43102	Utilities/Water	862.97
500-642-47201	Improvements/Building	1,994.00
503-643-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	8,687.50
505-119-41003	Emp Benefits/Vision Care	37.22
525-118-42101	Prof Svcs/Professional Ser...	16,178.75
525-118-42106	Prof Svcs/Software Maint...	3,463.80
525-118-42107	Prof Svcs/Equipment Mai...	4,566.19
525-118-42510	Admin Exp/Software Purch	796.01
525-118-43101	Utilities/Telephone	12,698.36
998-20106	Sal & Ben Payable/Vision ...	55.83
Grand Total:		967,306.52

Project Account Summary

Project Account Key	Payment Amount
None	879,252.36
21534247205RO1714	3,847.50
32534247205IN2104	1,478.84
32534247205RO1710	79,059.82
32534247205RO1902	1,008.00
37734247205RO2101	666.00
50064247201IN2001	1,994.00
Grand Total:	967,306.52

APPROVED BY: DATE: 



CITY COUNCIL REPORT

9C

DATE: DECEMBER 6, 2022

TO: MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

FROM: ERIC CASHER, CITY ATTORNEY
BY: SHANDYN H. PIERCE, ASSOCIATE

**SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF FINDINGS TO SUPPORT LOCAL MODIFICATIONS
TO THE 2022 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE; SECOND READING OF
AN ORDINANCE TO ADOPT THE 2022 CALIFORNIA BUILDING
STANDARDS CODE AND UPDATE THE CITY'S BUILDING AND FIRE
CODE**

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the City Council approve findings supporting local modifications to the 2022 California Building Code and conduct a second reading of an Ordinance adopting the 2022 Building Standards Code in the form of updates to the City's Building and Fire Code.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Every three years the California Building Standards Commission (the "Commission") reviews and updates the California Building, Fire, Plumbing, Mechanical, Electrical and Residential Codes that apply in California. The newly updated 2022 California Building Standards Codes ("CBSC"), which include updates to the State Fire Code, becomes effective in California cities on January 1, 2023. The California Health and Safety Code requires local agencies to adopt the building standards contained in the uniform statewide codes but allows cities to modify the codes to reflect local conditions. Pinole previously adopted its current codes in 2019, incorporating and modifying the City's Municipal Code to comply with the 2019 CBSC updates.

On November 11, 2022, the City Council conducted a first reading of the updated Building and Fire Code Ordinance and approved the version of the ordinance presented by staff. During the discussion received a question regarding a reference to the Board of Fire Commissioners, and whether reference to the Board of Fire Commissioners needed to remain in the ordinance. Staff recommends the term Board of Fire Commissioners remain in the ordinance because it is a defined term in the State Fire Code that is incorporated by reference into the City's Municipal Code. Staff also confirmed that for the purpose of the State Fire Code, the Board of Fire

Commissioners, as defined, will be the City Council of the City of Pinole, even after the consolidation with ConFire.

The City is required to adopt findings justifying all local amendments to the CBSC. The necessary findings for local amendments to the Building and Fire Code are included in the resolutions which accompany this report.

Environmental Review

Adoption of the attached Ordinance is exempt from CEQA based on the general rule set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b) (3) that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the adoption of the attached and Ordinance will have a significant effect on the environment.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no direct fiscal impact of adopting the 2022 CBSC. City's costs related to implementation of the 2022 CBSC are recovered through building permit fees.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. November 15, 2022 Staff Report and Ordinance considered at first reading;
- B. Resolution adopting local amendments to Building and Fire Code.

**CITY COUNCIL
REPORT****10A****DATE: NOVEMBER 15, 2022****TO: MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS****FROM: ERIC CASHER, CITY ATTORNEY
BY: SHANDYN H. PIERCE, ASSOCIATE****SUBJECT: INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF AN ORDINANCE TO
ADOPT THE 2022 CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS CODE AND
UPDATE THE CITY'S BUILDING AND FIRE CODE**

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council introduce and waive the first reading of an Ordinance adopting the 2022 Building Standards Code with updates to the City's Building and Fire Code.

BACKGROUND

The California Building Standards Commission (the "Commission") is a State agency responsible for producing sensible and usable state building standards, and the administrative regulations that implement and enforce those standards. Every three years the Commission reviews and updates the California Building, Fire, Plumbing, Mechanical, Electrical and Residential Codes that apply in California. The newly updated 2022 California Building Standards Codes ("CBSC"), which include updates to the State Fire Code, becomes effective in California cities on January 1, 2023. The California Health and Safety Code requires local agencies to adopt the building standards contained in the uniform statewide codes but allows cities to modify the codes to reflect local conditions. Pinole previously adopted its current codes in 2019, incorporating and modifying the City's Municipal Code to comply with the 2019 CBSC updates.

The 2022 CBSC, Title 24, Parts 1- 6 and 9 - 12 are as follows:

- Part 1: California Administrative Code (CAC).
- Part 2: California Building Code (CBC).
- Part 2.5: California Residential Code (CRC).
- Part 3: California Electrical Code (CEC).
- Part 4: California Mechanical Code (CMC).
- Part 5: California Plumbing Code (CPC).
- Part 6: California Energy Code (CEnC).

- Part 9: California Fire Code (CFC).
- Part 10: California Existing Building Code (CEBC).
- Part 11: California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen)
- Part 12: California Reference Standards Code

2021 International Property Maintenance Code

The Ordinance updating the City's Municipal Code includes references to those sections of the CBSC that are most relevant to Pinole, as well as, proposed amendments recommended by City staff. The proposed amendments use a numbering system consistent with the updated CBSC. A link to the full text of the updated CBSC is available here: <https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Resources/2022-Title-24-California-Code-Changes>.

The 2022 CBSC updates go into effect on January 1, 2023, regardless of whether or not the City takes any action. Adoption of the proposed Ordinance prior to January 1, 2023 is necessary in order for the local amendments proposed by staff to also go in to effect consistent with the CBSC update.

Staff discussed the Building and Fire Code updates with the Municipal Code Update Subcommittee, and received direction regarding areas of focus for the updates. While the Municipal Code Update Subcommittee's feedback was incorporated in to the draft Ordinance, due to the time sensitivity of the needed approval, the Subcommittee was not able to review and approve the proposed draft Ordinance prior to City Council review.

DISCUSSION

Under State law, a City may establish more restrictive building and construction standards in their local building codes than are required under the CBSC. The City is required to adopt findings justifying all local amendments to the CBSC. The necessary findings will be included in a separate resolution that will be presented to the City Council for adoption at the second reading of the proposed ordinance. The local modifications, or staff initiated modifications, are included in the draft Ordinance. In addition, Section 15.04.080 of the City's Fire Code includes more stringent requirements requiring automatic sprinkler systems which conform to the requirements of the California Fire Code. This requirement is added to the City's Fire Code in response to the high threat of fire confronting the City. The presence of an approved fire extinguishing system in high occupancy structures could save lives in the event of a fire.

Other conditions considered include Pinole's proximity to the Hayward Fault Line, creating higher structural stresses and risk of building failure during an earthquake. Pinole's steep hillside topography is considered as well because it can make fire-fighting difficult and can allow Pinole Creek to be inundated during severe winter storms, causing flooding in certain portions of the City.

The draft Ordinance represents a continuation of existing City practices regarding local modifications. The proposed changes from the state-adopted 2022 California Building Standards Codes would replace the 2019 California Building Standards Codes previously codified in Title 15 of the City of Pinole Municipal Code. The Ordinance as written revalidates and readopts many of the City's current local amendments.

There are considerable non-substantive changes in the Ordinance to address numbering issues in the new California Codes, and the Ordinance reflects these new reference numbers to ensure that the Municipal Code is consistent with the 2022 CBSC. A strike-out version of the ordinance is not provided because the proposed ordinance repeals and replaces the previous Title 15 Chapters that will be superseded by the 2022 CBSC on January 1, 2023.

Additionally, the 2022 CBSC includes the latest California Green Building Standards, or "CALGreen", which applies to all new construction. CALGreen's intent is to continue to improve public health, safety and general welfare by enhancing the design and construction of buildings through the use of building concepts having a positive environmental impact and encouraging sustainable construction practices.

Environmental Review

Adoption of the attached Ordinance is exempt from CEQA based on the general rule set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b) (3) that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the adoption of the attached and Ordinance will have a significant effect on the environment.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no direct fiscal impact of adopting the 2022 CBSC. City's costs related to implementation of the 2022 CBSC are recovered through building permit fees.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Draft Ordinance adopting 2022 CBSC and related local amendments to the City's Building and Fire Code
 - Exhibit A: Chapter 15.20 (Fire Code)
 - Exhibit B: Changes to chapters in Title 15

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-XX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINOLE APPROVING FINDINGS TO SUPPORT LOCAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE STANDARDS CONTAINED IN THE 2022 CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS CODE, ENACTED AS PART OF ORDINANCE NO. 2022-04

WHEREAS, Section 17922 of the California Health and Safety Code requires that local agencies adopt the building standards contained in the California Building Code, the California Fire Code, the California Plumbing Code, the California Mechanical Code, and the California Electrical Code, as such codes are approved by the State Building Standards Commission (collectively, the “California Building Standards Code”); and

WHEREAS, Section 17958.7 of the California Health and Safety Code allows local agencies to enact modifications to those building standards provided that such modifications are reasonably necessary because of local climatic, geological or topographical conditions; and

WHEREAS, Section 17958.7 of the California Health and Safety Code further provides that a local agency which enacts modifications to those building standards must adopt findings which tie those modifications to such local climatic, geological or topographical conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Pinole City Council has considered whether certain modifications to the building standards contained in the California Building Standards Code are necessary in Pinole due to local climatic, geological, or topographical conditions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Pinole does hereby adopt the following findings in conformance with its obligations under Section 17958.7 of the California Health and Safety Code:

I. The City of Pinole is subject to the following climatic, geological and topographical conditions:

A. Climatic

1. Precipitation and Relative Humidity

(a) Conditions

Precipitation ranges from 15 to 24 inches per year with an average of approximately 20 inches per year. 96% of precipitation falls during the months of October through April and four percent from May through September. This is a dry period of at least five months each year. Additionally, the area is subject to occasional drought. Relative humidity remains in the middle range most of the time. It

ranges from 45-65% during spring, summer, fall, and from 60-90% in the winter. It occasionally falls as low as 15%.

(b) Impact

Locally experienced dry periods cause extreme dryness of untreated wood shakes and shingles on buildings and non-irrigated grass, brush, and weeds, which are often near buildings with wood roofs and sidings. Such dryness causes these materials to ignite very readily and burn rapidly and intensely.

Because of dryness, a rapidly burning grass fire or exterior building fire can quickly transfer to other buildings by means of radiation or flying brands, sparks, and embers. A small fire can rapidly grow to a magnitude beyond the control capabilities of the City Fire Department and County Fire District resulting in an excessive fire loss.

2. Temperature

(a) Conditions

Temperatures have been recorded as high as 114° F. Average summer highs are in the 90° range, with average maximums of 105° F.

(b) Impact

High temperatures cause rapid fatigue and heat exhaustion of firefighters, thereby reducing their effectiveness and ability to control large building and wildland fires.

Another impact from high temperatures is that combustible building material and non-irrigated weeds, grass, and brush are preheated, thus causing these materials to ignite more readily and burn more rapidly and intensely. Additionally, the resultant higher temperature of the atmosphere surrounding the materials reduces the effectiveness of the water being applied to the burning materials. This requires that more water be applied, which in turn requires more Fire Service resources in order to control a fire on a hot day. High temperatures directly contribute to the rapid growth of fires to an intensity and magnitude beyond the control capabilities of the City of Pinole Fire Department and County Fire District.

3. Winds

(a) Conditions

Prevailing winds in the area are from the south or southwest in the mornings and from the north or northwest in the afternoons. However, winds are experienced from virtually every direction at one time or another. Velocities are generally in the 14 mph to 23 mph

ranges, gusting to 25 to 35 mph. 40 mph winds are experienced occasionally and winds up to 55 mph have been registered locally. During the winter half of the year, strong, dry, gusty winds from the north move through the area for several days creating extremely dry conditions.

(b) Impact

Winds such as those experienced locally can and do cause fires, both interior and exterior, to burn and spread rapidly. Fires involving non-irrigated weeds, grass, and brush can grow to a magnitude and be fanned to intensity beyond the control capabilities of the Fire Department very quickly even by relatively moderate winds. During wood shake and shingle roof fires, or exposure fires, winds can carry sparks and burning brands to other structures, thus spreading the fire and causing conflagrations. When such fires are not controlled, they can extend to nearby buildings, particularly those with untreated wood shakes or shingles. In building fires, winds can literally force fires back into the building and can create a blow torch effect, in addition to preventing “natural” ventilation and cross-ventilation efforts.

Winds of the type experienced locally also reduce the effectiveness of exterior water streams used by the Pinole Fire and the Fire District on fires involving large interior areas of buildings, fires which have vented through windows and roofs due to inadequate built-in fire protection and fires involving wood shake and shingle building exteriors. Local winds will continue to be a definite factor towards causing major fire losses to buildings not provided with fire resistive roof and siding materials and buildings with inadequately separated interior areas or lacking automatic fire protection systems. National statistics frequently cite wind conditions, such as those experienced locally, as a major factor where conflagrations have occurred.

B. Geological and Topographic

1. Seismicity

(a) Conditions

The City of Pinole is within Contra Costa County and located in Seismic Risk Zone 4, which is the worst earthquake area in the United States. Buildings and other structures in Zone 4 can experience major seismic damage. Contra Costa County is in close proximity to the San Andreas Fault and contains all or portions of the Hayward, Calaveras, Concord, Antioch, Mt. Diablo, and other lesser faults. A 4.1 earthquake with its epicenter in Concord occurred in 1958, and a 5.4 earthquake with its epicenter also in Concord occurred in 1955. The Concord and Antioch faults have a potential

for a Richter 6 earthquake and the Hayward and Calaveras faults have the potential for a Richter 7 earthquake. Minor tremblers from seismic activity are not uncommon in the area.

The fire environment of a community is primarily a combination of two factors: the area's physical geologic characteristics and a historic pattern of urban-suburban development. These two factors, alone and combined, create a mixture of environments which ultimately determines the area's fire protection needs. Contra Costa County has 3 distinct areas. They are: the West, which includes the City of San Pablo and the communities of North Richmond, El Sobrante, and East Richmond Heights; the Central, which includes the Cities of Lafayette, Martinez, Pleasant Hill, Concord, Walnut Creek, Clayton, and the communities of Clyde, Pacheco, Alhambra Valley, and Alamo; and the East, which includes the Cities of Antioch and Pittsburg and the community of Bay Point. The City of Pinole falls within these risk areas.

Because of the size of Contra Costa County (304 square miles), the characteristics of the fire environment changes from one location to the next. Therefore the County has not one, but a number of fire environments, each of which has its individual fire protection needs from two major oil refineries, to heavy industrial facilities, freeways, rail lines, waterways, port facilities, wildland areas, urban and suburban town settings, and major downtown areas.

Interstates 80 and 680, State Highways 4, 24, and 242, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), and major thoroughfares travel throughout the County. There are 2 major rail lines which run through the County. An overpass or underpass crossing collapse would alter the response route and time for responding emergency equipment. This is due to the limited crossings of the major highways and rail lines.

Earthquakes of the magnitude experienced locally can cause major damage to electrical transmission facilities, which, in turn, cause power failures while at the same time starting fires throughout the County. The occurrence of multiple fires will quickly deplete existing fire district resources; thereby reducing and/or delaying their response to any given fire. Additionally, without electrical power, elevators, smoke management systems, lighting systems, alarm systems, and other electrical equipment urgently needed for building evacuation and fire control in large buildings without emergency generator systems would be inoperative, thereby resulting in loss of life and/or major fire losses in such buildings.

(b) Impact

A major earthquake could severely restrict the response of the City of Pinole Fire Department and under mutual aid the Fire District and its capability to control fires involving buildings of wood frame construction, with ordinary wood shake and shingle exteriors, or with large interior areas not provided with automatic smoke and fire control systems.

2. Soils

(a) Conditions

The area is replete with various soils, which are unstable, clay loam and alluvial fans being predominant. These soil conditions are moderately to severely prone to swelling and shrinking, are plastic, and tend to liquefy.

Throughout the City and County, the topography and development growth has created a network of older, narrow roads. These roads vary from gravel to asphalt surface and vary in percent of slope, many exceeding twenty (20) percent. Several of these roads extend up through the winding passageways in the hills providing access to remote, affluent housing subdivisions. Many of these roads are private with no established maintenance program. During inclement weather, these roads are subject to rock and mudslides, as well as down trees, obstructing all vehicle traffic. It is anticipated that during an earthquake, several of these roads would be practically impassable.

3. Topographic

(a) Conditions

(i) Vegetation

The service area of the Pinole Fire Department and of Contra Costa County Fire Protection District which surrounds Pinole has a varied topography and vegetative cover. A conglomeration of flat lands, hills, and ridges make up the terrain. Development has occurred on the flat lands in the County and in the past 15 years development has spread into the hills, valleys, and ridge lands of the City and County.

Highly combustible dry grass, weeds, and brush are common in the hilly and open space areas adjacent to built-up locations six to eight months of each year. Many of these areas frequently experience wildland fires, which threaten nearby buildings, particularly those with wood roofs, or sidings. This condition can be found throughout the County, especially in

those fully developed areas and those areas marked for future development.

(ii) Surface Features

The arrangement and location of natural and manmade surface features, including hills, creeks, canals, freeways, housing tracts, commercial development, fire stations, streets, and roads, combine to limit efficient response routes for City and County Fire resources into and through many areas.

(iii) Buildings, Landscaping and Terrain

Many of the “newer” large buildings and building complexes have access and landscaping features or designs which preclude, or greatly limit, efficient approach or operational access to them by Fire Services vehicles. In addition, the presence of security gates, roads of inadequate width and grades which are too steep for Fire Service vehicles create an adverse impact on fire suppression efforts.

When Fire Service vehicles cannot gain access to buildings involved with fire, the potential for complete loss is realized. Difficulty reaching a fire site often requires additional fire personnel and resources to successfully and safely mitigate the event. Access problems often result in severely delaying, misdirecting, or making fire and smoke control efforts unsuccessful.

(b) Impact

The above local geological and topographical conditions increase the magnitude, exposure, accessibility problems, and fire hazards presented to the City of Pinole Fire Department. Fire following an earthquake has the potential of causing greater loss of life and damage than the earthquake itself. Hazardous materials, particularly toxic gases, could pose the greatest threat to the largest number, should a significant seismic event occur. Public Safety resources would have to be prioritized to mitigate the greatest threat, and may likely be unavailable for smaller single dwelling or structure fires.

Other variables may intensify the situation:

1. The extent of damage to the water system.
2. The extents of isolation due to bridge and/or freeway overpass collapse.
3. The extent of roadway damage and/or amount of debris blocking the roadways.
4. Climatic conditions (hot, dry weather with high winds).

5. Time of day will influence the amount of traffic on roadways and could intensify the risk to life during normal business hours.
6. The availability of timely mutual aid or military assistance.
7. The large portion of dwellings with wood shake or shingles coverings could result in conflagrations.

C. Conclusion

Because of the conditions described above, the City of Pinole, City Council requires the increased fire protection requirements set forth in Ordinance No. 2022-04.

The ordinance amends Chapter 1 (Scope and Administration) of the statewide Fire Code by requiring a permit for certain activities and operations that pose fire hazards. The ordinance amends Chapter 2 (Definitions) to provide clarity on wildland firefighting and preparedness terminology. The ordinance amends Chapter 4 of the statewide Fire Code (Emergency Planning and Preparedness) to require standby EMS personnel for large events as well as standby fire personnel to account for the fact that the fire district is both the local fire and EMS provider. The ordinance amends the statewide Fire Code by reducing the square footage thresholds found in Chapter 9 (Fire Protection and Life Safety Systems) for installation of automatic fire sprinkler systems in most commercial buildings and in private and charter schools. The definition of Substantial Addition and Alteration is also changed to align with the other fire districts for consistency on the interpretation. The ordinance amends Chapter 5 (Fire Service Features) and Appendix D (Fire Apparatus Access Roads) of the statewide Fire Code to establish requirements for fire apparatus access roads. The ordinance amends Chapter 33 (Fire Safety During Construction and Demolition) to define the additional site security requirements that could be required if deemed necessary by the building official and fire official from arson fires or hazards occurring within the jurisdiction. The ordinance also amends Chapter 50 (Hazardous Materials) and Chapter 57 (Flammable and Combustible Liquids) provides the ability to the fire official to require a risk assessment stamped by a fire protection engineer, if the facility manager is unable to provide accurate risk assessment of the facility to include all hazardous materials stored onsite.

II. Pursuant to Sections 17958.5 and 17958.7 of the State of California Health and Safety Code, the City Council of the City of Pinole makes the finding that changes, modifications, and amendments to the 2022 Edition of the California Building Standards Codes are needed and are reasonably necessary because of certain local climatic, geologic and topographic features and conditions as described in Section I above, and that those features and conditions, under certain circumstances, affect delivery of emergency services. The amendments to the California Building Standards Codes are enacted to mitigate the impact of those local features and conditions by (i) preventing the chance of accident or injury by requiring standards more stringent than required by the current codes; and (ii) requiring additional built-in automatic fire protection systems which will provide for early detection and initial fire control.

III The following changes and/or modifications to the 2022 California Building Standards Code are found to be necessary to mitigate the impacts caused by the local climatic, geologic, and topographic conditions. The City of Pinole has adopted substantive changes and modifications to the California Building Standards Code as follows:

Pinole Municipal Code Section	California Code Section	Findings
15.02	CA Building Code, CCR Title 24, Part 2, Volume 1, Sections: 1.8.4.2, 1.8.8, 1.8.9, 101, 105.2, A. 1., B. 14., C., D., E. 105.8, 105.8.1, 105.8.2, 105.8.3, F 107.2.1, G. 107.6.1, H. 110.1, I. 110.6.1, J. 105.5.1, 105.6, L. Add: Fire Hazard (def), M. Add Pool (def), N. amend 501.2, Chapter 5, 1., 1a, 1b, 2., 3., 4., O. 2111.15, 1., 2. 3., P. amend 2304.12.1.6	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.04	CA Building Code, CCR Title 24, Part 2, Volume 1, Sections: 105.2, 105.2.14, 105.3.2, 105.5, 105.8, 105.8.1, 105.8.2, 105.8.3, 107.2.1, 107.6.1.8.8	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.06	CA Residential Code, CCR Title 24, Part 2.5, Sections: 202 Definitions: Add Pool, amend 506.1, Add subsection 902.1.5, ACI 322	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.08	CA Green Building Standards Code, CCR Title 24, Part 11, Section: Add 101.3.2	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.10	CA Electrical Code, CCR Title 24, Part 3, Adoption by reference, no changes.	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.12	CA Plumbing Code, CCR Title 24, Part 5, Section: Add 604.14, Amend/Add 710.1	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.14	CA Mechanical Code, CCR Title 24, Part 4, Adoption by reference, no changes.	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.16	CA Housing and Property Maintenance Code, IPMC amended 102.3, IPMC amended 304.14, IPMC amended 307.3.1, amend 308.3.1, IPMC amend 602.4 with exceptions 1,2.	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.18	1997 Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings as adopted by	1A, 1B, and 1C

	the International Conference of Building Officials, amend Section: 801.2, amend 912	
15.22	CA Administrative Code, CCR Title 24, Part 1, Add: Copying and imaging fees as reflected by the City's Master Fee Schedule.	1A, 1B, and 1C
15.24	CA Building Codes, section 114 and 2021 IPMC amend section 109.3, amend 109.4, A.1.2.3.4., B, C, amend 114.4	1A, 1B, and 1C

The aforementioned amendments have been incorporated in detail into an **Ordinance 2022-XX**

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution shall be filed with the California Building Standards Commission in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 17958.7

I certify that at a regular meeting on December 6, 2022, the City Council of the City of Pinole passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
 NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
 ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
 ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Heather Bell, CMC
City Clerk



CITY COUNCIL REPORT

10A

DATE: DECEMBER 6, 2022

TO: MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

FROM: HEATHER BELL, CITY CLERK

**SUBJECT: ACCEPTING AND DECLARING THE RESULTS OF THE CANVASS
OF THE NOVEMBER 8, 2022 MUNICIPAL ELECTION**

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council adopt a resolution accepting the results of the November 8, 2022 regular municipal election, conducted by Contra Costa County Clerk-Recorder's office and providing for the appointments to the offices of this City that were to be elected on November 8, 2022.

BACKGROUND

Consolidation of election services with Contra Costa County was ordered by the Council under Resolution 2022- on June 16, 2022, pursuant to State Elections Code 10400.

By State statute, the County must complete the certification of the election results no later than 28 days following the election. Following certification of the election results, the City Council is required to adopt a resolution accepting the election results pursuant to California Election Code section 10263. The Certificate of the Results of the Canvass and the pages containing Pinole's results are provided as Exhibit A to the Attachment A. Attachment A is the resolution formally accepting the certified election results.

FISCAL IMPACT

The invoice from the County to the City for election services has not been received to date. The County provided an estimate of \$1.75 per registered voter. Pinole's current registered voter population, per the Contra Costa County's Official Election Results report is 12,172. Based on the count, the estimated cost would be \$21,705, plus other publication and printing costs borne directly by the City of Pinole.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Resolution
Exhibit A - 2022 Certified Election Results (To be added once received from County Elections Department)

B. Unofficial Final Election Results, 12.01.22

ATTACHMENT A

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-XX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF PINOLE IN THE MATTER OF THE CANVASS OF THE RETURNS OF THE REGULAR MUNICIPAL ELECTION OF NOVEMBER 8, 2022 AND DECLARATION OF THE RESULTS

WHEREAS, elections were held and conducted in the City of Pinole on Tuesday, November 8, 2022 as required by the laws of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said elections were consolidated with the statewide General Election under the provisions of the Pinole Municipal Code Section 1.05.010; and

WHEREAS, the notice of said election was duly and legally given and the County Clerk Certification of Election results of the three Council Member seats are attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and submitted to the City Council for acceptance at the meeting of December 6, 2022; and

WHEREAS, said election was held and conducted, votes cast thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and declared in time, form, and manner required by the Elections Code of the State of California governing elections by cities of General Law Class; and

WHEREAS, the Council of the City of Pinole met during a meeting held virtually and broadcast from the Pinole Council Chamber, 2131 Pear Street, Pinole, California, on Tuesday, December 6, 2022 to declare the official results of said election as shown in Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Cameron Sasai, Anthony Tave and Norma Martinez-Rubin were elected to serve as Council Members of the Pinole City Council for a four-year term, as determined by the following votes:

Cameron Sasai	3,216 votes /19.38%
Anthony Tave	3,157 votes /19.02%
Norma Martinez-Rubin	2,607 votes /15.71%
<i>Total Votes Cast For Council Race:</i>	16,594

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the attached is a true and correct canvass of said General Municipal Election held on the 8th day of November 2022 and the official results thereof.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of **December 2022** by the following vote:

ATTACHMENT A

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Heather Bell, CMC
City Clerk

**CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
GENERAL ELECTION
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8 2022
Unofficial Results - Final**

ATTACHMENT B

Elector Group	Counting Group	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	Registered Voters	Turnout
Total	Early In-Person	4,032	1,008	701,969	0.14%
	Vote By Mail	1,432,362	358,318		51.04%
	Election Day	139,257	34,827		4.96%
	Total	1,575,651	394,153		56.15%

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

Voters Cast: 394,153 of 701,969 (56.15%)

Cards Cast: 1,575,651

GOVERNOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
GAVIN NEWSOM	DEM	493	248,857	16,021	265,371
BRIAN DAHLE	REP	508	104,237	18,387	123,132
Total Votes		1,001	353,094	34,408	388,503

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ELENI KOUNALAKIS	DEM	484	247,298	15,738	263,520
ANGELA E. UNDERWOOD JACOBS	REP	502	100,645	18,078	119,225
Total Votes		986	347,943	33,816	382,745

SECRETARY OF STATE (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SHIRLEY N. WEBER	DEM	492	246,689	15,939	263,120
ROB BERNOSKY	REP	493	101,172	17,933	119,598
Total Votes		985	347,861	33,872	382,718

CONTROLLER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
MALIA M. COHEN	DEM	463	227,548	14,853	242,864
LANHEE J. CHEN	REP	526	119,637	18,937	139,100
36.42%					
Total Votes		989	347,185	33,790	381,964

TREASURER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
FIONA MA	DEM	482	241,681	15,390	257,553
JACK M. GUERRERO	REP	507	105,170	18,377	124,054
32.51%					
Total Votes		989	346,851	33,767	381,607

ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ROB BONTA	DEM	483	242,838	15,635	258,956
NATHAN HOCHMAN	REP	510	105,276	18,183	123,969
32.37%					
Total Votes		993	348,114	33,818	382,925

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
RICARDO LARA	DEM	489	242,047	15,682	258,218
ROBERT HOWELL	REP	504	100,966	17,796	119,266
31.59%					
Total Votes		993	343,013	33,478	377,484

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SALLY J. LIEBER	DEM	491	237,811	15,506	253,808
PETER COE VERBICA	REP	501	101,701	17,740	119,942
32.09%					
Total Votes		992	339,512	33,246	373,750

UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ALEX PADILLA	DEM	494	250,610	16,227	267,331
69.72%					
MARK P. MEUSER	REP	502	97,890	17,730	116,122
30.28%					
Total Votes		996	348,500	33,957	383,453

UNITED STATES SENATOR - SHORT TERM (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	
56.15%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ALEX PADILLA	DEM	488	247,297	15,963	263,748
69.48%					
MARK P. MEUSER	REP	499	97,814	17,540	115,853
30.52%					
Total Votes		987	345,111	33,503	379,601

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 8 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 393 of 393 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	285	102,087	9,823	112,195 / 233,947	
47.96%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
JOHN GARAMENDI	DEM	174	80,791	6,033	86,998
80.48%					
RUDY RECILE	REP	108	17,639	3,351	21,098
19.52%					
Total Votes		282	98,430	9,384	108,096

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 9 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 27 of 27 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		20	6,035	804	6,859 / 11,684	58.70%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
TOM PATTI	REP	14	3,200	646	3,860	58.06%
JOSH HARDER	DEM	6	2,654	128	2,788	41.94%
Total Votes		20	5,854	774	6,648	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 10 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 845 of 845 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		703	250,196	24,200	275,099 / 456,338	60.28%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
MARK DESAULNIER	DEM	386	177,859	11,923	190,168	79.00%
MICHAEL ERNEST KERR	GRN	208	42,857	7,497	50,562	21.00%
Total Votes		594	220,716	19,420	240,730	

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 11 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 130 of 130 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		48	17,971	2,605	20,624 / 41,020	50.28%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
LORI D WILSON	DEM	10	8,926	629	9,565	51.20%
JENNY LEILANI CALLISON	NPP	36	7,406	1,676	9,118	48.80%
Total Votes		46	16,332	2,305	18,683	

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 14 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 242 of 242 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		134	70,258	5,992	76,384 / 147,502	51.79%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
BUFFY WICKS	DEM	102	57,303	4,099	61,504	83.60%
RICHARD KINNEY	REP	31	10,405	1,632	12,068	16.40%
Total Votes		133	67,708	5,731	73,572	

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 15 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 574 of 574 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		531	139,599	15,344	155,474 / 298,091	52.16%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
TIM GRAYSON	DEM	239	93,916	6,557	100,712	67.31%
JANELL ELIZABETH PROCTOR	REP	281	40,400	8,230	48,911	32.69%
Total Votes		520	134,316	14,787	149,623	

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 16 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 319 of 319 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		295	130,490	10,886	141,671 / 215,356	65.78%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
REBECCA BAUER-KAHAN	DEM	137	85,833	4,594	90,564	66.85%
JOSEPH A. RUBAY	REP	153	38,870	5,882	44,905	33.15%
Total Votes		290	124,703	10,476	135,469	

CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT (GUERRERO) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	358,318	34,827	394,153 / 701,969	56.15%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		521	234,668	16,887	252,076	78.78%
No		340	56,389	11,184	67,913	21.22%
Total Votes		861	291,057	28,071	319,989	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT(LIU) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		531	215,176	15,164	230,871	76.52%
No		330	58,931	11,578	70,839	23.48%
Total Votes		861	274,107	26,742	301,710	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT(JENKINS) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		501	209,110	14,913	224,524	76.19%
No		348	58,659	11,156	70,163	23.81%
Total Votes		849	267,769	26,069	294,687	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT(GROBAN) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		482	202,465	13,974	216,921	74.61%
No		357	61,820	11,631	73,808	25.39%
Total Votes		839	264,285	25,605	290,729	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 2 (STEWART) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		480	201,772	14,222	216,474	75.63%
No		346	58,138	11,262	69,746	24.37%
Total Votes		826	259,910	25,484	286,220	

PRESIDING JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 3 (TUCHER) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		493	202,188	14,197	216,878	75.80%
No		336	57,647	11,259	69,242	24.20%
Total Votes		829	259,835	25,456	286,120	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 3 (RODRIGUEZ) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		476	198,195	14,145	212,816	74.92%
No		346	59,701	11,201	71,248	25.08%
Total Votes		822	257,896	25,346	284,064	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 3 (PETROU) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		473	195,825	13,582	209,880	74.47%
No		337	60,251	11,373	71,961	25.53%
Total Votes		810	256,076	24,955	281,841	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 3 (FUJISAKI) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		477	199,074	13,810	213,361	75.42%
No		341	57,967	11,239	69,547	24.58%
Total Votes		818	257,041	25,049	282,908	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 4(BROWN) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		486	203,432	14,516	218,434	77.05%
No		329	54,121	10,628	65,078	22.95%
Total Votes		815	257,553	25,144	283,512	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 4 (GOLDMAN) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		458	192,089	13,251	205,798	73.33%
No		356	62,859	11,636	74,851	26.67%
Total Votes		814	254,948	24,887	280,649	

PRESIDING JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 5 (JACKSON) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		483	200,006	13,990	214,479	75.76%
No		339	57,224	11,069	68,632	24.24%
Total Votes		822	257,230	25,059	283,111	

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 1, DIVISION 5 (BURNS) (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		479	194,782	13,729	208,990	74.42%
No		333	60,333	11,165	71,831	25.58%
Total Votes		812	255,115	24,894	280,821	

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	357,501	34,811	393,320 / 701,969	56.03%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
TONY K. THURMOND		469	216,989	14,298	231,756	69.77%
LANCE RAY CHRISTENSEN		384	86,633	13,406	100,423	30.23%
Total Votes		853	303,622	27,704	332,179	

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 2 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 219 of 219 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	201	88,022	7,262	95,485 / 147,488	64.74%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SARAH G. BUTLER		58	36,840	1,758	38,656 48.76%
LISA L. DISBROW		62	19,982	2,370	22,414 28.27%
RUPY KRISHNAN		55	16,452	1,704	18,211 22.97%
Total Votes		175	73,274	5,832	79,281

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 231 of 231 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	169	83,966	8,327	92,462 / 149,970	61.65%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
MIKE MAXWELL		55	27,683	2,357	30,095 40.43%
CHERI CALCAGNO		40	21,039	2,472	23,551 31.64%
ANAITÉ LETONA		45	19,210	1,543	20,798 27.94%
Total Votes		140	67,932	6,372	74,444

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 5 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 345 of 345 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	210	62,650	8,064	70,924 / 146,380	48.45%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ANNETTE LEWIS		54	22,820	1,904	24,778 41.28%
JUSTIN BROWN		89	19,678	3,255	23,022 38.36%
DEREK CARSON II		27	10,720	1,473	12,220 20.36%
Total Votes		170	53,218	6,632	60,020

ANTIOCH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 5 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 24 of 24 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	13	3,678	461	4,152 / 11,157	37.21%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
MARY ROCHA		7	1,915	216	2,138 56.58%
DOMINIQUE KING		5	1,455	181	1,641 43.42%
Total Votes		12	3,370	397	3,779

JOHN SWETT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 2)

Precincts Reported: 13 of 13 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	16	4,245	540	4,801 / 9,394	51.11%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
DEBORAH ANNE BRANDON		7	2,340	232	2,579 42.08%
TERRI BURK		7	1,732	222	1,961 32.00%
JERROLD "JERRY" PARSONS		7	1,424	158	1,589 25.93%
Total Votes		21	5,496	612	6,129

MARTINEZ UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 3 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	33	2,225	199	2,457 / 4,182	58.75%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
YAZMIN LLAMAS		13	1,141	79	1,233 58.80%
MARCY LEOEUF		18	756	90	864 41.20%
Total Votes		31	1,897	169	2,097

MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 44 of 44 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	30	11,122	1,360	12,512 / 28,012	44.67%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
DEBRA MASON		11	4,796	397	5,204 49.11%
A.J. FARDELLA		8	2,902	470	3,380 31.90%
JEANETTE GREEN		4	1,781	227	2,012 18.99%
Total Votes		23	9,479	1,094	10,596

MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 64 of 64 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	31	23,522	2,237	25,790 / 38,844	66.39%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
CHERISE MARIE KHAUND		9	13,389	806	14,204 68.26%
HERBERT LEE		13	5,713	878	6,604 31.74%
Total Votes		22	19,102	1,684	20,808

PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 39 of 39 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	48	11,178	1,288	12,514 / 31,832	39.31%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
HELIODORO "HELIO" MORENO		17	5,179	452	5,648 21.10%
DE'SHAWN WOOLRIDGE		22	5,046	464	5,532 20.66%
DESTINY BRISCOE		18	4,777	458	5,253 19.62%
YESENIA I. ROMAN		12	3,391	408	3,811 14.23%
DUANE SMITH		16	3,224	355	3,595 13.43%
MARIA BAGLEY		16	2,584	333	2,933 10.95%
Total Votes		101	24,203	2,470	26,774

SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 35 of 35 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	55	14,805	1,487	16,347 / 23,598	69.27%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
JESSE VANZEE		26	5,210	794	6,030 42.18%
MICHELLE SINNOTT PETERSEN		15	5,391	388	5,794 40.53%
JEROME PANDELL		6	2,325	141	2,472 17.29%
Total Votes		47	12,926	1,323	14,296

WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 58 of 58 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	12	13,552	1,104	14,668 / 28,541	51.39%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
DEMETRIO GONZALEZ-HOY		5	8,363	530	8,898 70.99%
OLIVIA LIOU		6	3,273	358	3,637 29.01%
Total Votes		11	11,636	888	12,535

WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 5 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 39 of 39 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	38	23,590	1,704	25,332 / 36,482	69.44%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
LESLIE RECKLER		22	11,965	687	12,674 61.22%
PATRICIO DUJAN		11	7,413	604	8,028 38.78%
Total Votes		33	19,378	1,291	20,702

LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 7 of 7 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	0	131	5	136 / 180	75.56%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
STEVEN DROUIN		0	43	3	46 18.18%
CRAIG BUENO		0	40	0	40 15.81%
EMILY PRUSSO		0	39	1	40 15.81%
ANNE E. WHITE		0	28	0	28 11.07%
JOHN M. KUPSKI		0	28	0	28 11.07%
ALEXANDRIA IZARRARAZ		0	25	1	26 10.28%
DEENA KAPLANIS		0	25	1	26 10.28%
KRISTINA MAZAIKA		0	11	1	12 4.74%
HAYDEN SIDUN		0	6	1	7 2.77%
Total Votes		0	245	8	253

ACALANES UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 117 of 117 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	127	55,650	4,075	59,852 / 85,239	70.22%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
JENNIFER CHEN		60	34,463	1,851	36,374 27.23%
CHRISTOPHER SEVERSON		53	30,203	1,382	31,638 23.68%
NANCY KENDZIERSKI		45	29,834	1,390	31,269 23.40%
MARK WOOLWAY		50	9,769	1,216	11,035 8.26%
RENEE NOWAC		49	9,168	1,173	10,390 7.78%
GABE LEDEEN		43	8,584	1,025	9,652 7.22%
CLAYTON GARDNER		11	2,841	390	3,242 2.43%
Total Votes		311	124,862	8,427	133,600

LIBERTY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 3 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 45 of 45 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	44	7,067	1,086	8,197 / 15,725	52.13%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SUSAN WALLACE		26	3,323	454	3,803 / 57.02%
KRISTEN ROMANO		10	1,421	303	1,734 / 26.00%
DANTE ROSS		5	1,024	104	1,133 / 16.99%
Total Votes		41	5,768	861	6,670

LIBERTY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER, AREA 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 51 of 51 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	24	8,080	1,200	9,304 / 16,926	54.97%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SANDY MCCASLIN		9	4,201	538	4,748 / 68.50%
DARLENE B. WEAVER		6	1,845	332	2,183 / 31.50%
Total Votes		15	6,046	870	6,931

CANYON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 3 of 3 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	0	137	5	142 / 178	79.78%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SEQUOIA ALBA		0	96	4	100 / 30.40%
ADAM RABINOVITZ		0	85	1	86 / 26.14%
LAURA ESPERANZA SURLS		0	69	5	74 / 22.49%
KENNETH HOGARTY		0	68	1	69 / 20.97%
Total Votes		0	318	11	329

LAFAYETTE SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 27 of 27 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	26	14,165	1,247	15,438 / 21,354	72.30%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
ROB STURM		12	9,431	554	9,997	26.18%
DAVE SMITH		15	9,126	523	9,664	25.31%
KATY FOREMAN		11	8,924	567	9,502	24.88%
SARAH LIND		9	2,873	423	3,305	8.65%
ROBB MCSORLEY		5	2,580	394	2,979	7.80%
NIELS LARSEN		10	2,348	385	2,743	7.18%
Total Votes		62	35,282	2,846	38,190	

MORAGA SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 15 of 15 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	5	7,571	550	8,126 / 11,626	69.90%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
LARRY JACOBS		3	5,074	287	5,364	32.66%
MARTHA A. WHITE		4	4,327	283	4,614	28.09%
KRISTIN KRAETSCH		2	3,424	225	3,651	22.23%
HEN KING		2	2,608	184	2,794	17.01%
Total Votes		11	15,433	979	16,423	

ORINDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 31 of 31 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	9	10,093	685	10,787 / 15,057	71.64%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
EDDA COLLINS COLEMAN		3	5,104	253	5,360	22.96%
EVE M. PHILLIPS		9	4,729	308	5,046	21.62%
KATIE SHOGAN		5	4,681	315	5,001	21.42%
MICHELLE CHANG		5	4,312	263	4,580	19.62%
LINDA DELEHUNT		4	3,154	198	3,356	14.38%
Total Votes		26	21,980	1,337	23,343	

WALNUT CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT, BOARD MEMBER (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 49 of 49 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	87	23,684	1,588	25,359 / 37,024	68.49%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
AIMEE MOSS		48	12,879	542	13,469 / 25.44%
NITHIN IYENGAR		38	12,539	519	13,096 / 24.74%
HEIDI HERNANDEZ GATTY		39	12,288	498	12,825 / 24.22%
GAIL CONTRERAS		36	6,224	650	6,910 / 13.05%
MICHAELA STRAZNICKA		35	6,010	600	6,645 / 12.55%
Total Votes		196	49,940	2,809	52,945

SUPERVISOR, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 230 of 230 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	183	83,742	8,297	92,222 / 151,451	60.89%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
KEN CARLSON		60	39,814	2,956	42,830 / 53.93%
DEBORA ALLEN		98	32,417	4,068	36,583 / 46.07%
Total Votes		158	72,231	7,024	79,413

CLERK-RECORDER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,270	34,816	394,094 / 701,969	56.14%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
KRISTIN BRAUN CONNELLY		377	157,770	11,475	169,622 / 53.47%
VICKI GORDON		447	131,506	15,649	147,602 / 46.53%
Total Votes		824	289,276	27,124	317,224

CITY OF ANTIOCH, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 24 of 24 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	8	4,039	574	4,621 / 12,437	37.16%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
TAMISHA TORRES-WALKER		2	1,306	159	1,467 / 34.36%
JOY MOTTS		3	1,277	184	1,464 / 34.29%
DIANE GIBSON-GRAY		2	1,162	175	1,339 / 31.36%
Total Votes		7	3,745	518	4,270

CITY OF ANTIOCH, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	14	6,946	760	7,720 / 17,032	45.33%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
MONICA E WILSON		4	2,386	229	2,619 36.60%
LORI OGORCHOCK		4	1,804	187	1,995 27.88%
SHAWN PICKETT		3	1,179	142	1,324 18.50%
SANDRA G. WHITE		1	1,074	143	1,218 17.02%
Total Votes		12	6,443	701	7,156

CITY OF BRENTWOOD, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 15 of 15 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	17	4,070	527	4,614 / 9,443	48.86%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
PATANISHA DAVIS PIERSON		4	1,408	114	1,526 37.07%
SINZIANA TODOR		3	1,052	99	1,154 28.03%
MARK DUKE		3	739	165	907 22.03%
BRAYDEN HAENA		5	455	70	530 12.87%
Total Votes		15	3,654	448	4,117

CITY OF BRENTWOOD, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 16 of 16 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	31	4,126	640	4,797 / 9,359	51.26%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
TONY OERLEMANS		16	1,859	315	2,190 51.98%
HOLLEY BISHOP-LOPEZ		9	998	163	1,170 27.77%
JACOB SINGH		5	787	61	853 20.25%
Total Votes		30	3,644	539	4,213

CITY OF CLAYTON, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 2)

Precincts Reported: 10 of 10 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1	5,209	606	5,816 / 8,477	68.61%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
JEFF WAN		0	2,545	316	2,861 / 30.57%
KIM TRUPIANO		0	2,046	285	2,331 / 24.90%
BRIDGET BILLETER		0	1,941	158	2,099 / 22.43%
ED MILLER		1	1,870	198	2,069 / 22.10%
Total Votes		1	8,402	957	9,360

CITY OF CONCORD, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 20 of 20 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	6	8,293	1,002	9,301 / 16,108	57.74%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
LAURA HOFFMEISTER		4	3,231	309	3,544 / 43.25%
ROBERT RING		2	2,383	417	2,802 / 34.20%
QUINNE ANDERSON		0	1,698	150	1,848 / 22.55%
Total Votes		6	7,312	876	8,194

CITY OF CONCORD, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 3 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 9 of 9 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	14	2,583	336	2,933 / 8,177	35.87%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
DOMINIC ALIANO		11	1,903	239	2,153 / 99.95%
Total Votes		11	1,903	240	2,154

CITY OF CONCORD, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 5 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 20 of 20 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	16	8,943	971	9,930 / 16,449	60.37%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
LAURA NAKAMURA		5	4,488	417	4,910 / 56.04%
TIM MCGALLIAN		7	3,461	383	3,851 / 43.96%
Total Votes		12	7,949	800	8,761

CITY OF CONCORD, TREASURER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 109 of 109 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	71	35,420	4,014	39,505 / 71,827	
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
EDITH "PATTI" BARSOTTI		34	22,771	1,982	24,787
D'MARCO J. ANTHONY		24	6,169	1,057	7,250
Total Votes		58	28,940	3,039	32,037

CITY OF EL CERRITO, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 2)

Precincts Reported: 15 of 15 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	15	11,196	735	11,946 / 17,376	
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
CAROLYN WYSINGER		8	5,733	329	6,070
GABRIEL QUINTO		7	5,433	288	5,728
VANESSA WARHEIT		10	4,254	262	4,526
Total Votes		25	15,420	879	16,324

CITY OF HERCULES, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 2)

Precincts Reported: 19 of 19 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	11	8,189	640	8,840 / 17,031	
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
DION BAILEY		6	5,434	344	5,784
CHRIS KELLEY		5	4,002	239	4,246
PAMELA VARGAS		4	2,001	176	2,181
WILLIAM "BILL" HENDERSON		1	1,331	144	1,476
Total Votes		16	12,769	903	13,688

TOWN OF MORAGA, MEMBER, TOWN COUNCIL - FULL TERM (Vote for 2)

Precincts Reported: 13 of 13 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	5	7,493	548	8,046 / 11,477	
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
STEVE WOEHLKE		5	4,917	291	5,213
KERRY HILLIS		4	4,636	303	4,943
Total Votes		9	9,554	594	10,157

TOWN OF MORAGA, MEMBER, TOWN COUNCIL - SHORT TERM (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 13 of 13 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		5	7,493	548	8,046 / 11,477	70.11%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
DAVID SHAPIRO		3	4,549	267	4,819	72.29%
KENDALL W. LANGAN		2	1,699	146	1,847	27.71%
Total Votes		5	6,248	413	6,666	

CITY OF MARTINEZ, MAYOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 83 of 83 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		171	15,564	1,133	16,868 / 25,827	65.31%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
BRIANNE ZORN		23	3,656	180	3,859	24.40%
SEAN TRAMBLEY		31	3,373	264	3,668	23.19%
LARA E. DELANEY		34	3,289	213	3,536	22.36%
MIKE MENESINI		22	1,824	148	1,994	12.61%
MARK ROSS		11	1,699	98	1,808	11.43%
MICHAEL R AYERS		37	779	135	951	6.01%
Total Votes		158	14,620	1,038	15,816	

CITY OF MARTINEZ, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 31 of 31 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		81	3,464	204	3,749 / 5,905	63.49%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
JAY HOWARD		58	1,745	102	1,905	57.76%
NAKENYA ALLEN		14	1,315	64	1,393	42.24%
Total Votes		72	3,060	166	3,298	

CITY OF MARTINEZ, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 26 of 26 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		38	4,529	246	4,813 / 6,878	69.98%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
DEBBIE MCKILLOP		10	2,064	108	2,182	50.28%
BEN THERRIAULT		21	2,032	105	2,158	49.72%
Total Votes		31	4,096	213	4,340	

CITY OF OAKLEY, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 13 of 13 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	2	1,897	281	2,180 / 4,798	45.44%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
HUGH HENDERSON		2	895	163	1,060
RACHELLE "SHELLY" FITZGERALD		0	818	85	903
Total Votes		2	1,713	248	1,963

CITY OF OAKLEY, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 17 of 17 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	6	2,371	294	2,671 / 5,861	45.57%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SHANNON SHAW		5	1,615	164	1,784
Total Votes		5	1,806	180	1,991
		Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ADAM MICHAEL GERHART	WRITE-IN	0	190	16	206

CITY OF ORINDA, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 18 of 18 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	9	10,100	686	10,795 / 15,049	71.73%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
LATIKA MALKANI		3	5,418	258	5,679
BRANDYN IVERSON		5	4,444	269	4,718
JANET RILEY		4	4,299	258	4,561
ALEX DREXEL		6	3,361	216	3,583
STUART HOUSE		2	3,098	202	3,302
SUNIL RAJARAMAN		3	2,065	190	2,258
Total Votes		23	22,685	1,393	24,101

CITY OF PINOLE, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 15 of 15 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	7	6,310	522	6,839 / 12,172	56.19%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
CAMERON SASAI		6	3,008	202	3,216
ANTHONY L. TAVE		0	2,985	172	3,157
NORMA MARTINEZ-RUBIN		4	2,428	175	2,607
DEBBIE LONG		0	2,250	170	2,420
JUSTIN MARTINEZ		2	2,167	158	2,327
PETER MURRAY		1	1,862	163	2,026
RAFAEL MENIS		3	770	68	841
Total Votes		16	15,470	1,108	16,594

CITY OF PITTSBURG, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 50 of 50 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	53	14,120	1,617	15,790 / 39,503	39.97%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SHANELLE SCALES-PRESTON		28	8,059	730	8,817
DIONNE ADAMS		27	7,088	593	7,708
ANGELICA LOPEZ		22	6,065	680	6,767
WOLF CROSKEY		18	5,023	572	5,613
SONJA SHEPHARD		14	3,521	410	3,945
Total Votes		109	29,775	2,989	32,873
		Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
IVELINE D. POPOVA	WRITE-IN	0	19	3	22

CITY OF PITTSBURG, CITY CLERK (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 50 of 50 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	53	14,120	1,617	15,790 / 39,503	39.97%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ALICE E. EVENSON		41	10,699	1,094	11,834
Total Votes		41	10,699	1,094	11,834

CITY OF PITTSBURG, TREASURER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 50 of 50 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	53	14,120	1,617	15,790 / 39,503	39.97%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
NANCY PARENT		43	10,737	1,079	11,859
Total Votes		43	10,737	1,079	11,859

CITY OF PLEASANT HILL, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 2)

Precincts Reported: 29 of 29 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	27	13,463	1,270	14,760 / 23,049	64.04%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SUE NOACK		8	6,312	412	6,732
ZAC SHESS		10	5,422	485	5,917
ZHANNA THOMPSON		9	4,036	263	4,308
BILL BANKERT		7	2,851	358	3,216
DANIEL RODRIGUEZ		3	1,692	193	1,888
ANDREI OBOLENSKIY		6	966	145	1,117
Total Votes		43	21,280	1,856	23,179

CITY OF PLEASANT HILL, TREASURER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 29 of 29 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	27	13,463	1,270	14,760 / 23,049	64.04%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ANDREW J. KALINOWSKI		20	9,314	775	10,109
Total Votes		20	9,314	775	10,109

CITY OF RICHMOND, MAYOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 106 of 106 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	72	25,404	2,443	27,919 / 57,945	48.18%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
EDUARDO MARTINEZ		23	9,511	785	10,319
SHAWN DUNNING		22	7,033	612	7,667
NATHANIEL "NAT" BATES		17	6,611	702	7,330
MARK WASSBERG		7	854	148	1,009
Total Votes		69	24,009	2,247	26,325

CITY OF RICHMOND, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 26 of 26 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	7	3,784	403	4,194 / 8,616	48.68%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ANDREW BUTT		4	1,733	184	1,921 50.00%
CESAR ZEPEDA		3	1,753	165	1,921 50.00%
Total Votes		7	3,486	349	3,842

CITY OF RICHMOND, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 3 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 17 of 17 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	16	2,684	395	3,095 / 8,505	36.39%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
DORIA D. ROBINSON		8	1,015	122	1,145 39.62%
OSCAR GARCIA		4	770	125	899 31.11%
COURTLAND CORKY BOOZÉ		3	736	107	846 29.27%
Total Votes		15	2,521	354	2,890

CITY OF RICHMOND, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 20 of 20 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	5	6,336	494	6,835 / 12,417	55.05%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
SOHEILA BANA		2	3,919	270	4,191 67.40%
JAMIN PURSELL		3	1,868	156	2,027 32.60%
Total Votes		5	5,787	426	6,218

CITY OF SAN RAMON, MAYOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 86 of 86 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	37	25,777	2,311	28,125 / 49,271	57.08%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
DAVID E. "DAVE" HUDSON		26	11,613	1,159	12,798 48.64%
SABINA ZAFAR		6	6,895	401	7,302 27.75%
DINESH B. GOVINDARAO		4	5,637	568	6,209 23.60%
Total Votes		36	24,145	2,128	26,309

CITY OF SAN RAMON, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 2 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 22 of 22 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		9	8,058	727	8,794 / 14,110	62.32%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
MARK ARMSTRONG		6	4,114	427	4,547	59.23%
SARA LASHANLO		2	2,932	196	3,130	40.77%
Total Votes		8	7,046	623	7,677	

CITY OF SAN RAMON, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL, DISTRICT 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 28 of 28 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		9	6,589	505	7,103 / 12,507	56.79%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
MARISOL RUBIO		8	3,579	246	3,833	64.25%
HEIDI KENNISTON-LEE		1	1,976	156	2,133	35.75%
Total Votes		9	5,555	402	5,966	

CITY OF WALNUT CREEK, MEMBER, CITY COUNCIL (Vote for 2)

Precincts Reported: 61 of 61 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		88	31,073	2,283	33,444 / 49,007	68.24%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
MATT FRANCOIS		50	16,842	927	17,819	36.25%
CINDY EISLEY SILVA		42	15,298	832	16,172	32.90%
LAURA PATCH		25	8,201	564	8,790	17.88%
BRIAN O'TOOLE		17	5,717	644	6,378	12.97%
Total Votes		134	46,058	2,967	49,159	

CITY OF WALNUT CREEK, TREASURER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 61 of 61 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		88	31,073	2,283	33,444 / 49,007	68.24%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
RONALD CASSANO		63	20,254	1,193	21,510	100.00%
Total Votes		63	20,254	1,193	21,510	

DIABLO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, DIRECTOR (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 1 of 1 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1	507	76	584 / 778	75.06%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
GREGORY R LORENZ		1	275	46	322	22.90%
JERRY SLAVONIA		1	262	52	315	22.40%
CHRISTINE CHARTIER		1	258	49	308	21.91%
JEFFERY C. EORIO		0	228	19	247	17.57%
GARTH B. HOB DEN		0	198	16	214	15.22%
Total Votes		3	1,221	182	1,406	

TOWN OF DISCOVERY BAY COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, DIRECTOR (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 15 of 15 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	17	5,346	734	6,097 / 10,280	59.31%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
ASHLEY PORTER		7	3,270	346	3,623	29.39%
J. KEVIN GRAVES		10	2,917	335	3,262	26.46%
BRYON GUTOW		8	2,927	317	3,252	26.38%
LEONARD D. WOREN		5	1,913	274	2,192	17.78%
Total Votes		30	11,027	1,272	12,329	

DUBLIN SAN RAMON SERVICES DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, DIVISION 2 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 18 of 18 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	9	7,817	694	8,520 / 14,504	58.74%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
ANN MARIE JOHNSON		3	3,870	241	4,114	57.64%
JIM BRADY		6	2,689	328	3,023	42.36%
Total Votes		9	6,559	569	7,137	

KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION & COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, DIRECTOR (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 4 of 4 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	4	3,153	188	3,345 / 4,322	77.39%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
ALEXANDRA AQUINO-FIKE		2	1,954	107	2,063 25.83%
SARAH A. GOUGH		2	1,948	104	2,054 25.71%
CASSANDRA ROSE DUGGAN		0	1,571	92	1,663 20.82%
GAIL FELDMAN		2	1,194	54	1,250 15.65%
MIKE LOGAN		4	916	38	958 11.99%
Total Votes		10	7,583	395	7,988

KENSINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, DIRECTOR (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 4 of 4 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	4	3,153	188	3,345 / 4,322	77.39%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
JULIE MARIE STEIN		3	2,277	115	2,395 31.06%
DANIEL LEVINE		2	2,122	106	2,230 28.92%
JIM WATT		3	1,892	113	2,008 26.04%
JANICE KOSEL		2	1,022	54	1,078 13.98%
Total Votes		10	7,313	388	7,711

MORAGA-ORINDA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, DIVISION 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 7 of 7 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	2	3,168	228	3,398 / 4,725	71.92%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
GREG HASLER		2	1,384	88	1,474 52.55%
CHRISTOPHER YOUNG		0	1,252	79	1,331 47.45%
Total Votes		2	2,636	167	2,805

MORAGA-ORINDA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, DIVISION 3 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 14 of 14 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		5	3,664	257	3,926 / 5,622	69.83%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
STEVEN MICHAEL DANZIGER		4	2,045	120	2,169	63.02%
VINCE DELL' AQUILA		1	1,185	87	1,273	36.98%
Total Votes		5	3,230	207	3,442	

MORAGA-ORINDA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, DIVISION 4 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 6 of 6 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		2	3,899	253	4,154 / 5,717	72.66%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
MIKE ROEMER		2	1,751	100	1,853	50.86%
MICHAEL DONNER		0	1,689	101	1,790	49.14%
Total Votes		2	3,440	201	3,643	

RODEO-HERCULES FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, DIRECTOR (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 26 of 26 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		21	10,504	942	11,467 / 22,662	50.60%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
DELANO DOSS		18	7,231	619	7,868	32.03%
MARIE BOWMAN		8	5,893	397	6,298	25.64%
STEVE HILL		5	5,077	338	5,420	22.07%
TARA SHAIA		7	2,591	261	2,859	11.64%
ANN CARGO ZIFF		4	1,935	179	2,118	8.62%
Total Votes		42	22,727	1,794	24,563	

EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, WARD 3 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 83 of 83 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		25	28,954	2,088	31,067 / 47,665	65.18%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
MARGUERITE YOUNG		17	17,026	898	17,941	72.20%
MARK SEEDALL		7	6,270	632	6,909	27.80%
Total Votes		24	23,296	1,530	24,850	

EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, WARD 7 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	12	5,822	639	6,473 / 10,843	59.70%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
APRIL CHAN		2	2,171	188	2,361 44.87%
MATT TURNER		6	1,328	172	1,506 28.62%
CORINA N. LOPEZ		3	1,251	141	1,395 26.51%
Total Votes		11	4,750	501	5,262

WEST COUNTY WASTEWATER DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, DIVISION 5 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 29 of 29 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	7	6,332	429	6,768 / 13,012	52.01%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
CHERYL SUDDUTH		4	3,848	183	4,035 69.32%
JEFFERY D. WINTER		3	1,634	149	1,786 30.68%
Total Votes		7	5,482	332	5,821

ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, AT LARGE (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 178 of 178 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	105	50,770	4,338	55,213 / 106,769	51.71%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
JOEL YOUNG		61	24,204	2,081	26,346 57.93%
ALFRED TWU		27	17,804	1,303	19,134 42.07%
Total Votes		88	42,008	3,384	45,480

BYRON BETHANY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, DIVISION 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1 of 1 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	0	97	6	103 / 170	60.59%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
MILAN "PETE" PETROVICH		0	46	5	51 54.26%
LARRY ENOS		0	42	1	43 45.74%
Total Votes		0	88	6	94

CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT, DIRECTOR, DIVISION 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 86 of 86 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	60	22,053	2,618	24,731 / 54,391	
45.47%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
PATT YOUNG		24	10,135	910	11,069
MARIAH LAURITZEN		29	8,429	1,173	9,631
46.53%					
Total Votes		53	18,564	2,083	20,700

PROPOSITION 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,270	34,816	394,094 / 701,969	
56.14%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
Yes		559	271,002	18,966	290,527
75.64%					
No		405	78,466	14,713	93,584
24.36%					
Total Votes		964	349,468	33,679	384,111

PROPOSITION 26 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,270	34,816	394,094 / 701,969	
56.14%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
No		679	237,616	22,888	261,183
69.14%					
Yes		296	105,871	10,417	116,584
30.86%					
Total Votes		975	343,487	33,305	377,767

PROPOSITION 27 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	1,008	358,270	34,816	394,094 / 701,969	
56.14%					
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
No		797	291,635	26,366	318,798
83.47%					
Yes		182	55,760	7,185	63,127
16.53%					
Total Votes		979	347,395	33,551	381,925

PROPOSITION 28 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	358,273	34,803	394,084 / 701,969	56.14%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		585	246,928	20,205	267,718	69.55%
No		401	103,126	13,709	117,236	30.45%
Total Votes		986	350,054	33,914	384,954	

PROPOSITION 29 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	358,273	34,803	394,084 / 701,969	56.14%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
No		645	234,033	22,645	257,323	67.75%
Yes		324	111,443	10,719	122,486	32.25%
Total Votes		969	345,476	33,364	379,809	

PROPOSITION 30 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	358,273	34,803	394,084 / 701,969	56.14%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
No		620	186,902	21,494	209,016	54.23%
Yes		365	163,664	12,395	176,424	45.77%
Total Votes		985	350,566	33,889	385,440	

PROPOSITION 31 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1,265 of 1,265 (100.00%)

		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		1,008	358,273	34,803	394,084 / 701,969	56.14%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		589	256,033	19,671	276,293	72.12%
No		398	92,386	14,017	106,801	27.88%
Total Votes		987	348,419	33,688	383,094	

MEASURE R - KNIGHTSEN TOWN COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 18 of 18 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	3	502	103	608 / 1,054	57.69%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
Yes		2	287	54	343
No		1	196	44	241
Total Votes		3	483	98	584

MEASURE I - KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 4 of 4 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	4	3,156	188	3,348 / 4,322	77.46%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
Yes		4	2,200	101	2,305
No		0	485	46	531
Total Votes		4	2,685	147	2,836

MEASURE G - LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT - 55% (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 7 of 7 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	0	132	5	137 / 180	76.11%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
Bonds No		0	68	4	72
Bonds Yes		0	56	1	57
Total Votes		0	124	5	129

MEASURE J - WALNUT CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT - 55% (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 49 of 49 (100.00%)

	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	87	23,767	1,587	25,441 / 37,024	68.71%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total
Bonds Yes		44	14,243	742	15,029
Bonds No		40	8,190	729	8,959
Total Votes		84	22,433	1,471	23,988

MEASURE K - MARTINEZ UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT - 55% (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 88 of 88 (100.00%)		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		157	12,088	902	13,147 / 20,887	62.94%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Bonds Yes		69	6,194	382	6,645	52.60%
Bonds No		80	5,426	483	5,989	47.40%
Total Votes		149	11,620	865	12,634	

MEASURE L - CROCKETT COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 4 of 4 (100.00%)		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		5	1,386	197	1,588 / 2,561	62.01%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		4	845	109	958	62.78%
No		1	483	84	568	37.22%
Total Votes		5	1,328	193	1,526	

MEASURE M - CITY OF PLEASANT HILL - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 29 of 29 (100.00%)		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		27	13,481	1,271	14,779 / 23,049	64.12%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		14	6,903	551	7,468	56.58%
No		11	5,124	597	5,732	43.42%
Total Votes		25	12,027	1,148	13,200	

MEASURE N - CITY OF HERCULES - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 19 of 19 (100.00%)		Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast		11	8,200	641	8,852 / 17,031	51.98%
Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		10	5,480	392	5,882	69.24%
No		1	2,385	227	2,613	30.76%
Total Votes		11	7,865	619	8,495	

MEASURE O - CITY OF WALNUT CREEK - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 61 of 61 (100.00%)	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	88	31,062	2,282	33,432 / 49,007	68.22%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		51	19,722	1,143	20,916	65.05%
No		34	10,172	1,031	11,237	34.95%
Total Votes		85	29,894	2,174	32,153	

MEASURE P - CITY OF RICHMOND - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 106 of 106 (100.00%)	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	72	25,427	2,443	27,942 / 57,945	48.22%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		28	14,139	1,223	15,390	58.81%
No		39	9,709	1,032	10,780	41.19%
Total Votes		67	23,848	2,255	26,170	

MEASURE Q - CITY OF BRENTWOOD - MAJORITY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 65 of 65 (100.00%)	Early In-Perso	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Times Cast	114	20,122	2,554	22,790 / 41,105	55.44%

Candidate	Party	Early In-Person	Vote By Mail	Election Day	Total	
Yes		61	12,568	1,190	13,819	64.28%
No		51	6,417	1,212	7,680	35.72%
Total Votes		112	18,985	2,402	21,499	



CITY COUNCIL REPORT

10E

DATE: DECEMBER 6, 2022

TO: MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS

FROM: HEATHER BELL, CITY CLERK

SUBJECT: SELECTION OF MAYOR AND MAYOR PRO TEM

RECOMMENDATION

1. By minute order, approve the 2022 Mayoral Rotation Schedule.
2. Adopt Resolution Appointing Mayor & Mayor Pro Tem

BACKGROUND

The City Council has a long-standing tradition, approved by resolution, to select a Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem on a rotation basis, prioritized by receipt of the highest votes in the prior election.

On October 19, 2010, Resolution 2010-87 was adopted by the City Council, approving the current succession procedures. The rotation schedule is determined based on the number of votes received by each member in the prior election.

Resolution 2010-87 requires the City Clerk to prepare and maintain a current mayoral rotation schedule, recording the mayoral ascension, following each Municipal election. The proposed rotation schedule, based on the 2022 Municipal Election of officers is contained as Attachment C. Staff is requesting Council approval by minute order.

It is the Council's discretion to appoint the Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem pursuant to the current Rotation Schedule.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact associated with this action.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Resolution of Appointment of Mayor & Mayor Pro Tem
- B. Resolution 2010-87
- C. Proposed 2022 Mayoral Rotation Schedule
- D. Approved 2020 Mayoral Rotation Schedule for Reference

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-XX

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PINOLE
APPROVING THE APPOINTMENT OF THE MAYOR AND MAYOR PRO TEM**

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2010-87 regarding procedures for selecting the Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem each year; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk has maintained a Mayoral List in accordance with Resolution No. 2010-87; and

WHEREAS, per Resolution No. 2010-87, when the office of Mayor is vacated for any reason, the Mayor Pro Tem listed on the then-current Mayoral List shall succeed to the office of Mayor; and

WHEREAS, Mayor Salimi's one-year term as Mayor is expiring and Mayor Salimi will be vacating the office of Mayor; and

WHEREAS, per Resolution No. 2010-87, Mayor Pro Tem Murphy shall succeed to the office of Mayor, and vacate the office of Mayor Pro Tem; and

WHEREAS, per Resolution No. 2010-87, when the office of Mayor Pro Tem is vacated for any reason, the next Council Member listed on the then-current Mayoral List shall succeed to the office of Mayor Pro Tem; and

WHEREAS, per the procedure laid out in Resolution No. 2010-87, Council Member Toms is the next Council Member listed, and therefore shall succeed to the office of Mayor Pro Tem;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Pinole voted as documented below, and does hereby appoint the aforementioned officers to the offices of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem also serve as the Chair and Vice Chair of the Pinole Successor Agency to the Former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Pinole; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk is directed to administer the Oath of Office to the new officers.

ATTACHMENT A

PASSED AND ADOPTED this **6th** day of **December 2022**, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS:

NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS:

ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:

ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS:

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted on this 6th day of December 2022.

Heather Bell, CMC
City Clerk

**RESOLUTION 2010-87
PROCEDURES FOR SELECTING MAYOR & MAYOR PRO TEM
REAFFIRMATION OF THE MAYORAL ROTATION SCHEDULE APPROVED IN 2003**

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Pinole adopted Resolution 3211 on February 6, 1996 concerning the procedure for selecting the Mayor and the Mayor Pro Tempore; and:

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Pinole adopted Resolution 3285 on December 17, 1996, concerning the procedure for selecting the Mayor and the Mayor Pro Tempore; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Pinole adopted Resolution 109-2000 on February 15, 2000, concerning the procedure for selecting the Mayor and the Mayor Pro Tempore; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Pinole adopted Resolution 179-2003, amending the procedure for selecting the Mayor and the Mayor Pro Tempore; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Pinole adopted a minute order action on January 19, 2009 concerning the procedure for selecting the Mayor and the Mayor Pro Tempore; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Pinole intends to adopt a single resolution to ratify the actions and reaffirm the former procedure (Resolution 109-2000) for selection of the Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore;

NOW, therefore, the City Council of the City of Pinole does hereby resolve as follows:

It is in the best interest of the City of Pinole that the councilmembers that shall serve as Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore are selected by the City Council annually according to a system of succession that permits the Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore to gain sufficient experience prior to assuming their respective offices. It is appropriate to base such succession on rotation, seniority and election results in accordance with the following:

1. Mayoral List. The City Clerk shall maintain a Mayoral List in accordance with this Resolution. The City Clerk shall update the Mayoral List for Council affirmation, following each council election and council vacancy that occurs. The Mayoral List in effect as of the adoption date of this Resolution is attached as Exhibit A to this Resolution.
2. Succession Generally. When a position on the Mayoral List is vacated for any reason, except as otherwise provided in this Resolution, the next councilmember listed on the Mayoral List shall succeed to the vacant position in accordance with the Mayoral List and this Resolution.
3. Succession to Mayor. When the office of Mayor is vacated for any reason, the Mayor Pro Tempore listed on the then-current Mayoral List shall succeed to the office of Mayor.
4. Succession to the Office of Mayor Pro Tempore. When the office of Mayor Pro-tempore is vacated for any reason, the next councilmember listed on the then-current Mayoral List shall succeed to the office of Mayor Pro Tempore.

5. Placement of the Outgoing Mayor on the Mayoral List. The name of the outgoing Mayor shall be placed at the end of the Mayoral List, unless the outgoing Mayor is re-elected (in that election year), in which case, Section 6 shall apply.

6. Placement of Newly Elected Councilmembers on the Mayoral List. The names of the highest vote getters among newly elected councilmembers (incumbent or non-incumbent) shall be placed on the Mayoral List after incumbent councilmembers in order of most votes received, but before the outgoing Mayor unless the outgoing mayor is re-elected at the same election, in which case the outgoing Mayor shall also be placed on the list in order of votes received.

7. Placement on the Mayoral List of Non-Incumbent Councilmembers Newly Elected or Appointed to Fill Vacancies. Non-incumbent councilmembers newly elected or appointed to fill vacancies on the City Council shall be placed last on the Mayoral List, ~~but above the outgoing Mayor, if any,~~ at the time the vacancy is filled.

8. Councilmembers' Right to Decline the Offices of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore. Councilmembers may decline to succeed to the office of Mayor or Mayor Pro Tempore pursuant to the Mayoral List and this Resolution. When a councilmember so declines to succeed to such office, such office shall pass to the next councilmember listed on the Mayoral List that is eligible pursuant to this Resolution to succeed to such office. The names of councilmembers that so decline to succeed to the office of Mayor or Mayor Pro Tempore shall be placed on the Mayoral List immediately following the name of the councilmember that succeeds to such office, notwithstanding any other provision of this Resolution.

9. Removal of Mayor by Council Vote. Councilmembers that have succeeded to the office of Mayor in accordance with the Mayoral List and this Resolution may be removed from that office by a majority vote of the full Council. Such removed Mayor shall be treated the same as an outgoing Mayor for purposes of the Mayoral List and this Resolution.

This resolution supercedes Resolutions 3211 and 3285, 109-2000, and 179-2003, and any prior minute order actions, which are hereby repealed and of no further force or effect.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED this 19th day of **October 2010**, by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	Banuelos, Fujita, Long, Murray, Swearingen
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	None
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	None
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	None



Patricia Athenour, MMC
City Clerk

EXHIBIT A to Resolution 2010-87
MAYOR ROTATION LIST
October 19, 2010

Current Status

<u>LONG</u> (2 nd place vote recipient in Feb 08 Vacancy /Recall Election)	<u>SWEARINGEN</u> (2 nd highest vote recipient in Nov 4, 2008 Election- 1 time switch with Murray per 1/13/2009 minute order)	<u>MURRAY</u> (Outgoing Mayor in 12/2008, & highest vote recipient in Nov 4, 2008 Election)	<u>FUJITA</u> Served ½ year term as Mayor in May 2008, when Horton resigned as Mayor	<u>BANUELOS</u> Appointed August 18, 2008, fill vacancy from Horton's Council resignation 7/21/08
December 2009-2010	December 2010-2011	December 2011 - 2012	December 2011-2012	December 2012-2013

POST NOVEMBER 2, 2010 ELECTION

<u>SWEARINGEN</u> (2 nd highest vote recipient in Nov 4, 2008 Election- 1 time switch with Murray per 1/13/2009 minute order)	<u>MURRAY</u> (Outgoing Mayor in 12/2008, & highest vote recipient in Nov 4, 2008 Election)	<u>New member</u> Highest vote getter in 2010 election Term Dec 2010-Dec 2014	<u>New member</u> 2 nd Highest vote getter in 2010 Election Term Dec 2010-Dec 2014	2 NEWLY ELECTED MEMBERS FROM NOVEMBER 2012 ELECTION ADDED TO CHART FOR ROTATION BEGINNING DECEMBER 2014	<u>New member</u> 3 rd Highest vote getter in 2010 election – will never ascend to Mayor unless re-elected in Nov 2012 as 1 st or 2 nd highest Term Dec 2010-Dec 2014
December 2010-2011	December 2011 - 2012	December 2012-2013	December 2013-2014		December 2014-2015

2022 MAYORAL ROTATION LIST
 Procedure Approved by Resolution 2010-87;
 Pursuant to the 2020 Election and Proposed for the 2022 Election results
 Received Accepted by the City Council:

2022 MAYORAL ROTATION SCHEDULE					
MURPHY	TOMS	2 NEWLY ELECTED MEMBERS FROM NOVEMBER 2022 ELECTION ADDED TO CHART FOR ROTATION BEGINNING DECEMBER 2024	SASAI	TAVE	FUTURE ROTATION DETERMINED
Established by highest # of votes in 11/3/2020 Election	Established by 2nd highest # of votes in 11/3/2020 Election		Established by highest # of votes in 11/8/2022 Election	Established by 2nd highest # of votes in 11/8/2022 Election	By the highest votes received in the November 2024 Election
Office Term:	Office Term:		Office Term:	Office Term:	Office Term:
12/2020 – 12/2024	12/2020 – 12/2024		12/2022-12/2026	12/2022-12/2026	12/2024-12/2028
Mayor Term:	Mayor Term:		Mayor Term:	Mayor Term:	Mayor Term:
December 2022-2023	December 2023-2024		December 2024-2025	December 2025-2026	December 2026-2028

2020 MAYORAL ROTATION LIST
 Procedure Approved by Resolution 2010-87;
 Pursuant to the 2020 Election

2020 MAYORAL ROTATION SCHEDULE						
MARTINEZ-RUBIN	SALIMI	2 NEWLY ELECTED MEMBERS FROM NOVEMBER 2020 ELECTION ADDED TO CHART FOR ROTATION BEGINNING DECEMBER 2022	MURPHY	TOMS	TAVE	FUTURE ROTATION DETERMINED
Established by highest # of votes in 11/6/2018 Election	Established by 2nd highest # of votes in 11/6/2018 Election		Established by highest # of votes in 11/3/2020 Election	Established by 2nd highest # of votes in 11/3/2020 Election	Elected 11/6/2018	By the highest votes received in the November 2024 Election
Office Term:	Office Term:		Office Term:	Office Term:	Office Term:	Office Term:
12/2018 – 12/2022	12/2018 – 12/2022		12/2020 – 12/2024	12/2020 – 12/2024	12/2018 – 12/2022	12/2024-12/2028
Mayor Term:	Mayor Term:		Mayor Term:	Mayor Term:	Mayor Term:	Mayor Term:
December 2020-2021	December 2021-2022		December 2022-2023	December 2023-2024	Only as needed upon vacancy	December 2024-2025



CITY COUNCIL REPORT

13A

DATE: DECEMBER 6, 2022

TO: MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS

**FROM: HEATHER BELL, CITY CLERK
ANDREW MURRAY, CITY MANAGER**

**SUBJECT: NOMINATE COUNCIL MEMBERS TO SERVE ON BOARDS AND
SUBCOMMITTEES**

RECOMMENDATION

City staff recommends that the City Council nominate Council Members to serve as City representatives on specific boards and subcommittees in 2023. The City Council will have the opportunity to approve the nominations at the next City Council meeting, on December 20, 2022.

BACKGROUND

City Council Members serve as representatives of the City on the boards of numerous joint powers authorities and other regional bodies. Council Members also serve on subcommittees that the City Council creates from time to time.

The Pinole City Council's practice has been to make appointments of Council Members to serve as representatives on various external boards and Council subcommittees on an annual basis, in December, in coordination with the appointment of a new Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem, and because some appointments are based on mayorship. Revisions to the list are also made throughout the year in accordance with Council action and to reflect creation of new committees and/or appoint new representatives. The City Clerk maintains the most recent version of the list.

State law requires that each city publish a list of these councilmanic assignments. This is referred to as the Council Committee Assignment List.

REVIEW AND ANALYSIS

Attached find a list of the various joint powers authorities and other regional bodies to which the City Council appoints a Council Member to serve as a representative, as well as the Council subcommittees that the Council has created over time. Staff has pre-populated the draft 2023 appointment list based on the standard mayoral succession and 2022 assignments (the 2022 appointment list is attached for

reference). Note that Council created the following new subcommittees in 2022, which are listed in the draft 2023 appointment list:

Item 19. Project Labor Agreement (PLA) Ad Hoc Subcommittee

The Mayor will lead a discussion during which the Council will review the list, discuss and make nominations or reaffirm the currently assigned Council members to each body. Staff will make changes to the draft 2023 appointment list based on Council nominations at the December 6, 2022 Council meeting and disseminate a revised list with a resolution for approval by the City Council at the next City Council meeting.

Following approval of the list, a copy will be provided to the Council and to the respective boards and outside agencies and uploaded on the City Council webpage.

FISCAL IMPACT

There are no fiscal impacts associated with this action.

ATTACHMENT

- A. 2022 Council Committee Assignment List
- B. Draft 2023 Council Committee Assignment List



2022 COUNCIL ASSIGNMENTS

Revised & Approved:

JOINT POWERS AUTHORITIES AND OTHER INTERAGENCY REGULATORY BODIES OF WHICH THE CITY IS A MEMBER

1. **ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS (ABAG)**
Meetings: Spring General Assembly, / Fall General Assembly Dates TBD
Delegate Attendance Mandatory at the 2 Annual Assembly Meetings
Ex. Board meets 3rd Thursdays @ 7 p.m. in Jan., Mar., July, Sept. & Nov.
Contact: Clerk of the Board: Fred Castro (415) 820-7913
Delegate: Toms Alternate: Murphy
NOTE: Membership Reinstated in FY 2015- Approved September 2015
2. **MARIN CLEAN ENERGY (MCE)**
Meetings: 3rd Thursday of every month at 7:00 p.m.
Location: Rotational locations
Contact: Darlene Jackson, Board Clerk and Executive Assistant (415) 464-6032
Delegate: Murphy Alternate: Toms
3. **PINOLE / HERCULES WASTEWATER SUBCOMMITTEE**
Meetings: Quarterly meetings required by the original agreement - currently conduct monthly meetings on 1st Thursday at 8:30 a.m.
Location: Location alternates between cities
Delegates: Tave/Toms Alternate: Murphy
4. **WEST CONTRA COSTA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY (WCCIWMA, "RECYCLEMORE")**
Meetings: Meets monthly – 2nd Thursday at 7:00 p.m.
Location: San Pablo City Hall Council Chambers, One Alvarado Square, San Pablo
Contact: 510-215-3125 Executive Director: Peter Holtzclaw
Delegate: Tave Alternate: Murphy
5. **WEST CONTRA COSTA TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (WCCTAC)**
Meetings: Meets monthly - Last Friday of every month from 8 a.m. to 10 a.m.
Location: El Cerrito City Hall
Contact: Staff Person: 510-210-5933, 510-210-5931
Delegate: Martinez-Rubin Alternate: Murphy
6. **WESTERN CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY ("WESTCAT")**
Meetings: 2nd Thursday monthly at 6:30 p.m. (*amended 5/6/09*)
Location: Pinole Council Chambers, 2131 Pear Street
Contact: Staff: Mica McFadden - 724-3331 ex. 113
Delegate: Martinez-Rubin/Toms Alternate: Murphy

VOLUNTARY INTERAGENCY COLLABORATION BODIES OF WHICH THE CITY IS A MEMBER

7. **BAYFRONT CHAMBER OF COMMERCE**
Meetings: TBA
Location: Varies
Delegate: Toms
8. **CONTRA COSTA MAYORS CONFERENCE**
Meetings: First Thursday of each month at 6:30 p.m.
Location: Rotational locations
Delegate: (Mayor) Salimi (Mayor Pro Tem) Murphy
9. **EAST BAY DIVISION, LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES**
Meetings: 4th Thursday of every other month, except August & December
Board Meeting 6:30 p.m. / General Membership: 7:00 p.m.
Location: Rotational locations
Contact: Dawn Abrahamson, (925) 989-5674
Delegate: (Mayor) Salimi (Mayor Pro Tem) Murphy
10. **WEST COUNTY MAYORS AND SUPERVISORS ASSOCIATION**
Meetings: 4th Thursday, monthly at 8:30 a.m.
Location: Rotational: Jan – June (Hercules) July – December (Richmond)
Contact: Hercules – Lori Martin
Delegate: (Mayor) Salimi: (Mayor Pro Tem) Murphy

SUBCOMMITTEES OF THE PINOLE CITY COUNCIL

11. **FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE:**
Meetings: Meet Quarterly/As Needed
Delegates: Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem & City Treasurer
Contact: City Manager, Assistant City Manager, Finance Director, & City Clerk
Created: (Res. 2005-15)
12. **COMMITTEE ON MEMORIALS**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Toms/Murphy
Created: November 1, 2016
13. **MUNICIPAL CODE AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Toms/Tave
14. **PLANNING COMMISSION INTERVIEW AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Attendees: Toms/Martinez-Rubin

15. **TAPS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMISSION INTERVIEW AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Attendees: Tave/Murphy
16. **PARTICIPANTS IN FORMATION PROCESS OF EAST BAY WILDFIRE PREVENTION AND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Contacts: Toms/Murphy
17. **HISTORIC PRESERVATION OVERLAY/OLD TOWN DESIGN GUIDELINE REVIEW AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE** (with Design Review Board)
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Martinez-Rubin/Toms
18. **TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATION SUBCOMMITTEE**
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Murphy/Tave
Created: October 19, 2021
19. **PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Tave/Toms
Created: November 1, 2022



2023 COUNCIL ASSIGNMENTS - **DRAFT FOR REVIEW**

Scheduled for Council review and discussion at 12/6 Council meeting and final approval at 12/20 Council meeting.

JOINT POWERS AUTHORITIES AND OTHER INTERAGENCY REGULATORY BODIES OF WHICH THE CITY IS A MEMBER

1. **ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS (ABAG)**
Meetings: Spring General Assembly, / Fall General Assembly Dates TBD
Delegate Attendance Mandatory at the 2 Annual Assembly Meetings
Ex. Board meets 3rd Thursdays @ 7 p.m. in Jan., Mar., July, Sept. & Nov.
Contact: Clerk of the Board: Fred Castro (415) 820-7913
Delegate: Toms Alternate: Murphy
NOTE: Membership Reinstated in FY 2015- Approved September 2015
2. **MARIN CLEAN ENERGY (MCE)**
Meetings: 3rd Thursday of every month at 7:00 p.m.
Location: Rotational locations
Contact: Darlene Jackson, Board Clerk and Executive Assistant (415) 464-6032
Delegate: Murphy Alternate: Toms
3. **PINOLE / HERCULES WASTEWATER SUBCOMMITTEE**
Meetings: Quarterly meetings required by the original agreement - currently conduct monthly meetings on 1st Thursday at 8:30 a.m.
Location: Location alternates between cities
Delegates: Tave/Toms Alternate: Murphy
4. **WEST CONTRA COSTA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY (WCCIWMA, "RECYCLEMORE")**
Meetings: Meets monthly – 2nd Thursday at 7:00 p.m.
Location: San Pablo City Hall Council Chambers, One Alvarado Square, San Pablo
Contact: 510-215-3125 Executive Director: Peter Holtzclaw
Delegate: Tave Alternate: Murphy
5. **WEST CONTRA COSTA TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (WCCTAC)**
Meetings: Meets monthly - Last Friday of every month from 8 a.m. to 10 a.m.
Location: El Cerrito City Hall
Contact: Staff Person: 510-210-5933, 510-210-5931
Delegate: Martinez-Rubin Alternate: Murphy
6. **WESTERN CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY ("WESTCAT")**
Meetings: 2nd Thursday monthly at 6:30 p.m. (*amended 5/6/09*)
Location: Pinole Council Chambers, 2131 Pear Street
Contact: Staff: Mica McFadden - 724-3331 ex. 113
Delegate: Martinez-Rubin/Toms Alternate: Murphy

VOLUNTARY INTERAGENCY COLLABORATION BODIES OF WHICH THE CITY IS A MEMBER

7. **BAYFRONT CHAMBER OF COMMERCE**
Meetings: TBA
Location: Varies
Delegate: Toms
8. **CONTRA COSTA MAYORS CONFERENCE**
Meetings: First Thursday of each month at 6:30 p.m.
Location: Rotational locations
Delegate: (Mayor) ~~Salimi~~ Murphy (Mayor Pro Tem) ~~Murphy~~ Toms
9. **EAST BAY DIVISION, LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES**
Meetings: 4th Thursday of every other month, except August & December
Board Meeting 6:30 p.m. / General Membership: 7:00 p.m.
Location: Rotational locations
Contact: Dawn Abrahamson, (925) 989-5674
Delegate: (Mayor) ~~Salimi~~ Murphy (Mayor Pro Tem) ~~Murphy~~ Toms
10. **WEST COUNTY MAYORS AND SUPERVISORS ASSOCIATION**
Meetings: 4th Thursday, monthly at 8:30 a.m.
Location: Rotational: Jan – June (Hercules) July – December (Richmond)
Contact: Hercules – Lori Martin
Delegate: (Mayor) ~~Salimi~~ Murphy: (Mayor Pro Tem) ~~Murphy~~ Toms

SUBCOMMITTEES OF THE PINOLE CITY COUNCIL

11. **FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE:**
Meetings: Meet Quarterly/As Needed
Delegates: Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem & City Treasurer
Contact: City Manager, Assistant City Manager, Finance Director, & City Clerk
Created: (Res. 2005-15)
12. **COMMITTEE ON MEMORIALS**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Toms/Murphy
Created: November 1, 2016
13. **MUNICIPAL CODE AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Toms/Tave
14. **PLANNING COMMISSION INTERVIEW AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Attendees: Toms/Martinez-Rubin

15. **TAPS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMISSION INTERVIEW AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Attendees: Tave/Murphy
16. **PARTICIPANTS IN FORMATION PROCESS OF EAST BAY WILDFIRE PREVENTION AND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY**
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Contacts: Toms/Murphy
17. **HISTORIC PRESERVATION OVERLAY/OLD TOWN DESIGN GUIDELINE REVIEW AD-HOC SUBCOMMITTEE** (with Design Review Board)
(limited duration / specific focus)
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Martinez-Rubin/Toms
18. **TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATION SUBCOMMITTEE**
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Murphy/Tave
Created: October 19, 2021
19. **PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE**
Meetings: TBD
Attendees: Tave/Toms
Created: November 1, 2022